EXHIBIT 9

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

	§	
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	Case No. 2:17-CV-0513-JRG
	§	(LEAD CASE)
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC., ET AL.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	8	

PLAINTIFF AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT HTC CORPORATION'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF (NOS. 1-15)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS" or "Plaintiff") hereby supplements its response to Defendant HTC Corporation's ("HTC") First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff (Nos. 1-15) in writing, under oath, and in accordance with the following definitions and instructions. These Interrogatories are continuing in nature and require supplementation in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as follows:

These responses are made solely for the purposes of this action, and are made without waiving, or intending to waive, the right at any time to revise, correct, modify, supplement or clarify any response provided herein or the right to object on any proper grounds to the use of these responses, for any purpose in whole or in part, in any subsequent proceedings or any other action. The right to raise any applicable objections at any time is expressly reserved. A response to any interrogatory herein should not be taken as an admission or acceptance of the existence of any facts set forth or assumed by such interrogatory, or that such response constitutes admissible

Case 2:17-cv-00514-JRG Document 162-10 Filed 02/13/19 Page 3 of 3 PageID #: 14476

AGIS objects to this interrogatory as overbroad, irrelevant, and unduly burdensome because it seeks information beyond the scope of HTC's P.R. 3-3 disclosures, *i.e.*, invalidity contentions, which were served on March 15, 2018.

AGIS objects to this interrogatory because the interrogatory is an improper compound request. AGIS objects to this interrogatory because the interrogatory contains numerous distinct sub-parts (at least twenty five), each of which counts towards HTC's total number of interrogatories.

AGIS objects to this Interrogatory as not sufficiently limited as to scope such that it places an undue burden on AGIS.

AGIS objects to this Interrogatory as seeking information that is properly the subject of expert reports before the deadline for such disclosures.

AGIS objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

AGIS objects to this Interrogatory as seeking information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party to this action, and not proportional to the needs of the case.

Notwithstanding its general and specific objections, AGIS answers as follows: Discovery in this case is still ongoing and AGIS continues to investigate this matter. AGIS states that each asserted claim of each Patent-in-Suit is entitled to at least the September 21, 2004 priority date of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/711,490, filed on September 21, 2004, which issued as U.S. Patent No. 7,031,728. (AGIS reserves the right to rely on an interim priority date, as AGIS continues to rely on interim priority dates identified in each of the Patents-in-Suit to establish priority prior to the actual filing date of the Patents-in-Suit (e.g., interim priority date April 18, 2006 which corresponds to the filing date of U.S. Patent No. 7,630,724). AGIS reserves the right to supplement the response to this interrogatory. For example, AGIS reserves

22

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.