

EXHIBIT 6

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination of:)	
)	
U. S. Patent No. 8,194,924)	Control No.: <i>To be assigned</i>
)	
Issue Date: Jun. 5, 2012)	Group Art Unit: <i>To be assigned</i>
)	
Inventor: Timothy R. Pryor)	Examiner: <i>To be assigned</i>
)	
Appl. No. 13/051,698)	Confirmation No.: <i>To be assigned</i>
)	
Filing Date: Mar. 18, 2011)	
)	
For: CAMERA BASED SENSING IN)	
HANDHELD, MOBILE, GAMING,)	
OR OTHER DEVICES)	

Mail Stop *Ex Parte* Reexam
Attn: Central Reexamination Unit
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:

REQUEST FOR *EX PARTE* REEXAMINATION OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,194,924

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction..... 1

II. Identification of Claims and Citation of Prior Art Presented 2

III. Overview of the '924 Patent 2

 A. Specification and Drawings of the '924 Patent..... 2

 B. Claims of the '924 Patent..... 4

 C. Prosecution History of the '924 Patent 4

 D. The Effective Priority Date of Claims 1-14 of the '924 Patent 5

IV. Claim Construction 6

 A. “oriented to view” of claim 1 9

 B. “a computer within the housing . . . wherein the computer is adapted to perform a control function of the handheld device based on at least one of the first camera output and the second camera output” of claims 1, 6-8, 10, 12, and 14..... 9

 C. “gesture” of claims 6 and 9..... 10

 D. “adapted to” of claims 1, 3-5, 8-9, 12, and 14 11

V. Statement of Substantial New Questions of Patentability 11

 A. SNQ1: *Liebermann* 13

 1. Overview of *Liebermann* 13

 2. Claim 1 14

 3. Claim 2..... 25

 4. Claim 3..... 25

 5. Claim 4..... 26

 6. Claim 5..... 27

 7. Claim 13..... 27

 B. SNQ2: *Liebermann* in view of *Tryding* 28

 1. Overview of *Tryding*..... 28

 2. Claim 11 29

 C. SNQ3: *Liebermann* in view of *Gershman* 32

 1. Overview of *Gershman*..... 32

Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination
 U.S. Patent No. 8,194,924

2.	Claim 14.....	32
D.	SNQ4: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Himmel</i>	34
1.	Overview of <i>Himmel</i>	34
2.	Claim 1.....	35
3.	Claim 2.....	41
4.	Claim 3.....	41
5.	Claim 4.....	41
6.	Claim 5.....	41
7.	Claim 6.....	42
8.	Claim 7.....	43
9.	Claim 8.....	43
10.	Claim 10.....	44
11.	Claim 12.....	45
12.	Claim 13.....	48
E.	SNQ5: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Himmel</i> and <i>Tryding</i>	48
1.	Claim 11.....	48
F.	SNQ6: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Himmel</i> and <i>Gershman</i>	49
1.	Claim 14.....	49
G.	SNQ7: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Sears</i>	49
1.	Overview of <i>Sears</i>	49
2.	Claim 1.....	52
3.	Claim 2.....	62
4.	Claim 3.....	62
5.	Claim 4.....	62
6.	Claim 5.....	63
7.	Claim 6.....	63
8.	Claim 7.....	64
9.	Claim 8.....	65
10.	Claim 10.....	66
11.	Claim 12.....	67
12.	Claim 13.....	68

..

H.	SNQ8: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Sears</i> and <i>Tryding</i>	69
	1. Claim 11.....	69
I.	SNQ9: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Sears</i> and <i>Gershman</i>	69
	1. Claim 14.....	69
J.	SNQ10: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Kimball</i>	69
	1. Overview of <i>Kimball</i>	70
	2. Claim 14.....	70
K.	SNQ11: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Himmel</i> and <i>Kimball</i>	72
	1. Claim 14.....	72
L.	SNQ12: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Sears</i> and <i>Kimball</i>	73
	1. Claim 14.....	73
M.	SNQ13: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Himmel</i>	73
	1. Claim 9.....	73
N.	SNQ14: <i>Liebermann</i> in view of <i>Sears</i>	75
	1. Claim 9.....	75
VI.	Detailed Explanation of the Pertinence and Manner of Applying the Prior Art to the Claims.....	78
A.	Bases for Proposed Rejections of the Claims.....	78
B.	Proposed Rejections.....	80
	1. Proposed Rejection #1.....	80
	2. Proposed Rejection #2.....	80
	3. Proposed Rejection #3.....	80
	4. Proposed Rejection #4.....	80
	5. Proposed Rejection #5.....	81
	6. Proposed Rejection #6.....	81
	7. Proposed Rejection #7.....	81
	8. Proposed Rejection #8.....	81
	9. Proposed Rejection #9.....	81
	10. Proposed Rejection #10.....	81
	11. Proposed Rejection #11.....	81
	12. Proposed Rejection #12.....	81

...

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.