IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC	§	CASE NO. 2:17-cv-514-JRG
	§	(LEAD CASE)
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
HTC CORPORATION, et al.	§	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	§	
Defendant.	\$ §	
Defendant.	8	
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC	§	CASE NO. 2:17-CV-515-JRG
	§	(CONSOLIDATED CASE)
	8	,
Plaintiff,	§	
i iunitiii,	8 §	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	_	JUNI INIAL DEMIANDED
V.	§	
	§	
LG ELECTRONICS INC.	§	
	§	
Defendant.		

DEFENDANT LG ELECTRONICS INC.'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE NOS. 1-6



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Pa</u> ;	<u>ge</u>
I.	MIL NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE RELATED TO ACCUSED APPLICATIONS FOR WHICH AGIS HAS NOT PROFFERED EVIDENCE OR ADVANCED SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS OF INFRINGEMENT	. 1
II.	MIL NO. 2 TO PRECLUDE AGIS FROM INTRODUCING ARGUMENT, TESTIMONY, OR EVIDENCE THAT ACTIONS OF THIRD-PARTY LG U.S. COMPANIES CAN BE IMPUTED ONTO LG ELECTRONICS INC	. 5
III.	MIL NO. 3 TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE TO OVERALL FINANCIAL DATA FOR LG, GOOGLE, OR APPLE	. 6
IV.	MIL NO. 4 TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE RELATED TO ANY CALCULATED PER UNIT ROYALTY AMOUNT FOR HUAWEI LICENSE	. 8
V.	MIL NO. 5 TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE RELATED TO WILLFULNESS OR NOTICE BASED ON	10
VI.	MIL NO. 6 TO EXCLUDE ANY MENTION OF NO ADVICE OF COUNSEL REGARDING VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT	13



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Pag</u>	<u>(e(s)</u>
Cases	
AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Huawei Device USA Inc., No. 2:17-cv-00513-JRG (E.D. Tex. Nov. 5, 2018)	8
Amsted Indus. Inc. v. Buckeye Steel Castings Co., 24 F.3d 178 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	12
Avance v. Kerr-McGee Chem. LLC, No. 5:04CV209, 2006 WL 3484246 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 30, 2006)10), 11
Chalumeau Power Sys. LLC v. Alcatel—Lucent, No. 11-1175-RGA, 2012 WL 6968938 (D. Del. July 18, 2012)	11
Computer Acceleration Corp. v. Microsoft Corp., 503 F. Supp. 2d 819 (E.D. Tex. 2007)	2
In re Seagate Tech., LLC, 497 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	14
Knorr-Bremse Systeme Fuer Natzfahrzeuge GmbH v. Dana Corp., 383 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	14
LaserDynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc., 694 F.3d 51 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	.7, 8
Nike, Inc. v. Adidas Am. Inc., 479 F. Supp. 2d 664 (E.D. Tex. 2007)	5
Radware, Ltd. v. F5 Networks, Inc., No. 5:13-cv-02024-RMW, 2016 WL 4427490 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 22, 2016)	12
Realtime Data, LLC v T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc., No. 6:10-cv-493-RC-JDL (E.D. Tex. Jan. 25, 2013)	6
Spherix Inc. v. Juniper Networks, Inc., No. C14-578-SLR, 2015 WL 1517508 (D. Del. Mar. 31, 2015)	12
State Indus., Inc. v. A.O. Smith Corp., 751 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	11
Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp., 632 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2011).	.7. 8



<u>Statutes</u>	
35 U.S.C. § 287	12
Other Authorities	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)	10
Fed. R. Evid. Rule 401	5.6.8
Rule 402	
Rule 403	5, 6, 8

Defendant LG Electronics Inc. ("LG Korea") hereby moves *in limine* to preclude introduction by Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS") of certain argument, evidence, and/or testimony at trial, as described below.

I. MIL NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE RELATED TO ACCUSED APPLICATIONS FOR WHICH AGIS HAS NOT PROFFERED EVIDENCE OR ADVANCED SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS OF INFRINGEMENT

LG Korea moves *in limine* to exclude testimony, evidence and argument related to Google software, and functionality therein, for which AGIS does not advance any substantive contentions, evidence, or analyses in this case. In pursuing its infringement claims against LG Korea related to the asserted patents¹, AGIS and

Beyond this, there are passing assertions, without any substantive technical evidence or argument, that other Google applications and systems infringe. This includes Android OS, Google Plus, Google Hangouts, Google Assistant, Google Search, Google Messages, Android Messenger, Google Allo, Google Duo, Gmail, and/or Google Chrome. (*See, e.g.*, Ex. 1, AGIS infringement contentions - '970 chart - 12/19/18, at A-2; Ex. 2, AGIS infringement contentions - '055 chart - 11/28/17, at B-2; Ex. 3, AGIS infringement contentions - '251 chart - 11/28/17, at C-2; Ex. 4, AGIS infringement contentions - '838 chart - 11/28/17, at D-2;

Find My Device is the successor name to what was previously known as Android Device Manager. (D.I. 132-2, \P 1.)



¹ The patents AGIS asserts against LG Korea are U.S. Patent Nos. 8,213,970 ("'970 patent"), 9,408,055 ("'055 patent"), 9,445,251 ("'251 patent"), and 9,467,838 ("'838 patent") (collectively, "Patents-In-Suit").

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

