

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.,
d/b/a UBER,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-00026-JRG-RSP

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**DEFENDANT’S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AMENDED DEADLINE TO
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT**

Defendant Uber Technologies Inc. (“Uber”) respectfully requests that the Court grant its Unopposed Motion for Entry of Amended Deadline to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint (Dkt. No. 1). Though Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC (“AGIS”) filed an executed summons on February 15, 2021 (Dkt. No. 9), the summons was served on an incorrect entity. Rather than require AGIS to re-serve the summons, which may result in delay, Uber has agreed to waive service of a summons in exchange for a deadline to answer or otherwise respond of April 23, 2021. This motion is being made for good cause and not for the purpose of delay. Accordingly, Uber respectfully requests that its deadline to answer or otherwise respond be set as April 23, 2021. Uber has met and conferred with counsel for AGIS, who does not oppose this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By:

/s/ Mark N. Reiter

Mark N. Reiter

Texas State Bar No. 16759900

mreiter@gibsondunn.com

Robert A. Vincent

Texas State Bar No. 24056474

rvincent@gibsondunn.com

Nathan R. Curtis

Texas State Bar No. 24078390

ncurtis@gibsondunn.com

Ashbey N. Morgan

Texas State Bar No. 24106339

anmorgan@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 698-3100

Facsimile: (214) 571-2900

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC. d/b/a UBER

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that on February 22, 2021, Defendant conferred with attorney for AGIS, who agreed to the extension and did not oppose this Motion.

/s/ Mark N. Reiter

Mark N. Reiter

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 22, 2021, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to CM/ECF participants in this case.

/s/ Mark N. Reiter

Mark N. Reiter