

EXHIBIT 10

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN
DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:17-CV-0514-JRG
(LEAD CASE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-CV-0515-JRG
(CONSOLIDATED CASE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**EXPERT REPORT OF SCOTT ANDREWS REGARDING INVALIDITY
OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 8,213,970, 9,408,055, 9,445,251, AND 9,467,838**

H The Asserted Dependent Claims Of The ‘838 Patent Simply Recite Well-Known Elements619

I Claims 1, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, and 54 are obvious over the combination of Fumarolo, Fumarolo-844 and Muramatsu620

 i. Combination of Fumarolo, Fumarolo-844 and Muramatsu....620

 ii. Claims 1 and 54.....628

 iii. Claim 10650

 iv. Claim 15652

 v. Claim 18652

 vi. Claim 19654

 vii. Claim 20657

J Claims 5 and 7 are obvious over the combination of Fumarolo, Fumarolo-844, Muramatsu, and Liu658

 i. Combination of Fumarolo, Fumarolo-844, Muramatsu and Liu658

 ii. Claim 5663

 iii. Claim 7666

K Claim 27 is obvious over the combination of Fumarolo, Fumarolo-844, Muramatsu, and Spaargaren.....668

 i. The Combination of Fumarolo, Fumarolo-844, Muramatsu and Spaargaren.....668

 ii. Claim 27670

 iii. Claim 22 (unasserted)670

 iv. Claim 23 (unasserted)676

 v. Claim 24 (unasserted)681

 vi. Claim 25 (unasserted)685

 vii. Claim 26 (unasserted)686

 viii. Claim 27687

L Claims 38 and 40 are obvious over the combination of Fumarolo, Fumarolo-844, Muramatsu, and Sheha688

 i. Combination of Fumarolo, Fumarolo-844, Muramatsu and Sheha688

 ii. Claim 38692

 iii. Claim 40695

M The Asserted Dependent Claims Of The ‘838 Patent Simply Recite Well-Known Elements698

XIII. THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE ’055 PATENT ARE INVALID699

 A Independent Claims 1, 28, 41, and 54 of the ‘055 Patent are Invalid for Lack of Written Description699

 B The Asserted Claims of the ‘055 Patent are Anticipated by or Obvious over the LifeRing Software Product706

	vi.	Claim 28	818
	vii.	Claim 32	833
	viii.	Claim 36	834
	ix.	Claim 42	838
	x.	Claim 49	855
	xi.	Claim 54	859
F		Claims 1, 2, 7, 22, 24, 28, 32, 36, 42, 49, and 54 are obvious over Fumarolo, Sheha, and Lazaridis	874
	i.	Claims 1, 28, and 41	881
	ii.	Dependent Claims 2 and 42	910
	iii.	Dependent Claim 7.....	916
	iv.	Dependent Claim 22	920
	v.	Dependent Claim 24	922
	vi.	Dependent Claim 32	925
	vii.	Dependent Claims 36 and 49	927
G		The Asserted Claims Of The ‘055 Patent Are Anticipated By Poulin Or, At A Minimum, Rendered Obvious By Poulin Alone Or In Combination With Altman	929
	viii.	Claim 54	963
XIV.		THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE ‘251 PATENT ARE INVALID	966
A		Independent Claims 1 and 24 are Invalid for Lack of Written Description	966
B		Claims 1 and 24, and Claims 5, 6, 12, 15, 19, 24, 27, 29, 31, and 35 of the ‘251 Patent are Anticipated by and/or Obvious Over the ‘724 patent	971
	i.	Claims 1 and 24.....	971
	i.	Claim 5 and 28:.....	988
	ii.	Claim 6 and 29:.....	989
	ii.	Claim 12 and 35	991
	iii.	Claim 13 (not asserted):.....	992
	iv.	Claim 14 (not asserted):.....	994
	v.	Claim 15:.....	995
	vi.	Claim 19:.....	997
	vii.	Claim 27:.....	1000
	viii.	Claim 29:.....	1001
	ix.	Claim 31:.....	1002
C		Claims 1 and 24, and claims 5, 6, 12, 15, 19, 24, 27, 29, 31, and 35 of the ‘251 patent are anticipated by or obvious over the AGIS LifeRing Software Product	1003
	i.	Claims 1 and 24.....	1003

728. My statements regarding the FBCB2 system, below, are based on my review of documents describing that system. I have cited documents for the purpose of illustrating or further explaining certain features of the system.

729. In the below analysis, any references to the state of the art refer to the state of the art as of September 20, 2004. Similarly, all references to the knowledge or understandings of a person of ordinary skill in the art refer to the knowledge or understandings of a person of ordinary skill in the art as of September 20, 2004. Likewise, all references to the features of FBCB2 refer to features that were part of the system as of September 20, 2004. My analysis of the invalidity issues in this case would not change if the Court ultimately decides that the priority date of the '838, '251, and '055 patents (the "Location Patents") is later than September 21, 2004.

730. I understand that AGIS has asserted '838 patent claims 1, 5, 7, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, 27, 38, 40, and 54 against Defendants. I understand that claims 5, 7, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, 27, 38, and 40 depend from claim 1.

i. Claim 1

731. In my opinion Claim 1 is anticipated by FBCB2, or, at a minimum, is obvious over FBCB2 in view of the knowledge of a POSITA at the time of the invention.

732. Claim 1 of the '838 patent recites:

1. A computer-implemented method comprising:
performing, by a first device:
joining a communication network corresponding to a group, wherein joining the communication network comprises transmitting a message including an identifier corresponding to the group;
participating in the group, wherein participating in the group includes sending first location information to a first server and receiving second location information from the first server, the first location information

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.