


**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	Case No. 2:17-CV-0514-JRG
Plaintiff,	§	(LEAD CASE)
	§	
v.	§	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>
	§	
HTC CORPORATION,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

LG ELECTRONICS INC.,	§	Case No. 2:17-CV-0515-JRG
	§	(CONSOLIDATED CASE)
Defendant.	§	
	§	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>

**PLAINTIFF AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC'S
RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO LG ELECTRONICS INC.'S
SEALED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
OF NON-INFRINGEMENT (DKT. 119)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. COUNTERSTATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED BY THE COURT	2
III. COUNTERSTATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS	2
IV. LEGAL STANDARD.....	13
A. Summary Judgement.....	13
B. Direct Infringement.....	13
C. Indirect Infringement	14
V. ARGUMENT	15
A. A Genuine Issue of Material Fact Exists as to Whether LGEKR has Directly Infringed the Patents-In-Suit in the United States	15
1. A Genuine Issue Exists as to Whether LGEKR Sells and Imports the Accused Devices in the United States	15
(a) The Evidence on the Record Supports a Genuine Issue of Fact	15
(b) LGEKR is Incorrect as a Matter of Law.....	18
2. A Genuine Issue Exists as to Whether LGEKR Offers Accused Devices for Sale in the United States	21
3. A Genuine Issue Exists as to Whether LGEKR Uses the Patented Invention in by Testing Accused Functionalities in the United States	22
4. A Genuine Issue Exists as to Whether LGEKR Makes the Patented Invention through its Software Updates in the United States	23
B. A Genuine Issue of Material Fact Exists as to Whether LGEKR’s Subsidiaries are its Agents, such that their Acts of Infringement are Imputed to LGEKR through the Corporate Veil.....	24
C. A Genuine Issue of Material Fact Exists as to Whether LGEKR has Indirectly Infringed the Patents-In-Suit	25

- 1. LGEKR has the requisite knowledge under §271(b) or was willfully blind 26
- 2. LGEKR Encouraged Infringement 28
- VI. CONCLUSION..... 29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>A. Stucki Co. v. Worthington Industries, Inc.</i> , 849 F.2d 593 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	14
<i>Akamai Technologies, Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> , 797 F.3d 1020 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	14
<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242 (1986).....	13, 18, 27
<i>Chalumeau Power Sys. LLC v. Alcatel–Lucent</i> , No. 11-1175-RGA, 2012 WL 6968938 (D. Del. July 18, 2012)	27
<i>Commil USA, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 135 S. Ct. 1920 (2015).....	14, 26
<i>DermaFocus LLC v. Ulthera, Inc.</i> , 201 F. Supp. 3d 465, 471 (D. Del. 2016).....	27
<i>Global-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A.</i> , 563 U.S. 754 (2011).....	14, 15, 27
<i>Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.</i> , 831 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	18, 19
<i>Insituform Technologies, Inc. v. CAT Contracting, Inc.</i> , 385 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	14, 24
<i>Manville Sales Corp. v. Paramount Systems, Inc.</i> , 917 F.2d 544 (Fed. Cir. 1990).....	14
<i>Medicines Company v. Hospira, Inc.</i> , 827 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	18
<i>North American Philips Corp. v. American Vending Sales, Inc.</i> , 35 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	13, 18
<i>Pfaff v. Wells Elecs. Inc.</i> , 525 U.S. 55 (1998).....	21
<i>Rotec Industries, Inc., v. Mitsubishi Corp.</i> , 215 F.3d 1246 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	21

Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling, Inc. v. Maersk Contractors USA, Inc.,
617 F.3d 1296 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... *passim*

U.S. v. Central Gulf Lines, Inc.,
747 F.2d 315 (5th Cir., 1982)17

Vivid Techs. v. Am. Sci. & Eng'g., Inc.,
200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....13, 18

VWP of America, Inc v. U.S.,
175 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....17

Wing Shing Products (BVI), Ltd v. Simatelex Manufactory Co., Ltd.,
479 F.Supp.2d 388 (S.D. N.Y. 2007).....20

Statutes

35 U.S.C. 271(a)13

35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b).....2

35 U.S.C. § 271(b) *passim*

UCC §7-30916

Other Authorities

Restatement (Second) of Contracts § 24.....21

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.