

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC,	§	
	§	Case No. 2:17-cv-514-JRG
Plaintiff,	§	<b>(LEAD CASE)</b>
	§	
v.	§	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
	§	
HTC CORPORATION,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
<hr/>		
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC,	§	
	§	Case No. 2:17-cv-517-JRG
Plaintiff,	§	<b>(CONSOLIDATED CASE)</b>
	§	
v.	§	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
	§	
ZTE CORPORATION, ET AL.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS’ OPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUR-SUR-REPLY BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF’S SUR-REPLY (DKT. 52) IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS’ MOTION (DKT. 38) TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT FOR IMPROPER VENUE OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO TRANSFER VENUE**

Before the Court is Defendants, ZTE (USA), Inc. and ZTE (TX), Inc., Opposed Motion for Leave to File a Sur-Sur-Reply Brief in Response to Plaintiff’s Sur-Reply (Dkt. 54) in Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint for Improper Venue or, in the Alternative, to Transfer Venue (Dkt. 38), and the Court is of the opinion that the motion, limited to three pages, should be **GRANTED**.

IT IS THEREFORE **ORDERED**, that Defendants, ZTE (USA), Inc. and ZTE (TX), Inc., Opposed Motion for Leave to File a Sur-Sur-Reply Brief in Response to Plaintiff’s Sur-Reply (Dkt. 54) in Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint for Improper Venue or, in the Alternative, to Transfer Venue (Dkt. 38), limited to three pages,

is hereby **GRANTED**. Further, AGIS shall be permitted a Sur-Sur-Sur-Reply, limited to three pages, addressing *solely* those issues raised in Defendants' Sur-Sur-Reply.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 19th day of June, 2018.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE