



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC., *et al.*,

*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-513-JRG  
(LEAD CASE)

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

APPLE INC.,

*Defendant.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-516-JRG  
(CONSOLIDATED CASE)

**APPLE INC.'S OPPOSITION TO AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC'S  
MOTIONS *IN LIMINE* NOS. 1-12 (DKT. NO. 293)**



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I. AGIS’S MIL NO. 1 TO PRECLUDE APPLE FROM INTRODUCING TESTIMONY OR EVIDENCE RELATED TO AGIS’S FINANCES .....	1
II. AGIS’S MIL NO. 2 TO PRECLUDE THE USE OF DEROGATORY, DISPARAGING, AND/OR PEJORATIVE REFERENCES ABOUT NON-PRACTICING ENTITIES INCLUDING AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC AND AGIS, INC. ....	2
III. AGIS’S MIL NO. 3 TO PRECLUDE DISPARAGING THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE .....	4
IV. AGIS’S MIL NO. 4 TO PRECLUDE APPLE FROM REFERENCING PENDING <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW PROCEEDINGS OR SUCCESS RATES OF SUCH PROCEEDINGS .....	5
V. AGIS’S MIL NO. 5 TO PRECLUDE APPLE FROM INTRODUCING EVIDENCE OR TESTIMONY REGARDING UNRELATED LITIGATION INCLUDING VERDICTS .....	6
A. The Life360 Case Is Relevant.....	6
B. Evidence Regarding The Life360 Case Is Not Unfairly Prejudicial.....	8
VI. AGIS’S MIL NO. 6 TO PRECLUDE APPLE FROM INTRODUCING TESTIMONY, EVIDENCE, OR ARGUMENT RELATED TO LITIGATION FUNDING.....	9
VII. AGIS’S MIL NO. 7 TO PRECLUDE APPLE FROM INTRODUCING TESTIMONY, EVIDENCE, OR ARGUMENT RELATED TO POTENTIAL TARGETS FOR LITIGATION .....	10
VIII. AGIS’S MIL NO. 8 TO PRECLUDE APPLE FROM INTRODUCING TESTIMONY OR EVIDENCE RELATED TO AGIS’S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY MONETIZATION OR LITIGATION EFFORTS UNRELATED TO THE CURRENT LAWSUIT .....	12
IX. AGIS’S MIL NO. 9 TO PRECLUDE ANY REFERENCES, EVIDENCE, SUGGESTION, TESTIMONY OR ELICITATION OF ANY TESTIMONY BY APPLE COMPARING ANY ACCUSED PRODUCT TO ANY PURPORTED PRIOR ART DEVICE, A PRIOR ART PATENT, OR ANY OTHER PRIOR ART.....	13
X. AGIS’S MIL NO. 10 TO PRECLUDE ANY REFERENCE, EVIDENCE, SUGGESTION, TESTIMONY, OR ELICITATION OF ANY TESTIMONY BY	

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
APPLE REGARDING AGIS'S ELECTION OF PATENT CLAIMS TO STREAMLINE THIS LITIGATION .....	14
XI. AGIS'S MIL NO. 11 TO PRECLUDE ANY REFERENCE, EVIDENCE, SUGGESTION, TESTIMONY, OR ELICITATION OF ANY TESTIMONY BY APPLE THAT PLAINTIFF ENGAGED IN "FORUM SHOPPING" OR "LITIGATION ABUSE," OR THAT THIS DISTRICT IS A POPULAR VENUE FOR PATENT HOLDERS .....	14
XII. AGIS'S MIL NO. 12 TO PRECLUDE APPLE FROM INTRODUCING EVIDENCE OF PRIOR ART NOT INCLUDED IN APPLE'S FINAL ELECTION OF PRIOR ART .....	15

..



**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Pages**

**Cases**

*Advanced Ground Information Systems, Inc. v. Life360, Inc.*,  
Case No. 9:14-cv-80651 (S.D. Fla.) ..... 6, 8

*Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. LG Elecs., Inc.*,  
No. 2:14-CV-911-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 4718963 (E.D. Tex. July 12, 2016), *report  
and recommendation adopted sub nom. Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L v. LG  
Elecs., Inc.*, No. 2:14-CV-911-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 4719791 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 8,  
2016) ..... 4, 13

*Datatreasury Corp. v. Wells Fargo & Co.*,  
No. 2:06-CV-72 DF, 2010 WL 11468934 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 5, 2010) ..... 9

*Droplets, Inc. v. Overstock.com, Inc.*,  
No. 2:11-CV-401-JRG-RSP, 2014 WL 11515642 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 10, 2014) ..... 14

*EVM Sys., LLC v. Rex Medical, L.P.*,  
2015 WL 11089476 (E.D. Tex. June 10, 2015) ..... 4

*Freeny v. Murphy Oil Corp.*,  
No. 2:13-CV-791-RSP, 2015 WL 11108703 (E.D. Tex. May 29, 2015) ..... 15

*Garcia v. United States*,  
No. SA-04-CR-425(17)-OG, 2010 WL 11613981 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 7, 2010) ..... 12

*Georgia-Pac. Corp. v. U.S. Plywood Corp.*,  
318 F. Supp. 1116 (S.D.N.Y. 1970), *modified sub nom. Georgia-Pac. Corp. v.  
U.S. Plywood-Champion Papers, Inc.*, 446 F.2d 295 (2d Cir. 1971) ..... 1, 2, 3, 12

*Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City*,  
383 U.S. 1, 86 S. Ct. 684, 15 L. Ed. 2d 545 (1966) ..... 2, 11

*Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.*,  
No. 10-1067-LPS, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2841 (D. Del. Jan. 6, 2015) ..... 3

*Iovate Health Scis., Inc. v. Bio-Engineered Supplements & Nutrition, Inc.*,  
No. 9:07-CV-46, 2008 WL 11344916 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 29, 2008) ..... 3

*Mendenhall v. Cedarapids, Inc.*,  
5 F.3d 1557 (Fed. Cir. 1993) ..... 8, 9

*Mobile Telecommunications Techs., LLC v. ZTE (USA) Inc.*,  
No. 2:13-CV-946-JRG, 2016 WL 8260584 (E.D. Tex. July 22, 2016) ..... 5

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (cont'd)****Pages**

<i>Motile Optics, LLC v. SAVV Entm't Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 6:15-CV-01118-RWS-JDL, 2017 WL 2901709 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 10, 2017), report and recommendation adopted, No. 6:15-CV-01118RWS-JDL, 2017 WL 2901715 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 1, 2017) .....	11
<i>Nobelbiz, Inc. v. Glob. Connect</i> , L.L.C., No. 6:12-CV-244-RWS, 2015 WL 11072170 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 2, 2015) .....	15
<i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 2:15-CV-621-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 7743510 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 21, 2016).....	5, 13
<i>Rembrandt Wireless Tech., LP v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , Case No. 2:14-cv-911-JRG-RSP, 2015 WL 627430 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 31, 2015).....	4, 15
<i>Respironics, Inc. v. Invacare Corp.</i> , 303 F. App'x 865 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	8
<i>Retractable Techs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson &amp; Co.</i> , 653 F.3d 1296 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	8
<i>Silver State Intellectual Techs., Inc. v. Garmin Int'l, Inc.</i> , No. 2:11-CV-01578-GMN, 2015 WL 2152658 (D. Nev. May 7, 2015).....	3
<i>Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:13-CV-447-JRG-KNM, 2015 WL 11089593 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 29, 2015) .....	15
<i>Sprint Commc'ns Co., L.P. v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.</i> , No. 2017-2247, 2018 WL 6266319 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 30, 2018) .....	7, 9
<i>Stafford v. Lamorak Ins. Co.</i> , No. 18-60160, 2018 WL 5099628 (5th Cir. Oct. 18, 2018) .....	7, 8
<i>United States v. D.K.G. Appaloosas, Inc.</i> , 630 F. Supp. 1540 (E.D. Tex. 1986), <i>aff'd</i> , 829 F.2d 532 (5th Cir. 1987).....	12
<i>United States v. Del Rosario</i> , No. 12 CR 81 KBF, 2012 WL 1710923 (S.D.N.Y. May 11, 2012) .....	12
<i>United States v. Khoa Dang Hoang</i> , 737 F. App'x 136 (4th Cir. 2018) .....	12
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:12-CV-855, 2016 WL 4063802 (E.D. Tex. July 29, 2016) .....	9

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.