#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-516-JRG

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

DEFENDANT APPLE'S MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

					Page			
I.	INTE	RODUC	TION		1			
II.	NAT	URE A	ND STAC	GE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	3			
III.	FACTUAL BACKGROUND							
	A.	Apple's Principal Place Of Business, And All Documents And Employees Relevant To This Case, Are Located In The Northern District Of California.						
	В.	Plaintiff Has No Meaningful Connection To The Eastern District Of Texas.						
		1.		Software Was Recently Formed As A Construct Fortion.	5			
		2.		Of Plaintiff's Witnesses Or Evidence Is Located In This	5			
	C.	Several Third-Party Witnesses Are Located In Or Near The Northern District Of California, While None Are Located In The Eastern District Of Texas.						
IV.	ARG	UMEN	Т		7			
	A.	Legal Standard For Transfer Of Venue Under § 1404(a)						
	B.	uld Be Transferred To The Northern District Of California	8					
		1.	Venue 1	Is Proper In The Northern District Of California	8			
		2.	The No Forum.	orthern District Of California Is A Clearly More Convenient	9			
				The Private Interest Factors Strongly Favor Transfer To The Northern District of California.	9			
				i. The Relative Ease Of Proof Favors Transfer To The Northern District Of California, Where Apple Maintai Virtually All Of Its Relevant Evidence				
				ii. The Northern District Of California Is A Much More Convenient Forum For Willing Witnesses	10			



Case 2:17-cv-00516-JRG	Document 53	Filed 11/13/17	Page 3 of 22 PageID #:	478

### **Page**

		iii.	The Availability Of Compulsory Service To Secure Attendance Of Third-Party Witnesses Favors Transfer 12
		iv.	Transfer Would Make The Trial Of This Case Much More Convenient, Expeditious, and Inexpensive
	b.		Public Interest Factors Favor Transfer To The nern District Of California13
		i.	The Northern District Of California Has A Strong Local Interest In This Dispute, While The Eastern District Of Texas's Interest Is Minimal, Favoring Transfer
		ii.	Transfer Of This Case To The Northern District Of California Presents No Administrative Difficulties Flowing From Court Congestion Or Other Issues
V.	CONCLUSION		



::

### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
<u>Cases</u>	
Core Wireless Licensing, S.A.R.L v. Apple, Inc., No. 6:14-CV-751 JRG-JDL, 2015 WL 11143431 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 31, 2015), report and recommendation adopted, 2015 WL 11145816 (E.D. Tex. Oct 22, 2015)	
DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc. v. Apple, Inc., No. 6:13CV919-JDL, 2014 WL 6847569 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 7, 2014)	2, 11
In re Acer Am. Corp., 626 F.3d 1252 (Fed. Cir. 2010), as amended (Jan. 13, 2011)	12
In re Genentech, Inc., 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	9
In re Hoffmann-La Roche Inc., 597 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	13
In re Microsoft Corp., 630 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	8
In re Nintendo Co., Ltd., 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	14
In re TS Tech USA Corp., 551 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	14, 15
In re Volkswagen AG, 371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004)	8
In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc., 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)	8, 9, 11
In re Zimmer Holdings, Inc., 609 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	8
Integrated Claim Sys., LLC v. Travelers Lloyds of Texas Ins. Co., No. 2:13-CV-00649-JRG, 2014 WL 12600273 (E.D. Tex. May 29, 2014)	8
Kranos IP Corp. et al v. Riddell, Inc., 2:17-cv-00443-JRG (E.D. Tex. Aug 28, 2017)	9, 10, 14
Network Prot. Scis., LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc., No. 2:10-CV-224-IRG, 2012 WI, 194382 (F.D. Tex, Ian, 23, 2012)	1 8 12



Optimum Power Sols. LLC v. Apple, Inc.,
794 F. Supp. 2d 696 (E.D. Tex. 2011)
Oyster Optics, LLC, v. Ciena Corp.,
2:17-cv-511-JRG, D.I. 28 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 22, 2017)
Rembrandt Patent Innovations, LLC v. Apple, Inc.,
No. 2:14-CV-0015-JRG (E.D. Tex. October 27, 2014)2-3
TC Heartland IIC v. Kraft Foods Crn. Brands IIC
TC Heartland LLC v. Kraft Foods Grp. Brands LLC, 137 S. Ct. 1514 (2017)8-9
137 S. Ct. 1314 (2017)
Voxpath RS, LLC v. LG Elecs. U.S.A., Inc.,
No. 2:10-CV-160-JRG, 2012 WL 194370 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2012)
Wireless Recognition Techs. LLC v. A9.com, Inc.,
No. 2:10-CV-364-JRG, 2012 WL 506669 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 15, 2012) 10, 13, 14, 15
Chahataa
<u>Statutes</u>
28 U.S.C. § 1400(b)
<b>-</b> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

