## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,  Plaintiff,  v.  HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC., ET AL.,  Defendants.	\$ Case No. 2:17-CV-0513-JRG (LEAD CASE)  \$ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED  \$ \$
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,  Plaintiff,  v.  LG ELECTRONICS INC.,  Defendant.	\$

#### **E-DISCOVERY ORDER**

The Court ORDERS as follows:

- 1. This order supplements all other discovery rules and orders. It streamlines Electronically Stored Information ("ESI") production to promote a "just, speedy, and inexpensive determination" of this action, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1.
- 2. This order may be modified in the court's discretion or by agreement of the parties. The parties shall jointly submit any proposed modifications within 60 days after the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 conference. If the parties cannot resolve their disagreements



- regarding these modifications, the parties shall submit their competing proposals and a summary of their dispute.
- A party's meaningful compliance with this Order and efforts to promote efficiency and reduce costs will be considered in cost-shifting determinations.
- 4. General ESI production requests under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 34 and 45, or compliance with a mandatory disclosure requirement of this Court, shall include metadata. Load files should include, where applicable, the information listed in the Table of Metadata Fields, attached as Exhibit A. However, the parties are not obligated to include metadata for any document that does not contain such metadata in the original, if it is not possible to automate the creation of metadata when the document is collected. In addition, the parties are not obligated to include metadata in situations where documents originally were collected for prior litigations without an obligation to maintain metadata, or where the metadata fields may not reflect the original metadata associated with the file as originally created or maintained due to the manner in which the documents originally were collected for such prior litigations. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties are obligated to collect documents in their original form, including the associated, pre-existing metadata fields set forth in Exhibit A, where doing so would not be unduly burdensome. The parties reserve their rights to object to any request for the creation of metadata for documents that do not contain metadata in the original.
- 5. Absent agreement of the parties or further order of this court, the following parameters shall apply to ESI production:
  - A. **General Document Image Format.** Except as otherwise provided for in this Order, all documents existing in electronic format shall be produced in either: (1) single page



Tagged Image File Format ("TIFF") format, with such TIFF files named with a unique production number followed by the appropriate file extension and produced with Load files to indicate the location and unitization of the TIFF files, and which shall maintain the unitization of the documents and any attachments and/or affixed notes as they existed in the original document or (2) as multiple page, searchable PDF format at a resolution of at least 300 dpi in accordance with the following:

- 1) PDF files shall be produced along with Concordance/Opticon image load files that indicate the beginning and ending of each document.
- 2) For documents which already exist in PDF format prior to production (i.e., which the producing party receives from a client or third party in PDF format), the producing party may provide them in that same PDF format, whether searchable or non-searchable. For documents converted to PDF format prior to production, the producing party shall make reasonable efforts to convert to searchable PDF.
- B. Format for production of documents hardcopy or paper documents. All documents that are hardcopy or paper files shall be scanned and produced in the same manner as documents existing in electronic format, above.
- C. **Text-Searchable Documents.** No party has an obligation to make its production text-searchable; however, if a party's documents already exist in text-searchable format independent of this litigation, or are converted to text-searchable format for use in this litigation, including for use by the producing party's counsel, then such documents shall be produced in the same text-searchable format at no cost to the receiving party.



- D. **Footer.** All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential within a given document and across the production sets.
- E. **Confidentiality Designation**. Responsive documents in TIFF format will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Protective Order in this matter. Each responsive document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file.
- F. Native Files. Spreadsheets (e.g., MS Excel, Google Sheets) and delimited text files (e.g. comma-separated value (.csv) files and tab-separated value (.tsv) files) shall be produced in their native file format. TIFF images need not be produced unless the files have been redacted, in which instance such files shall be produced in TIFF with OCR Text Files. If good cause exists to request production of files, other than those specifically set forth above, in native format, the party may request such production and provide an explanation of the need for native file review, which request shall not unreasonably be denied. Any native files that are produced shall be produced with a link in the NativeLink field, along with extracted text and applicable metadata fields set forth in Exhibit A. A TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format should accompany the database record. If a file has been redacted, TIFF images and OCR text of the redacted document will suffice in lieu of a native file and extracted text. Documents produced natively shall be represented in the set of imaged documents by a slipsheet indicating the production identification number and confidentiality designation for the native file that is being produced.
- G. **No Backup Restoration Required.** Absent a showing of good cause, no party need restore any form of media upon which backup data is maintained in a party's normal



- or allowed processes, including but not limited to backup tapes, disks, SAN, and other forms of media, to comply with its discovery obligations in the present case.
- H. Voicemail and Mobile Devices. Absent a showing of good cause, voice-mails, PDAs and mobile phones are deemed not reasonably accessible and need not be collected and preserved.
- I. Culling and Filtering. Each party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files by using a commercially reasonable hash identification process. Hash values that may be filtered out during this process are located in the National Software Reference Library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list.

  Additional culling of system file types based on file extension may include, but are not limited to: WINNT, LOGS, DRVS, AVI, C++ Program File (c), C++ Builder 6 (cpp), Channel Definition Format (cdf), Creatures Object Sources (cos), Dictionary file (dic), Executable (exe), Hypertext Cascading Style Sheet (css), JavaScript Source Code (js), Label Pro Data File (IPD), Office Data File (NICK), Office Profile Settings (ops), Outlook Rules Wizard File (rwz), Scrap Object, System File (dll), Temporary File (tmp), Windows Error Dump (dmp), Windows Media Player Skin Package (wmz), Windows NT/2000 Event View Log file (evt), Python Script files (.py, .pyc, .pud, .pyw), or Program Installers.
- J. **Deduplication**. A party is required to produce only a single copy of a responsive document and a party may de-duplicate responsive ESI (based on MD5 or SHA-1 hash values at the document level) across Custodians. For emails with attachments, the hash value is generated based on the parent/child document grouping. A party may also de-duplicate "near-duplicate" email threads as follows: In an email thread,



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

