

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HTC CORPORATION,

Defendant.

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:17-cv-514-JRG
(LEAD)**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**DEFENDANT HTC CORPORATION'S MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO FED.
R. CIV. P. 12(B)(2) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TRANSFER VENUE PURSUANT TO
28 U.S.C. § 1404(A) TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Factual background.....	2
A. Procedural background and AGIS’s ephemeral ties to East Texas.....	2
B. HTC Corp. and its subsidiaries have no ties to the Eastern District of Texas.....	4
1. HTC Corp. is a foreign corporation based in Taiwan.....	4
2. HTC America is HTC Corp.’s American subsidiary.....	5
3. HTC America Innovation, Inc. has offices in San Francisco.....	5
C. Third party Google is located in the Northern District of California.....	6
D. Other third parties either reside in the Northern District of California or outside the Eastern District of Texas.....	6
III. Legal standard.....	7
A. Personal jurisdiction standard.....	7
B. Transfer of venue.....	9
IV. Argument.....	11
A. AGIS’s complaint should be dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction.....	11
1. HTC Corp. is not subject to general jurisdiction in the Eastern District of Texas.....	11
2. HTC Corp. is not subject to specific jurisdiction in the Eastern District of Texas.....	12
3. HTC Corp. is not subject to personal jurisdiction under the stream of commerce theory.....	13
4. Exercise of jurisdiction over HTC Corp. would not be reasonable or fair.....	14
B. The Court should transfer this case to the Northern District of California under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).....	15
1. The Court should disregard AGIS’s ephemeral contacts with Texas.....	15
2. AGIS could have brought suit in the Northern District of California.....	16
3. Private interest factors weigh in favor of transfer.....	16
a. The relative ease of access to sources of proof favors transfer to the Northern District of California.....	16

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
b. The availability of compulsory process to secure the attendance of witnesses favors the Northern District of California	19
c. Convenience for witnesses favors transfer to the Northern District of California	20
d. The Northern District of California is easier for practical purposes	23
4. As a whole, the public interest factors favor transfer to the Northern District of California.....	24
V. Conclusion	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	PAGE(S)
<i>3D Sys., Inc. v. Aarotech Labs., Inc.</i> , 160 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	7
<i>3G Licensing, SA, et al. v. HTC Corp. et al</i> , No. 17-83-LPS-CJB, D.I. 73 (D. Del. 2017)	14
<i>AFTG-TG, LLC v. Nuvoton Tech. Corp.</i> , 689 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	7, 9
<i>Asahi Metal Indus. Co. v. Superior Court of California, Solano Cty.</i> , 480 U.S. 102 (1987).....	8, 9, 13
<i>Autogenomics, Inc. v. Oxford Gene Tech. Ltd.</i> , 566 F.3d 1012 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	7
<i>Beverly Hills Fan Co. v. Royal Sovereign Corp.</i> , 21 F.3d 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	9
<i>Blue Spike, LLC v. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., et al</i> , No. 6:13-cv-00679-RWS, 2016 WL 3951665 (E.D. Tex. 2016).....	passim
<i>Blue Spike, LLC v. Texas Instruments, Inc.</i> , 6:12-CV-499, 2014 WL 11829323 (E.D. Tex. 2014).....	7, 8, 9
<i>Blue Spike LLC v. Texas Instruments, Inc. et al.</i> , 6:12-cv-00499, 2013 WL 12062262 (E.D. Tex. 2014).....	8
<i>Blue Spike LLC v. Texas Instruments, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 6:12-cv-00499, 2014 WL 11858195 (E.D. Tex. 2014).....	8
<i>Breckenridge Pharm., Inc. v. Metabolite Labs., Inc.</i> , 444 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	7
<i>Campbell Pet Co. v. Miale</i> , 542 F.3d 879 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	7
<i>Celgard, LLC v. SK Innovation Co., Ltd.</i> , 792 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	7, 12
<i>Cheetah Omni, LLC v. NP Photonics, Inc.</i> , No. 6:13-cv-00418, 2014 WL 11709437 (E.D. Tex. 2014).....	7, 8, 12
<i>ColorQuick, L.L.C. v. Vistaprint Ltd.</i> , No. 6:09-CV-323, 2010 WL 5136050 (E.D. Tex. Jul. 22, 2010)	24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

CASES	PAGE(S)
<i>Daimler Ag v. Bauman</i> , 134 S. Ct. 746 (2014).....	8, 11
<i>Dalton v. R & W Marine, Inc.</i> , 897 F.2d 1359 (5th Cir. 1990)	12
<i>Fellowship Filtering Techs., LLC v. Alibaba.com, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-2049-JRG, 2016 WL 6917272 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 1, 2016)	12
<i>Freudensprung v. Offshore Technical Servs., Inc.</i> , 379 F.3d 327 (1985).....	9
<i>Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 v. Xilinx, Inc.</i> , No. 2:17-cv-00100-JRG-RSP, 2017 WL 4076052 (E.D. Tex. 2017).....	passim
<i>Gonzalez v. Autotrader.com</i> , 2:14-cv-650-JRG-RSP, 2015 WL 1387858.....	20, 23
<i>Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown</i> , 131 S. Ct. 2846 (2011).....	11
<i>Helicopteros Nacionales de Colombia, S.A. v. Hall</i> , 466 U.S. 408 (1984).....	7
<i>In re Acer Am. Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1252 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	19
<i>In re Genentech, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 13838 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	17, 20, 21
<i>In re Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	25
<i>In re Microsoft Corp.</i> , 630 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	15, 16
<i>In re Nintendo Co., Ltd.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	15
<i>In re Volkswagen AG</i> , 371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004)	10, 16, 23, 24
<i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)	16, 19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.