IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§ §
Plaintiff,	§ § Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-513-JRG
v.	§ (LEAD CASE)
HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC., et al.,	§ §
Defendants.	§ §
	§
AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§
Plaintiff,	 § Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-516-JRG § (CONSOLIDATED CASE)
v.	§
APPLE INC.,	§ §
Defendant.	§ §

APPLE INC.'S SUR-REPLY IN OPPOSITION TO DKT. NO. 235, AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO INVALIDITY



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				<u>Pages</u>	
I.	INTR	ODUC	ΓΙΟΝ	1	
II.	ARGUMENT				
	A.		ne Factual Disputes Regarding Whether Poulin Invalidates AGIS's ted Claims Preclude Summary Judgment.	1	
		1.	AGIS's Conclusory Attorney Arguments Regarding Poulin Do Not Warrant Summary Judgment On Anticipation.	1	
		2.	AGIS Continues To Ignore Evidence Of Obviousness	3	
	В.		ne Factual Disputes Regarding Whether The FBCB2 System dates AGIS's Asserted Claims Preclude Summary Judgment	4	
		1.	AGIS'S Reply Acknowledges Disputed Facts As To Whether The First Devices In The FBCB2 System Have Access To The IP Addresses Of Other Devices.	4	
		2.	Dr. Siegel's Report Is Unequivocal That FBCB2 Anticipates The IP Access Limitations.	5	
	C.		Does Not Dispute That Its Motion Does Not Pertain To All	7	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Pages</u>
<u>Cases</u>	
Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242 (1986)	4
Cheese Sys., Inc. v. Tetra Pak Cheese & Powder Sys., Inc., 725 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	6
GE Lighting Sols. v. AgiLight, Inc., 750 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	2
TransWeb, LLC v. 3M Innovative Properties Co., 812 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	
Wi-LAN USA, Inc. v. Ericsson, Inc., 675 F. App'x. 984 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	<u>Description</u>
Ex. 1	US Pat. No. 9,445,251
Ex. 2	US Pat. No. 9,408,055
Ex. 3	US Pat. No. 9,467,838
Ex. 4	US Pat. No. 9,749,829
Ex. 5	US Pat. Pub. No. US20020115453 (Poulin)
Ex. 6	Clark Invalidity Report Excerpts
Ex. 7	Siegel Invalidity Report Excerpts
Ex. 8	Siegel Deposition Excerpts
Ex. 9	AGIS's Final Election of Asserted Claims
Ex. 10	McAlexander Infringement Report Excerpts
Ex. 11	Rice Deposition Excerpt

I. INTRODUCTION

AGIS maintains its mission to avoid facing invalidity challenges at trial. Apple highlighted ample evidence that, at the very least, raises genuine factual disputes regarding both the Poulin prior art patent and the FBCB2 prior art system. AGIS once again tries to sidestep that evidence by either mischaracterizing the facts or wholly ignoring them. Neither tactic warrants summary judgment. AGIS's motion should be denied.

II. ARGUMENT

- A. Genuine Factual Disputes Regarding Whether Poulin Invalidates AGIS's Asserted Claims Preclude Summary Judgment.
 - 1. AGIS's Conclusory Attorney Arguments Regarding Poulin Do Not Warrant Summary Judgment On Anticipation.

AGIS's Reply does not demonstrate the absence of a genuine factual dispute regarding anticipation in view of Poulin for three reasons. *First*, AGIS falsely claims that Apple applied a special definition of the "user-selectable symbols" limitation that is the basis for AGIS's motion. *Second*, AGIS provides only unsupported attorney argument in an attempt to dismiss the evidence and expert opinions that preclude summary judgment. *Third*, AGIS completely ignores its own expert's opinions and its dependent claims, both of which undermine AGIS's motion.

AGIS now frames its arguments as Poulin failing to meet "Apple's [d]efinition of '[u]ser-[s]electable [s]ymbols" (Dkt. No. 284 ("Reply") at 2), but AGIS never explains what Apple's supposed "definition" is or how it supports AGIS's motion. That is because Apple did not impose a "definition" of user-selectable symbols. Instead, Apple explained how the record—disclosures in Poulin and Dr. Paul Clark's expert report applying those disclosures to AGIS's claims—defeat AGIS's motion. (Dkt. No. 265 ("Opposition") at 9-11.) Apple did so not based on any special "definition" of "user-selectable symbols," but instead with specific citations to the evidence and opinions that preclude summary judgment. (*Id.*)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

