## EXHIBIT H

## DR. NEIL G. SIEGEL - 11/14/2018

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
 4
     AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,
                                         ) Civil Action No.
                        Plaintiff,
                                         ) 2:17-cv-513-JRG
 5
                                         ) (LEAD CASE)
 6
                                         ) Civil Action No.
                VS.
                                         ) 2:17-cv-516-JRG
 7
     APPLE, INC.,
                                         ) Pages 1 to 237
 8
                       Defendant.
 9
10
11
12
13
               DEPOSITION OF DR. NEIL G. SIEGEL
14
                            TAKEN ON
15
16
                 WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2018
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
     Reported by: PHILIP D. NORRIS
24
25
                    CSR NO. 4980
```



DR. NEIL G. SIEGEL - 11/14/2018

Page 195

- 1 use the existing path to transmit the information
- 2 about changed paths. That's approximately how it
- 3 worked. But nobody had to be preprogrammed with a
- 4 set of IP addresses or anything like that.
- 5 Q. So from a software perspective, at least at
- 6 some point during this setup process, the devices
- 7 would discover each other's IP addresses at the
- 8 software level; correct?
- 9 MS. BI: Objection to form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: You would arrive at a state
- 11 where all the devices on the tactical Internet,
- 12 which is after all on IP net, where the software,
- 13 not the soldiers, the software knew IP address for
- 14 principal paths. That's a requirement of every IP
- 15 network on the planet.
- 16 BY MR. RUBINO:
- 17 O. And so each FBCB2 device would become aware
- 18 at the software level of the IP address of the other
- 19 FBCB2 devices; correct?
- MS. BI: Objection to form.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Of its server and its -- the
- 22 group identified in the unit task organization, but
- 23 not necessarily of all the individual FBCB2 devices.
- 24 BY MR. RUBINO:
- 25 Q. Each of the FBCB2 devices could become a



DR. NEIL G. SIEGEL - 11/14/2018

Page 196

- 1 server; right?
- MS. BI: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: As you'll recall, I actually
- 4 said before that there was some technical
- 5 limitations and all the -- some significant fraction
- of them could, but I believe I said that out of a
- 7 thousand FBCB2 devices in a prototypical brigade,
- 8 hundreds of them could become servers.
- 9 BY MR. RUBINO:
- 10 Q. At least with regard to the hundreds that
- 11 could become servers, those units could have access
- in the software to the other unit's IP addresses;
- 13 correct?
- MS. BI: Objection to form.
- 15 THE WITNESS: IP networking requires that
- 16 some knowledge of other recipients' IP addresses is
- 17 known. That's a requirement of any IP system.
- 18 There's nothing different in this system than any
- 19 other IP system in that particular regard.
- 20 BY MR. RUBINO:
- Q. Well, with regard to other IP systems, you
- 22 could have a central static server and the devices
- 23 may only know the IP address of that server; right?
- 24 They don't need to know each other's IP addresses.
- 25 A. They don't need to know each other's

