



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC	§	CASE NO. 2:17-cv-514-JRG
	§	(LEAD CASE)
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
	§	
HTC CORPORATION, et al.	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

---

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC	§	CASE NO. 2:17-CV-515-JRG
	§	(CONSOLIDATED CASE)
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
	§	
LG ELECTRONICS INC.	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

---

**REPLY TO AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC’S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION  
TO LG ELECTRONICS INC.’S MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE OPINIONS OF  
MR. ALAN RATLIFF RELATING TO DAMAGES**



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
I. MR. RATLIFF'S [REDACTED] IS AN UNRELIABLE BASIS FOR THE HYPOTHETICAL NEGOTIATION .....	1
II. THE [REDACTED] IS UNRELIABLE AND UNSUPPORTED.....	2
III. THE [REDACTED] IS UNRELIABLE AND UNSUPPORTED .....	3
IV. THE [REDACTED] ARE NOT RELEVANT TO THIS CASE.....	4
V. THE OPINIONS VIOLATE THE ENTIRE MARKET VALUE RULE.....	4
VI. CONCLUSION .....	5



**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>BMC Software, Inc. v. ServiceNow, Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-CV-903-JRG, 2016 WL 379620 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 1, 2016).....	4
<i>Chrimar Holding Co., LLC v. ALE USA Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 2120618 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	3, 4
<i>Exmark Mfg. Co. v. Briggs &amp; Stratton Power Prods. Group, LLC</i> , 879 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	2
<i>Open Text S.A. v. Box, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-04910-JD, 2015 WL 349197 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2015).....	3
<i>Summit 6, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> , 802 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	3
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
Fed. R. Evid.	
702(b) .....	4
702(c).....	4

[REDACTED]

As set forth below and in LG Electronics Inc.’s (“LG Korea”) motion (D.I. 118), the Court should exclude the opinions of AGIS’s damages expert Alan Ratliff.

**I. MR. RATLIFF’S [REDACTED] IS AN UNRELIABLE BASIS FOR THE HYPOTHETICAL NEGOTIATION**

Mr. Ratliff ignores [REDACTED]

AGIS asserts that it is an “apples to oranges’ comparison” to suggest that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (D.I. 153 at 4.) But this illustrates how Mr. Ratliff has made an unsupported comparison between [REDACTED]. AGIS claims [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]” (D.I. 153 at 4.) AGIS has no citation to back this, proving that Mr. Ratliff [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AGIS cites no discussion or evidence in Mr. Ratliff’s report purporting to analyze

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

AGIS also argues that “LG provides no case law in support of its argument that Mr. Ratliff’s [REDACTED] is unreliable.” (D.I. 153 at 4.) But, LG Korea provided Federal Circuit authority holding that the failure to address basic economic principles merits exclusion of damages testimony. (D.I. 118, at 5 (citing *Exmark Mfg. Co. v. Briggs & Stratton Power Prods. Group, LLC*, 879 F.3d 1332, 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2018).) *Exmark* held it an abuse of discretion to admit the testimony of an expert who “failed to conduct any analysis indicating the degree to which these considerations [that affect sales and profits] impact the market value or profitability” of the accused product, and how these in turn affected the royalty rate. 879 F.3d at 1350. Similarly, here, Mr. Ratliff failed to analyze [REDACTED]. AGIS’s opposition fails to cite, discuss, or distinguish *Exmark* at all.

AGIS asserts that LG Korea has focused on “Mr. Ratliff’s starting point, to the exclusion of his additional adjustments,” leading to a “misleading and erroneous conclusion.” (D.I. 153 at 6.) Mr. Ratliff’s “additional adjustments” are no less problematic, and addressed elsewhere in LG Korea’s motion. But no matter how many “adjustments” are made, they cannot fix the flaw of [REDACTED].

**II. THE [REDACTED] IS UNRELIABLE AND UNSUPPORTED**

Mr. Ratliff’s [REDACTED] is unreliable for failure to [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AGIS now claims “using Google Maps to navigate to a shared location is merely another step or component performed after the infringing activity.” (D.I. 153 at 6.) This assertion demonstrates the foundational errors of

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.