

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT  
LLC,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**HTC CORPORATION,  
LG ELECTRONICS, INC., ZTE  
CORPORATION, ZTE (USA), INC., and  
ZTE (TX), INC.,**

Defendants.

§  
§  
§ Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-514-JRG  
§ (Lead Case)  
§  
§  
§  
§ MEMBER CASES  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DOCKET CONTROL ORDER**

Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC, and Defendants LG Electronics, Inc., Defendant HTC Corporation, Defendants ZTE (USA), Inc. and ZTE (TX), Inc. (collectively “ZTE”) (“Parties”), hereby submit this Joint Motion For Entry of a Docket Control Order and show the Court as follows:

Original Date	Event
April 1, 2019	*Trial – 9 a.m. in <b>Marshall, Texas</b> before Judge Rodney Gilstrap
April 1, 2019	*Jury Selection – 9:00 a.m. in <b>Marshall, Texas</b>
February 1, 2018	*Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m. in <b>Marshall, Texas</b> before Judge Rodney Gilstrap

January 31, 2019	<p>*Notify Court of Agreements Reached During Meet and Confer</p> <p>The parties are ordered to meet and confer on any outstanding objections or motions <i>in limine</i>. The parties shall advise the Court of any agreements reached no later than 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before the pretrial conference.</p>
January 28, 2019	<p>*File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict Form, Responses to Motions <i>in Limine</i>, Updated Exhibit Lists, Updated Witness Lists, and Updated Deposition Designations</p>
January 28, 2019	<p>File Motions <i>in Limine</i></p> <p>The parties shall limit their motions <i>in limine</i> to issues that if improperly introduced at trial would be so prejudicial that the Court could not alleviate the prejudice by giving appropriate instructions to the jury.</p>
January 28, 2019	<p>Serve Objections to Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures</p>
January 23, 2019	<p>Serve Objections to Pretrial Disclosures; and Serve Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures</p>
January 18, 2019	<p>Serve Pretrial Disclosures (Witness List, Deposition Designations, and Exhibit List) by the Party with the Burden of Proof</p>
January 18, 2019	<p>*File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting.</p> <p>If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Shelly Holmes, at shelly_holmes@txed.uscourts.gov.</p>
January 14, 2019	<p>Deadline to Complete Mediation</p> <p>The parties are responsible for ensuring that a mediation report is filed no later than 5 days after the conclusion of mediation.</p>
January 11, 2019	<p>*File Motions to Strike Expert Testimony (including <i>Daubert</i> Motions)</p> <p>No motion to strike expert testimony (including a <i>Daubert</i> motion) may be filed after this date without leave of the Court.</p>

January 11, 2019	*File Dispositive Motions  No dispositive motion may be filed after this date without leave of the Court.  Motions shall comply with Local Rule CV-56 and Local Rule CV-7. <u>Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances. Exceptional circumstances require more than agreement among the parties.</u>
January 7, 2019	Comply with P.R. 3-7 (Opinion of Counsel Defenses)
January 7, 2019	Deadline to Complete Expert Discovery
December 21, 2018	Serve Disclosures for Rebuttal Expert Witnesses
December 17, 2018	*Claim Construction Hearing – 1:30 p.m. in <b>Marshall, Texas</b> before Judge Rodney Gilstrap
December 7, 2018	Serve Disclosures for Expert Witnesses by the Party with the Burden of Proof
December 7, 2018	Deadline to Complete Fact Discovery and File Motions to Compel Discovery
December 3, 2019	*Comply with P.R. 4-5(d) (Joint Claim Construction Chart)
August 29, 2018	Defendants to elect no more than 15 prior art references against each patent and no more than a total of 40 references.

(\*) indicates a deadline that cannot be changed without showing good cause. Good cause is not shown merely by indicating that the parties agree that the deadline should be changed.

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

**Notice of Mediator:** The parties are to jointly file a notice that identifies the agreed upon mediator or indicates that no agreement was reached. If the parties do not reach an agreement, the Court will appoint a mediator. The parties should not file a list of mediators to be considered by the Court.

**Summary Judgment Motions, Motions to Strike Expert Testimony, and Daubert Motions:** For each motion, the moving party shall provide the Court with two (2) copies of the completed briefing (opening motion, response, reply, and if applicable, sur-reply), excluding exhibits, in D-three-ring binders, appropriately tabbed. All documents shall be single-sided and

must include the CM/ECF header. For expert-related motions, complete digital copies of the relevant expert report(s) and accompanying exhibits shall be submitted on a single flash drive. These copies shall be delivered as soon as briefing has completed.

**Indefiniteness:** In lieu of early motions for summary judgment, the parties are directed to include any arguments related to the issue of indefiniteness in their *Markman* briefing, subject to the local rules' normal page limits.

**Motions for Continuance:** The following excuses will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:

- (a) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;
- (b) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;
- (c) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

**Amendments to the Docket Control Order (“DCO”):** Any motion to alter any date on the DCO shall take the form of a motion to amend the DCO. The motion to amend the DCO shall include a proposed order that lists all of the remaining dates in one column (as above) and the proposed changes to each date in an additional adjacent column (if there is no change for a date the proposed date column should remain blank or indicate that it is unchanged). In other words, the DCO in the proposed order should be complete such that one can clearly see all the remaining deadlines and the changes, if any, to those deadlines, rather than needing to also refer to an earlier version of the DCO.

**Proposed DCO:** The Parties' Proposed DCO should also follow the format described above under “Amendments to the Docket Control Order (‘DCO’).”

The Parties have conferred and agreed upon all provisions of the Joint Docket Control Order and hereby jointly move that the Court approve and enter same, a copy of which is attached hereto for the Court's entry.

Dated: August 29, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

**BROWN RUDNICK LLP**

/s/ Alessandra C. Messing

Alfred R. Fabricant  
NY Bar No. 2219392  
Email: afabricant@brownrudnick.com  
Peter Lambrianakos  
NY Bar No. 2894392  
Email: plambrianakos@brownrudnick.com  
Vincent J. Rubino, III  
NY Bar No. 4557435  
Email: vrubino@brownrudnick.com  
Joseph Mercadante  
NY Bar No. 4784930  
Email: jmercadante@brownrudnick.com  
Alessandra C. Messing  
NY Bar No. 5040019  
Email: amessing@brownrudnick.com  
John A. Rubino  
NY Bar No. 5020797  
Email: jrubino@brownrudnick.com  
Enrique W. Iturralde  
NY Bar No. 5526280  
Email: eiturralde@brownrudnick.com  
Daniel J. Shea Jr.  
NY Bar No. 5430558  
dshea@brownrudnick.com  
**BROWN RUDNICK LLP**  
7 Times Square  
New York, NY 10036  
Telephone: 212-209-4800  
Facsimile: 212-209-4801

Samuel F. Baxter  
Texas State Bar No. 01938000  
sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com  
Jennifer L. Truelove  
Texas State Bar No. 24012906  
jtruelove@mckoolsmith.com  
**MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.**  
104 E. Houston Street, Suite 300  
Marshall, Texas 75670  
Telephone: (903) 923-9000  
Facsimile: (903) 923-9099

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.