

EXHIBIT 10



11988 El Camino Real
Suite 350
San Diego, CA 92130-2594

T +1.858.720.5700
F +1.858.720.5799
PerkinsCoie.com

May 16, 2018

VIA EMAIL: AMESSING@BROWNRUDNICK.COM

Miguel J. Bombach
MBombach@perkinscoie.com
D. +1.858.720.5747
F. +1.858.720.5847

Alessandra Messing
Brown Rudnick LLP
Seven Times Square
New York, NY 10036

Re: *AGIS Software Development, LLC v. HTC Corporation*
Case No. 2:17-cv-514 (E.D. Tex.)

Dear Ms. Messing:

I write in response to your letter dated May 9, 2018.

As an initial matter, your letter references a January 16 letter that HTC Corp. never received. In fact, HTC Corp.'s answer date was January 22, 2018, several days after this referenced correspondence.

A week after your March 8 letter, HTC Corp. provided to AGIS over 20,000 pages of responsive and relevant documents on March 15. About two months later, your May 9 letter includes only a generic complaint.¹ AGIS's complaint is unhelpful to HTC Corp. From your letter, HTC Corp. cannot identify what, if anything, you allege is missing. Can you please specify, more particularly, what you believe is missing so HTC Corp. can address it?

In addition, your May 9 letter complains about the number of Google-related documents in HTC Corp.'s production. But, as you well know, AGIS has accused Google's applications (such as Hangouts, Maps, Device Manager, to name a few). HTC Corp. simply installs some Google applications. HTC Corp. does not receive source code to nor does it modify the source code of Google's applications. Naturally, many relevant documents would be Google related.

With respect to source code, even though no HTC Corp. made application is accused or implicated, HTC Corp. will lay make its source code for its phones available for inspection at Perkins Coie's San Diego office. For the initial inspection, please provide us with a week notice so that we may set up the source code computer.

Your generic complaints regarding HTC Corp.'s interrogatory responses also makes it impossible for HTC Corp. to understand exactly what issues you have with the responses. Each

¹ You stated that HTC Corp. failed to produce "Source code, specifications, schematics, flow charts, artwork, formulas, or other documentation sufficient to show the operation of any aspects or elements of an Accused Instrumentality identified by the patent claimant in its P. R. 3-1(c) chart."