


**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	Case No. 2:17-CV-0514-JRG
Plaintiff,	§	(LEAD CASE)
	§	
v.	§	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>
	§	
HTC CORPORATION,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

LG ELECTRONICS INC.,	§	Case No. 2:17-CV-0515-JRG
	§	(CONSOLIDATED CASE)
Defendant.	§	
	§	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>

**PLAINTIFF AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC'S RESPONSE
IN OPPOSITION TO LG ELECTRONICS INC.'S
SEALED MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT
OF LIMITATION OF DAMAGES (DKT. 117)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF ISSUES	1
III. RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS	1
IV. COUNTER-STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS	3
V. LEGAL STANDARDS	4
VI. ARGUMENT	5
A. LG Cannot Show Failure to Comply with Section 287	5
VII. CONCLUSION.....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Am. Med. Sys., Inc. v. Med. Eng'g Corp.</i> , 6 F.3d 1523, 1537 (Fed. Cir. 1993).....	5, 9
<i>Amsted Industries Inc. v. Buckeye Steel Castings Co.</i> , 24 F.3d 178, 30 U.S.P.Q.2d 1462 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	5
<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 91 L. Ed. 2d 202 (1986).....	4
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prods. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350, 124 U.S.P.Q.2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	7
<i>AVID Identification Sys., Inc. v. Philips Elecs. N.A. Corp.</i> , No. 2:07-cv-183, 2006 WL 1408318 (E.D. Tex. 2006).....	9
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i> , 477 U.S. 317, 106 S. Ct. 2548, 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (1986).....	4
<i>Gart v. Logitech, Inc.</i> , 254 F.3d 1334, 1339, 59 U.S.P.Q. 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	5
<i>Maxwell v. J. Baker, Inc.</i> , 86 F.3d 1098 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	6
<i>Nike, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i> , 138 F.3d 1437 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	5
<i>Sentry Protection Prods., Inc. v. Eagle Mfg. Co.</i> , 400 F.3d 910, 918 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	9
<i>Tivo Inc. v. Echostar Comm'ns Corp.</i> , No. 2:07-cv-1-DF, 2006 WL 8408221 (E.D. Tex. 2006).....	5, 10
<i>United States v. Renda Marine, Inc.</i> , 667 F.3d 651 (5th Cir. 2012)	4
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 287(a)	4, 5, 9

Other Authorities

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c)4
Local Rule CV-56(a).....1
Local Rule CV-56(d)1

Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC (“AGIS”) hereby submits its Response in Opposition to LG Electronics Inc.’s (“LG”) Sealed Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Limitation of Damages (Dkt. 117).

I. INTRODUCTION

LG alleges that AGIS has failed to sufficiently mark its products and, therefore, AGIS cannot recover any pre-suit damages. However, at most, LG establishes that there are factual issues that require resolving which would preclude a finding of summary judgment.

II. RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF ISSUES

Whether AGIS may recover damages for pre-suit infringement of the location sharing patents where: (i) AGIS, Inc. did not mark the LifeRing product and its other publications with these patent numbers, and (ii) AGIS failed to give LGEKR notice of the alleged infringement of these patents prior to filing its Complaint in this action.

Response: Whether LG is entitled to judgment as a matter of law that (i) AGIS, Inc. did not mark the LifeRing product and its other publications with the patent numbers, and (ii) AGIS failed to give LG notice of the alleged infringement of these patents prior to filing its Complaint in this action.

III. RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS

LG has failed to present a Statement of Undisputed Material Facts as required by Local Rule CV-56(a) because the statements contain disputed facts, are argumentative, and lack citations to proper summary judgment evidence under Local Rule CV-56(d). AGIS presents the following responses to the allegations in the Statement of Undisputed Material Facts.

Response to No. 1: Undisputed.

Response to No. 2: Undisputed.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.