

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC,	§	
	§	Case No. 2:17-cv-513-JRG
Plaintiff,	§	(LEAD CASE)
	§	
v.	§	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>
	§	
HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC., HUAWEI	§	
DEVICE CO., LTD. AND HUAWEI	§	
DEVICE (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
	§	

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	Case No. 2:17-cv-515-JRG
Plaintiff,	§	(CONSOLIDATED CASE)
	§	
v.	§	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>
	§	
	§	
LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

**PLAINTIFF AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC'S OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT LG ELECTRONICS, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR
LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO TRANSFER
VENUE TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (DKT. 46)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	3
A. AGIS Software Development, LLC, AGIS, Inc., and AGIS Holdings, LLC	3
B. AGIS’s Witnesses	4
C. LGEKR’s Contact with the District	6
D. LGEKR’s Witnesses	6
E. Other Non-Party Witnesses	7
F. Other Related Co-Pending Litigations in This Court	7
III. LEGAL STANDARDS	8
A. Personal Jurisdiction	8
B. Transfer Under Section 1404(a)	10
IV. ARGUMENT	11
A. LGEKR is Subject to Personal Jurisdiction Under the Stream of Commerce Theory	11
B. Transfer to the Northern District of California Is Not Warranted	17
1. Each of the Private Interest Factors Weigh Against Transfer	18
(a) Convenience of the Parties and Witnesses and Cost of Attendance for Willing Witnesses Weigh Against Transfer	18
(b) Access to Sources of Proof Does Not Favor Transfer	22
(c) Availability of Compulsory Process Does Not Favor Transfer	25
(d) LGEKR’s Case Law Does Not Necessitate Transfer	26
2. Judicial Economy Weighs Against Transfer	27
3. The Public Interest Factors Weigh Against Transfer	28

(a) This District’s Strong Localized Interest in this Dispute Weighs Against Transfer	28
(b) Court Congestion Weighs Against Transfer	29
V. CONCLUSION.....	30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Abatix Corp. v. Capra</i> , No. 2:07-cv-541, 2008 WL 4427285 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 24, 2008).....	18, 19
<i>Affinity Labs of Tex. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , 968 F. Supp. 2d 852 (E.D. Tex. 2013).....	27
<i>Aloft Media, LLC v. Adobe Sys.</i> , No. 6:07–CV–355, 2008 WL 819956 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 25, 2008).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 456 Fed. App’x 907 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	17
<i>Asahi Metal Ind. Co., Ltd. v. Chang Shin Rubber Ind. Co., Ltd.</i> , 480 U.S. 102 (1987).....	14
<i>ATEN Int’l Co. v. Emine Tech. Co.</i> , 261 F.R.D. 112 (E.D. Tex. 2009).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Autobytel, Inc. v. Insweb Corp.</i> , No. 2:07-CV-524, 2009 WL 901482 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 31, 2009).....	8, 9
<i>Beijing Zhongyi Zhongbiao Elec. Info. Tech. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 4:12-cv-4077, 2013 WL 3808009 (W.D. Ark. July 22, 2013).....	23
<i>Beverly Hills Fan Co. v. Royal Sovereign Corp.</i> , 21 F.3d 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Bluestone Innovations, LLC v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , 940 F. Supp. 2d 310 (E.D. Va. 2013).....	27
<i>BNSF Ry. Co. v. OOCL (USA), Inc.</i> , 667 F. Supp. 2d 703 (N.D. Tex. 2009).....	26
<i>Breckenridge Pharm., Inc. v. Metabolite Labs., Inc.</i> , 444 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	10
<i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i> , 471 U.S. 462 (1985).....	9, 16
<i>Catalina Mktg. Corp. v. LDM Grp. LLC</i> , No. 2:07-CV-477 (TJW), 2008 WL 4239758 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 9, 2008).....	18

Celgard, LLC v. SK Innovation Co.,
792 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....15

Cell & Network Selection, LLC v. AT & T Mobility LLC,
No. 6:11-CV-706 LED-JDL, 2013 WL 1855972, at *6 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 29,
2013)17

Centre One v. Vonage Holdings Corp.,
No. 6:08-CV-467, 2009 WL 2461003 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 10, 2009).....26

ColorQuick, L.L.C. v. Vistaprint Ltd.,
No. 6:09-CV-323, 2010 WL 5136050 (E.D. Tex. Jul. 22, 2010)28

Continental Grain Co. v. The FBL-585,
364 U.S. 19 (1960).....27

In re D-Link Corp.,
183 Fed. App'x 967 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....21

Eidos Display, LLC v. AU Optronics Corp.,
No. 6:11CV201 LED-JDL, 2011 WL 13098296, at *2 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 21,
2011)24

Fellowship Filtering Techs., LLC v. Alibaba.com, Inc.,
No. 2:15-CV-2049-JRG, 2016 WL 6917272 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 1, 2016)15

Fujinomaki v. Google Inc. et al.,
No. 2:15-cv-1381-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 2807798 (E.D. Tex. May. 13, 2016)26

In re Genentech, Inc.,
566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....29

Genetic Implant Sys., Inc. v. Core-Vent Corp.,
123 F.3d 1455 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....8

GSK Tech., Inc. v. Schneider Elec., S.A.,
No. 6:06-cv-361, 2007 WL 788343 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 14, 2007).....12, 17

Gulf Oil Corp. v. Gilbert,
330 U.S. 501 (1947), *superseded by statute on other grounds*10

In re Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc.,
587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....25

In re Horseshoe Entm't,
337 F.3d 429 (5th Cir. 2003)11

..

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.