

# EXHIBIT 7

Docket No.: MOC-001  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

First Named Inventor:  
Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr.

Application No.: 14/529,978

Confirmation No.: 1092

Filed: October 31, 2014

Art Unit: 2646

For: METHOD TO PROVIDE AD HOC AND  
PASSWORD PROTECTED DIGITAL AND  
VOICE NETWORKS

---

Examiner: O. Obayanju

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

In response to the Office Action dated February 2, 2016, please amend the above-identified U.S. patent application as follows:

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 15 of this paper.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-4, 7, 9-16, 19, 20, 22-27, 30, 31, 33-38, 40-42, 45, 48, 49, 51-53, 56, and 59-81 were presented for examination and were rejected. In the present Amendment, claims 1, 2, 7, 10-15, 20, 23-26, 31, 34-37, 42, 45, 48, 53, 56, 59-64, 66, 68, 74-76, and 78-81 are amended, claims 82-88 are added, and claims 40, 41, 51, and 52 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

No new matter is added. Support for the claim amendments can be found, for example, in U.S. Patent No. 7,630,724 (e.g., in the Abstract; in col. 3:11-20, 3:32-35, 3:42-51, 3:58-63, 6:1-23, 6:44-59, 9:23-47, 10:56-11:15, 11:44-58, 12:12-62, 14:60-67, 15:7-16, 16:42-59, and 17:45-51; and in FIG. 4). It is noted that the '724 patent was incorporated by reference into the present application at the time of the present application's filing.

**Interview Summary**

The Applicant and the undersigned thank the Examiner for his time and courtesy during the interview that took place on April 4, 2016. The interview was held pursuant to an Interview Agenda that was emailed to Examiner Obayanju on March 31, 2016. Copies of the email and the Interview Agenda are submitted herewith. The participants included Examiner Obayanju, Applicant's undersigned representative (Daniel J. Burns), Applicant's legal representative (Samuel S. Stone), and the first named inventor (Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr.).

During the interview, the participants discussed the proposed claim amendments listed in the Interview Agenda in relation to the cited art. In particular, the participants discussed whether U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0227705 ("Rousu") taught or suggested "wherein the device is configured to initiate transmission of the information comprising the updated location of the device in response to a displacement of the device by a predetermined distance relative to a previous location of the device." No agreement was reached on this point.

In addition, the Examiner encouraged Applicant's representatives to further amend the independent claims. Without acceding to the rejections, and in the interest of advancing prosecution, Applicant's representatives agreed to further amend the independent claims. Accordingly, the independent claims are amended herein.

**Applicability of Post-AIA Provisions of the Patent Laws to the Present Application**

The Office Action (p. 2) states that "[t]he present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions" of the patent laws. Applicant respectfully notes that the

ACTIVE/85726540.2