

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC., et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

**Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-513-JRG
(LEAD CASE)**

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

**Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-516-JRG
(CONSOLIDATED CASE)**

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT OF NO DAMAGES FOR FOREIGN USES (DKT. 230)**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Pages</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ARGUMENT	2
A. The Asserted Claims Require User Devices (Not Only Servers)	2
B. Foreign Use Of The Accused Apps Cannot Infringe The Asserted Method Claims Because At Least Some Steps Would Be Performed Outside The U.S.	3
C. Foreign Use Of The Accused Apps Cannot Infringe The Asserted System Claims Because Control/Beneficial Use Of The System Invoked By Using The Accused Apps Is Exercised/Obtained Outside the U.S.	4



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Pages

Cases

Centillion Data Sys., LLC v. Qwest Communications Int’l,
631 F. 3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... 5

Decca Ltd. v. United States,
544 F.2d 1070 (Ct. Cl. 1976) 5

NTP, Inc. v. Research In Motion, Ltd.,
418 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... passim

WesternGeco LLC v. ION Geophysical Corp.,
138 S. Ct. 2129 (2018)..... 5

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 1498..... 5

35 U.S.C. § 271(a) 5

35 U.S.C. § 271(b) 5

35 U.S.C. § 271(f)(2) 5

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
Appendix A	Independent Claims of '829 Patent With Emphasis
Ex. 1	McAlexander Opening Expert Report, Attachment E ('829 patent)
Ex. 2	Rebuttal (Non-Infringement) Report of Paul C. Clark ("Clark Rebut. Rep.")
Dkt. No. 32	AGIS's First Amended Complaint ("Am. Compl.")
Dkt. No. 230	Apple's Motion For Summary Judgment Of No Infringement And No Damages For Foreign Uses ("Mot.")
Dkt. No. 230, Mot., Ex. 1	Damages Expert Report of Alan Ratliff ("Ratliff Rep.")
Dkt. No. 230, Mot., Ex. 2	Deposition of Alan Ratliff, Dec. 7, 2018 ("Ratliff Tr.")
Dkt. No. 230, Mot., Ex. 4	Infringement Expert Report of Joseph McAlexander ("McAlexander Rep.")
Dkt. No. 230, Mot., Ex. 7	Deposition of Joseph McAlexander, Dec. 7, 2018 ("McAlexander Tr.")
Dkt. No. 256	AGIS's Opposition to Apple's Motion For Summary Judgment Of No Infringement And No Damages For Foreign Uses ("Opp.")

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC (“AGIS”) alleges infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,749,829 (the “’829 patent”) based on the use of certain applications (the “Accused Apps”) that run on Apple’s iPhone, iPad, and other user devices. *See* Dkt. 32 [Am. Compl.]. AGIS claims damages based on foreign uses of the Accused Apps. Dkt. 230 [Apple’s Motion for Summary Judgement (“Mot.”)], [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]¹ To operate, the Accused Apps use multiple components, including (1) user devices on which the apps run and (2) servers that send and receive data to and from the user devices. *See* Mot., Ex. 4 [McAlexander Rep.] ¶ 283. For foreign uses, those user devices (and at least some of the servers) are located outside the United States. Mot. at 6-9.

AGIS seems to argue that to prove infringement it is sufficient to show that extraterritorial use of the Accused Apps may invoke servers within the United States. But that is not the law. To show infringement of a method claim, AGIS must show that “*each* of the steps” of the claimed method is performed in the United States. *NTP, Inc. v. Research In Motion, Ltd.*, 418 F.3d 1282, 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2005). To show infringement of a system claim, AGIS must show that “the place where control of the system is exercised and beneficial use of the system [is] obtained” is within the United States. *Id.* at 1317. The asserted claims are directed to multicomponent methods and systems that include both user devices and servers. Under AGIS’s foreign use theory, at least some of the limitations of the asserted claims would have to be met by user devices located outside

¹ All emphasis has been added unless otherwise stated.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.