## EXHIBIT 22

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT OF JOSEPH C. M<sup>©</sup>ALEXANDER III REGARDING VALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NUMBERS: 8,213,970; 9,408,055; 9,445,251; 9,467,838; AND 9,749,829

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, vs.
APPLE, INC.

Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-516-JRG

**November 19, 2018** 



secondary considerations of non-obviousness. I disagree with the conclusions presented by both Clark and Siegel.

- 6. This rebuttal report contains my opinions with respect to the subject matter of this proceeding and with the understandings as set forth above. I specifically reserve the right to formulate and offer additional or supplemental opinions based on any additional information, discovery, or evidence that may be provided or derived, future court rulings, or agreements between the parties, to the extent permitted by the Court.
- 7. The opinions I formed, regarding validity of the asserted claims of the '970, '055, '251, '838, and '829 Patents, are covered in detail in **Section 7**. The bases for those opinions are defined in **Section 6**. And the documents, references and other materials I have reviewed and considered are addressed in **Section 5**.
- 8. I anticipate being called to provide expert testimony before the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas regarding my opinions formed, resulting from my review of the '970, '055, '251, '838, and '829 Patents, the respective patent prosecution histories, and the previous October 29, 2018 reports of Clark and Siegel, including the identified art, as well as other invalidity arguments or contentions raised by APPLE, INC., (hereinafter referred to as "APPLE." If called, I will so testify.
- 9. Clark addressed the following alleged, prior art references in his report:
  - (1) U. S. Patent Number 7,386,589 to Tanumihardja and Brown ("the '589 Patent" or "Tanumihardja");
  - (2) U. S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0217109 to Ordille and Petsche ("the '109 Application" or "Ordille");
  - (3) U. S. Patent Number 5,692,032 to Seppänen, et. al. ("the '032 Patent" or "Seppänen");
  - (4) U. S. Patent Application Publication Number 2006/0178128 to Eaton, et. al. ("the '128 Application" or "Eaton");



- (5) U. S. Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0115453 to Poulin, et. al. ("the '453 Application" or "Poulin");
- (6) U. S. Patent Number 7,353,034 to Haney ("the '034 Patent" or "Haney");
- (7) U. S. Patent Application Publication Number 2007/0281690 to Altman and Sivo ("the '690 Application" or "Altman"); and
- (8) LifeRing.
- 10. Siegel addressed the following alleged, prior art references in his report:
  - (9) Force XXI Battle Command Brigade-and-Below System ("FBCB2" or "Blue Force Tracker").
- 11. **Table 1** below summarizes the references, addressed by Clark and Siegel, as applied to the '970, '055, '251, '838, and '829 Patents claims-at-issue in this case (reference numbers correspond to the numbers of the alleged prior art list above):

Patent Claims at Issue	Alleged Anticipation References	Alleged Obviousness References
'970 Patent, Claim 1	1; 2	1; 2; (1 or 2) in view of (3 or 4);
'970 Patent, Claim 5	1; 2	1; 2; (1 or 2) in view of (3 or 4);
'970 Patent, Claim 6	1; 2	1; 2; (1 or 2) in view of (3 or 4);
'970 Patent, Claim 8	1; 2	1; 2; (1 or 2) in view of (3 or 4);
'055 Patent, Claim 1	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA

Patent Claims at Issue	Alleged Anticipation References	Alleged Obviousness References
'055 Patent, Claim 5	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA
'055 Patent, Claim 7	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA
'055 Patent, Claim 24	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA
'055 Patent, Claim 27	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA
'055 Patent, Claim 32	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA
'055 Patent, Claim 36	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA
'055 Patent, Claim 41	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA
'055 Patent, Claim 42	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA
'055 Patent, Claim 54	5; 6; 8; 9	5; (5) in view of (7); 9 in view of PHOSITA

# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

