Case 2:17-cv-00513-JRG Document 299 Filed 01/16/19 Page 1 of 11 PageID #: 19299

| IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|-------------------------------------|
| FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS   |
| MARSHALL DIVISION                   |

| AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,  | §      |                                  |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|
| Plaintiff,                      | §<br>§ |                                  |
|                                 | §      | Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-513-JRG |
| V.                              | §      | (LEAD CASE)                      |
|                                 | §      |                                  |
| HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC., et al., | §      |                                  |
|                                 | §      |                                  |
| Defendants.                     | Ş      |                                  |
|                                 | §      |                                  |
| AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,  | ş      |                                  |
|                                 | ş      |                                  |
| Plaintiff,                      | ş      | Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-516-JRG |
|                                 | ş      | (CONSOLIDATED CASE)              |
| v.                              | §      | ,<br>,<br>,                      |
|                                 | §      |                                  |
| APPLE INC.,                     | §      |                                  |
| ,                               | §      |                                  |
| Defendant.                      | §      |                                  |
| ·                               | -      |                                  |

### DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,213,970

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **Pages**

| I.  | THE COURT SHOULD GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-<br>INFRINGEMENT |   |   |  |  |  |
|-----|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|     | A.  | All Claims Require That The "Response List" Cannot Be Cleared Unless<br>A "Response" Is Selected From That "Response List."   | 1 |  |  |  |
|     | B.  | No Factual Dispute Exists Regarding The Operation Of The Accused<br>Feature: The Accused "Response List" Can Be Cleared Absent Selection<br>Of A "Response" From The "Response List." | 2 |  |  |  |
| II. | AGIS  | IS ESTOPPED FROM ASSERTING DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS  | 4 |  |  |  |
|     | A.  | Estoppel Bars Any Assertion Of Infringement Under The Doctrine Of<br>Equivalents For Claim 1 Of The '970 Patent   | 4 |  |  |  |
|     | В.  | Estoppel Bars Any Assertion Of Infringement Under The Doctrine Of Equivalents For Claim 6 Of The '970 Patent  | 5 |  |  |  |

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### **Pages**

### Cases

| Frank's Casing Crew & Rental Tools, Inc. v. Weatherford Int'l, Inc.,<br>389 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2004)              | 2 |
|---|---|
| <i>GeoTag, Inc. v. Frontier Commc'ns Corp.</i> ,<br>No. 2:10-CV-00265-JRG, 2014 WL 282731 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 24, 2014) | 5 |
| Iris Connex, LLC v. Acer Am. Corp.,<br>No. 2:15-CV-1909-JRG, 2016 WL 4596043 (E.D. Tex. Sep. 2, 2016)               | 5 |
| <u>Statutes</u>   |   |
| 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)  | 2 |

### TABLE OF EXHIBITS<sup>1</sup>

| Exhibit Number | Description   |
|----------------|---|
| Ex. 1          | U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970                                     |
| Ex. 2          | McAlexander Infringement Report Excerpts                      |
| Ex. 3          | '970 File History Excerpt – 2010-09-20 Office Action          |
| Ex. 4          | '970 File History Excerpt – 2010-12-17 Reply to Office Action |
| Ex. 5          | '970 File History Excerpt – 2011-03-11 Office Action          |
| Ex. 6          | '970 File History Excerpt – 2011-09-09 Reply to Office Action |
| Ex. 7          | Find My iPhone Support Document                               |
| Ex. 8          | McAlexander Deposition Excerpts                               |
| Ex. 9          | Zingde Deposition Excerpts                                    |
| Ex. 10         | Declaration of Paul C. Clark                                  |
| Ex. 11         | AGIS Infringement Contentions (2018-09-21) Excerpts           |
| Ex. 12         | Additional McAlexander Deposition Excerpts                    |
| Ex. 13         | Additional McAlexander Infringement Report Excerpts           |
| Ex. 14         | Apple-AGIS Attorney Correspondence                            |

D

Α

0(

**KF** 

Α

RM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exhibits 1-10 were filed with Apple's opening brief (Dkt. No. 228). Exhibits 11-14 are filed herewith.

### I. THE COURT SHOULD GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT.

The asserted '970 patent claims require that a "response list" displayed on a device must be cleared through selection of a "response" from that "response list." AGIS does not dispute that the accused "response list"—the display of several options on an Apple device placed into Lost Mode—can be cleared by other means, *without* selecting a "response" from the "response list." That undisputed fact warrants summary judgment of non-infringement. AGIS's arguments (which focus on different functionality and hinge on new, untimely expert opinions) should be rejected as improper and, in any event, without substantive merit.

### A. All Claims Require That The "Response List" Cannot Be Cleared Unless A "Response" Is Selected From That "Response List."

Claim 6 of the '970 patent recites "providing a manual response list on the display of the recipient PDA/cell phone that can only be cleared by the recipient providing a required response from the list." Neither party proposed that the term needed construction. AGIS does not dispute that the plain meaning requires that there be only one way to clear the "response list"—selection of a "response" from that "response list." (*See* Dkt. No. 262 ("Opp.") at 9-10.)

The corresponding limitation of claim 1 was construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6) as having the function "*requiring a manual response* from the response list *by the recipient in order to clear recipient's response list from recipient's cell phone display*."<sup>2</sup> (Dkt. No. 205 at 22.) AGIS does not dispute that the plain meaning of this *function* requires that there be only one way to clear the "response list"—selection of a response from that list. (*See* Opp. at 9-10.) Rather, AGIS argues that the corresponding *structure*, 8:37-57 of the '970 patent and equivalents thereof, does not have such a clearing requirement. *Id*. But the case that AGIS relies upon confirms that "[1]iteral

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All emphasis has been added unless otherwise stated.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.