EXHIBIT B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

MV3 PARTNERS LLC,	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	W-18-CV-00308-ADA
-V-	§	
	§	
ROKU, INC.,	§	
Defendant	§	

ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS IN LIMINE, MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND DAUBERT MOTIONS

Before the Court are the parties' respective motions *in limine*, motions for summary judgment, and *Daubert* motions. The Court held a hearing concerning these motions on June 4, 2020. During that hearing, the Court provided oral rulings on each of the motions. The Court now enters those motions.

SIGNED this 29th day of September, 2020.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

MV3's Motions in Limine

Motion	Ruling
MV3's Motion in Limine No. 1 to exclude references to:	
(i) MV3 as a Non-Practicing Entity and Patent Owner	GRANTED. Roku is precluded from raising this topic during <i>voir dire</i> and opening arguments. If Roku seeks to put on evidence about this topic at trial, Roku needs to notify the Court in advance for the Court's ruling on the topic.
(ii) MV3's Prior Litigations Involving MV3 Witnesses	GRANTED. Roku is precluded from raising this topic during <i>voir dire</i> and opening arguments. If Roku seeks to put on evidence about this topic at trial, Roku needs to notify the Court in advance for the Court's ruling on the topic.
(iii) MV3's Attorney Fee Agreements or Payments and/or Non-Payments to its Counsel	GRANTED. Roku is precluded from raising this topic during <i>voir dire</i> and opening arguments. If Roku seeks to put on evidence about this topic at trial, Roku needs to notify the Court in advance for the Court's ruling on the topic.
(iv) How or to Whom a Damages Award to MV3 may be Distributed, Including its Members' Ownership Interests	GRANTED. Roku is precluded from raising this topic during <i>voir dire</i> and opening arguments. If Roku seeks to put on evidence about this topic at trial, Roku needs to notify the Court in advance for the Court's ruling on the topic.



Motion	Ruling
(v) Forum Shopping, Litigation Abuse, or the Western District of Texas as a Popular Venue for Patent Litigation or as an Improper Venue	GRANTED
MV3's Motion in Limine No. 2 to exclude references to:	
(i) Suggestions that a Damages Award may Increase the Price of Roku's Products, put Roku's Manufacturers Out of Business, or Lead to the Loss of Jobs	GRANTED. Roku is precluded from raising this topic during <i>voir dire</i> and opening arguments. If Roku seeks to put on evidence about this topic at trial, Roku needs to notify the Court in advance for the Court's ruling on the topic.
(ii) Licenses for which no Expert has Provided an Opinion that such Licenses are Comparable to a Hypothetical License to the Patent-in-Suit	GRANTED. MV3's Motion in Limine No. 2 was granted as it pertains to voir dire and opening arguments. Use of evidence pertaining to these topics during trial is carried over.
(iii) Prior Judicial Opinions Pertaining to MV3's Expert Witnesses	GRANTED. Roku is precluded from raising this topic during <i>voir dire</i> and opening arguments. If Roku seeks to put on evidence about this topic at trial, Roku needs to notify the Court in advance for the Court's ruling on the topic.
MV3's Motion in Limine No. 3 to exclude References to:	
(i) The Duty of Candor to the U.S. Patent Office or the Issue of Inequitable Conduct	Roku is precluded from using evidence related to the duty of candor or inequitable conduct but is not precluded from offering relevant evidence to an issue unrelated to the duty of candor or inequitable conduct but related to infringement, invalidity, or damages in its case-in-chief.



Motion	Ruling
(ii) Disparaging Comments Regarding the Patent Office and its Examiners	GRANTED
(iii) Unified Patents' <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Concerning the Patent-in-Suit.	GRANTED. Roku is precluded from making any references to a parallel proceeding.
(iv) Comparisons of Roku's Accused Devices to Any Prior Art	DENIED and carried over to trial.
(v) Demonstrations of and/or References to Software as "Prior Art" that was Created or Modified after the Filing of the Patent-in-Suit	DENIED and carried over to trial.
(vi) Non-Elected or Non-Identified Prior Art	DENIED and carried over to trial.
(vii) Narrowed Claims (Infringement Claims that were Asserted but Later Dropped)	GRANTED
MV3's Motion in Limine No. 4 to exclude references to:	
(i) Allegations that any of Roku's Patents are Related to and/or Cover the Accused Products	Roku is precluded from raising this topic during <i>voir dire</i> and opening arguments. If Roku seeks to put on evidence about this topic at trial, Roku needs to notify the Court in advance for the Court's ruling on the topic; Roku is restricted from putting in evidence of its patents during trial until the Court can hear the question and make sure the Court approves of it.
(ii) Unreliable Expert Opinions by Drs. Russ and Bovik, and any New Infringement and Invalidity Opinions that were not Disclosed in their Expert Reports	GRANTED-in-part subject to ruling on MV3's Motion to Exclude the Opinions in Dr. Samuel Russ's Rebuttal Expert Report and Dr. Alan Bovik's Opening and Rebuttal Expert Reports.
(iii) Information that Roku Failed to Disclose in Response to a Contention Interrogatory regarding Infringement Defenses	The issue of whether either party is precluded from making an argument in view of alleged discovery deficiencies is



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

