

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION,

Defendant.

**CASE NO. 2:17-CV-0514-JRG
(LEAD CASE)**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DEFENDANT HTC CORPORATION'S MOTIONS *IN LIMINE* NOS. 1-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. EXCLUDE ANY NON-FACTUAL OR POTENTIALLY PREJUDICIAL COMMENTARY REGARDING HTC CORP.'S NATIONALITY	1
II. EXCLUDE ANY ARGUMENT OR TESTIMONY CONCERNING HTC CORP.'S SIZE, WEALTH, TOTAL NET WORTH, TOTAL PROFITS, AND/OR ABILITY TO PAY DAMAGES	2
III. EXCLUDE ANY ARGUMENT OR TESTIMONY REGARDING GOOGLE'S CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE THAT WAS NOT INCLUDED IN AGIS'S INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS.....	3
IV. EXCLUDE AGIS'S ARGUMENT OR TESTIMONY REGARDING ON GOOGLE SOURCE CODE THAT WAS PRODUCED AFTER HTC CORP.'S EXPERT SERVED HIS REBUTTAL REPORT	6
V. EXCLUDE AGIS ARGUMENT OR TESTIMONY ABOUT JOINT INFRINGEMENT.....	7
VI. EXCLUDE AGIS'S EXPERT'S TESTIMONY ABOUT NONDISCLOSED DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS THEORIES	8
VII. EXCLUDE AGIS'S EXPERTS OR AGIS FROM REFERRING TO COMPONENT SUPPLIER OR CARRIER CONTRACTS.....	9
VIII. EXCLUDE AGIS'S RELIANCE ON UNPRODUCED THIRD-PARTY LICENSES	9
IX. EXCLUDE INVENTOR'S TESTIMONY ON ISSUES HE REFUSED TO TESTIFY ON DURING HIS CORPORATE DEPOSITION	11
X. EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE TO ANY EXPERT'S PRIOR WORK WITH HTC CORP.'S LAWYERS OR RETENTION BY PERKINS COIE LLP.....	13
XI. EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE TO AN EARLIER PRIORITY DATE BASED ON ALLEGED CONCEPTION AND/OR REDUCTION TO PRACTICE.....	14
XII. EXCLUDE ANY ARGUMENT OR TESTIMONY CONCERNING THE PRICE GOOGLE PAID FOR A PORTION OF HTC CORP.'S SMARTPHONE BUSINESS.....	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	PAGE(S)
<i>Allure Energy, Inc. v. Nest Labs, Inc.</i> , No. 9:13-cv-102, Dkt. 281 (E.D. Tex. May 18, 2015).....	12
<i>Anascope Ltd. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 9:06-cv-158, 2008 WL 7180756 (E.D. Tex. May 1, 2008)	4
<i>Biscotti Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 213CV01015JRGRSP, 2017 WL 2267283 (E.D. Tex. May 24, 2017)	5
<i>Boyle v. Mannesmann Demag Corp.</i> , 991 F.2d 794 (6th Cir. 1993)	1
<i>Burke v. Deere & Co.</i> , 6 F.3d 497 (8th Cir. 1993)	2, 15
<i>ContentGuard Holdings, Inc. v. Amazon, Inc., et al.</i> , No 2:13-cv-01112-JRG, 2015 WL 11089490 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 4, 2015)	2
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc.</i> , 845 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	7
<i>Gearhart v. Uniden Corp. of America</i> , 781 F.2d 147 (8th Cir. 1986)	1
<i>Hall v. Freese</i> , 735 F.2d 956 (5th Cir. 1984)	2
<i>HTC Corp. v. Tech. Props.</i> , No. 5:08-cv-882, 2013 WL 4782598 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 6, 2013).....	3
<i>In Commil USA, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , 720 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2013), <i>vacated in part on other grounds</i> , 135 S. Ct. 1920 (2015).....	1, 2
<i>Joe Andrew Salazar v. HTC Corp.</i> , 2:16-cv-01096, Dkt. No. 197	2
<i>Joe Andrew Salazar v. HTC Corp.</i> , 2:16-cv-01096, Dkt. No. 236	1
<i>LaserDynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 51 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

CASES	PAGE(S)
<i>London Guarantee & Accident Co v. Woelfle</i> , 83 F.2d 325 (8th Cir. 1936)	1
<i>Mears Techs., Inc. v. Finisar Corp.</i> , No. 2:13-cv-376-JRG, 2014 WL 12605571 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 6, 2014).....	4
<i>Montes v. Phelps Dodge Indus., Inc.</i> , 481 F. Supp. 2d 700 (W.D. Tex. 2006).....	10
<i>Moore, U.S.A., Inc. v. Standard Register Co.</i> , 229 F.3d 1091 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	8
<i>Opal Run LLC v. C & A Mktg., Inc.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-24-JRG-RSP, 2017 WL 3381344 (E.D. Tex. May 15, 2017)	5
<i>Peterson v. Willie</i> , 81 F.3d 1033 (11th Cir. 1996)	14
<i>Rainey v. Am. Forest and Paper Ass’n, Inc.</i> , 26 F. Supp. 2d 82 (D.D.C. 1998).....	12
<i>Realtime Data, LLC v. Packeteer, Inc.</i> , No. 6:08-cv-144, 2009 WL 2590101 (E.D. Tex. 2009).....	4
<i>Reilly v. Natwest Markets Grp. Inc.</i> , 181 F.3d 253 (2d Cir. 1999).....	3, 15
<i>SSL Servs. LLC v. Citrix Sys., Inc. et al.</i> , No. 2:08-cv-158-JRG, Dkt. 227 (E.D. Tex. June 5, 2012).....	3, 15
<i>Super Future Equities, Inc. v. Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, N.A.</i> , No. CIV A 306-cv-271, 2007 WL 4410370 (N.D. Tex. Dec. 14, 2007)	12, 13
<i>UltimatePointer, LLC. v. Nintendo Co.</i> , No. 6:11-cv-496, 2013 WL 12140173 (E.D. Tex. May 28, 2013)	4
<i>United States v. Pipkins</i> , 528 F.2d 559 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 426 U.S. 952, 96 S.Ct. 3177,49 L.Ed.2d 1191 (1976).....	14
<i>Whirlpool Corp. v. TST Water, LLC</i> , No. 2:15-cv-1528-JRG, 2017 WL 2931403 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 3, 2017).....	1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

CASES	PAGE(S)
<i>Zix Corp. v. Echoworx Corp.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-1272-JRG, 2016 WL 3410367 (E.D. Tex. May 13, 2016).....	4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.