IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 2:17-CV-0514-JRG (LEAD CASE)

v.

HTC CORPORATION,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

DEFENDANT HTC CORPORATION'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO DIRECT INFRINGEMENT AND NO INDIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,213,970

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	HTC C	CORP. DOES NOT DIRECTLY INFRINGE THE '970 PATENT 1
	A.	The allegedly infringing functionality is accessible only via the Android Device Manager/Find My Device app or via Google's website
	B.	The accused apps are not pre-installed on any HTC Corp. phone
		1. AGIS's opposition ignores unrebutted documentation that definitively establishes that the apps are not preinstalled
		2. AGIS's third-party documentation supports HTC Corp.'s motion
		3. AGIS repeatedly and misleadingly misquotes Dr. Wolfe7
	C.	As a matter of law, using Google's website cannot be a basis for direct infringement
II.	THE A	ACCUSED APPS WERE UNAVAILABLE PRIOR TO AUGUST 2013 10
III.	AGIS'	S IRRELEVANT ARGUMENT RELATING TO INDUCEMENT 10
IV.	CONC	LUSION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Absolute Software, Inc. v. Stealth Signal, Inc., 659 F.3d 1121(Fed. Cir. 2011.)	10
Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242 (1986)	, 7
Centillion Data Sys., LLC v. Qwest Commc'ns Int'l, Inc., 631 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	10
Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp., 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	7
Iris Connex, LLC v. Acer Am. Corp. et al., Case No. 2:15-cv-1909-JRG, Dkt. No. 246	5
Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio, 475 U.S. 574 (1986)	5
Packless Metal Hose, Inc., v. Extek Energy Equipment (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd., 2:09-cv-00265-JRG, Dkt. No. 135	7

It is telling that there is not a single person who has ever seen the Android Device Manager/Find My Device app pre-installed on any HTC Corp. phone. Even more telling is that AGIS and its expert *entirely ignored* HTC Corp.'s strongest piece of evidence:

In an effort to muddy the waters, AGIS misrepresents third-party documentation and misquotes testimony. But AGIS's newly provided third-party documentation actually supports the granting of HTC Corp.'s motion, and not a single one of AGIS's citations to Dr. Wolfe support the denial of this motion. Finally, in a desperate, last-ditch effort, AGIS claims that HTC Corp. directly infringes because it makes phones that have a web-browser that can access a Google website that has allegedly infringing functionality. But AGIS's web-browser allegation is 100% irrelevant to liability for direct infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

There are no genuine disputes of material fact, and HTC Corp. is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. The Court should grant HTC Corp.'s motion.

I. HTC CORP. DOES NOT DIRECTLY INFRINGE THE '970 PATENT

A. The allegedly infringing functionality is accessible only via the Android Device Manager/Find My Device app or via Google's website

Despite AGIS's allusions to the contrary, it is inescapable that functionality to find and lock a lost phone from the Android Device Manager/Find My Device app¹ (or Google's website) is core-and-critical to AGIS's direct infringement case.² As an example, Mr. McAlexander states

¹ Find My Device and Android Device Manager are the same thing. Android Device Manager was renamed to Find My Device in 2017. For brevity, HTC Corp.'s motion referred to Find My Device, so as to avoid any concocted confusion by AGIS, HTC Corp. will use "Android Device Manager/Find My Device" herein.

² (*See, e.g.*, Dkt. No. 120-09 at A-a14–A-a18, A-a20, A-a28, A-a32, A-a35–A-a36, A-a39–A-a40, A-a47–A-a48, A-a57, A-a63, A-a65, A-a71, A-a77, A-a86, A-a88, A-a93, A-a95, A-

) Both experts agree that the ability to find	
and interact with a lost device is available only via (1) the Android Device Manager/Find My Device app or via (2)	
Google's website.	
AGIS alleges no other way to access the	
accused functionality other than through the app or via Google's website, neither of which are	e

installed on HTC Corp.'s phones, as described below.

DOCKE

Δ

<sup>a100, A-a104, A-a108, A-a115, A-a119 (showing figures of the Find My Device user interface).)
³ This is not an isolated excerpt. Mr. McAlexander repeatedly ties the claim language to the Find My Device app. (</sup>*See, e.g.*, Dkt. No. 120 at pp. 4–5.)

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.