

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT
OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION**

GESTURE TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS,
LLC,

Plaintiff

v.

HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD.,
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

C.A. NO. 2:21-cv-00040-JRG

LEAD CONSOLIDATED CASE

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.,

Defendants.

C.A. NO. 2:21-cv-00041-JRG

**RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	LEGAL STANDARD.....	1
III.	ARGUMENT	4
	A. GTP Has Satisfied The Pleading Standard For Direct Infringement	4
	B. GTP’s Induced Infringement Claims Should Not Be Dismissed.	9
	C. GTP’s Willfulness Claim Should Not Be Dismissed.	12
	D. If a More Definitive Statement Is Required, GTP Should Be Granted Leave to Amend.	13
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Alacritech Inc. v. Centurylink, Inc.</i> , No. 2:16-CV-00693, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 155687 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 4, 2017)	10, 11
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009)	2
<i>Barry v. Medtronic, Inc.</i> , 914 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	3
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544, 127 S. Ct. 1955 (2007)	4
<i>Cellular Comms. Equip. LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 6:13-CV-507, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 179461 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 27, 2015)	9
<i>Chapterhouse, LLC v. Shopify, Inc.</i> , No. 2:18-CV-00300-JRG, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 219072, (E.D. Tex. Dec. 10, 2018)	8, 9
<i>Collins v. Morgan Stanley Dean Witter</i> , 224 F.3d 496 (5th Cir. 2000)	1
<i>Commil USA, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 135 S. Ct. 1920 (2015)	3
<i>Computer Acceleration Corp. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 503 F. Supp. 2d 819 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 24, 2007)	7
<i>Dueling v. Devon Energy Corp.</i> , 623 Fed. Appx. 127 (5th Cir. 2015)	2
<i>Estech Sys., Inc. v. Wells Fargo & Co.</i> , No. 2:20-cv-00128-JRG-RSP, Report and Recommendation, Dkt. No. 75 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 27, 2020)	10
<i>Fuzzysharp Techs. Inc. v. Nvidia Corp.</i> , 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 126989, 2013 WL 4766877 (N.D. Ca. Dept. 4, 2013)	12
<i>Genetics Inst., LLC v. Novartis Vaccines & Diagnostics, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	13
<i>Global-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A.</i> , 563 U.S. 754 (2011)	3, 4
<i>Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1923 (2016)	3, 4
<i>Inmotion Imagery Techs. v. Brain Damage Films</i> , No. 2:11-CV-414-JRG, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 112630 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 10, 2012)	9
<i>Iron Oak Techs., Inc. v. Acer Am. Corp.</i> , No. 6:17-cv-00143-RP-JCM, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 221346 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 28, 2017)	7

..

Jones v. Robinson Prop Grp., L.P.,
427 F.3d 987 (5th Cir. 2005)..... 2

Kaiser Aluminum & Chem. Sales v. Avondale Shipyards,
677 F.2d 1045 (5th Cir. 1982)..... 1

K-Tech Telecomms., Inc. v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.,
714 F.3d 1277 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... 4

Lochner Techs., LLC v. AT Labs Inc., No. 2:11-CV-242,
2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 92924 (E.D. Tex. Jul. 5, 2012)..... 9

Mayeaux v. La. Health Serv. & Indem. Co., 376 F.3d 420 (5th Cir. 2004)..... 2

MAZ Encryption Techs. LLC v. BlackBerry, Ltd., No. 6:15- cv-1167-RWS-JDL,
2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 191607 (E.D. Tex. Jun. 7, 2016)..... 6, 7

McZeal v. Sprint Nextel Corp., 501 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 4

Motiva Patents, LLC v. Sony Corp., 408 F. Supp. 3d 819 (E.D. Tex. 2019)..... 3, 11, 12

Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 572 U.S. 545 (2014)..... 3

Orion IP, LLC v. Staples, Inc., 407 F.Supp.2d 815 (E.D. Tex. 2006) (Davis, J.) 7

Parity Networks, LLC v. Cisco Sys., No. 6:19-cv-00207-ADA,
2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144094 (W.D. Tex. July 26, 2019)..... 6, 9

Raytheon Co. v. Cray, Inc., No. 2:16-cv-00423-JRG-RSP,
2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56729 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 13, 2017)..... 5, 7

Script Sec. Sols. L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc., 170 F. Supp. 3d 928 (E.D. Tex. 2016)..... 1, 2

Skinner v. Switzer, 562 U.S. 521 (2011)..... 2

Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Avaya Inc., No. 6:15-cv1168- JRG, 2016 U.S. Dist. 181826 (E.D. Tex. May
13, 2016)..... 4, 5, 8

Wapp Tech Ltd. P’ship v. Micro Focus Int’l, PLC,
406 F. Supp. 3d 585 (E.D. Tex. 2019) 2, 13

...

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 271(b) 3

35 U.S.C. § 284..... 3

Fed. R Civ. P. 8(a)(2)..... 2

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)..... 1

Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) 2

Fed. R. Civ. P.15(a)(2)..... 2

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.