## EXHIBIT 3



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Biss 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/324,122	11/26/2008	Malcolm K. Beyer JR.	10963.3819	9036
22235 7590 09/20/2010 MALIN HALEY DIMAGGIO BOWEN & LHOTA, P.A.			EXAMINER	
1936 S ANDREWS AVENUE	LEBASSI, AMANUEL			
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33316			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			920	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@mhdpatents.com



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	12/324,122	BEYER, MALCOLM K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AMANUEL LEBASSI	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	6 November 2008.					
[ N C ]	This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
and daily and daily and daily and the first of the first						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20100909				



Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 12/324,122 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Keating et al. US 20040082352.

Regarding claim 1, Keating discloses A communication system for transmitting, receiving, confirming receipt, and responding to an electronic message (see abstract - selecting a group of mobile stations to participate in the wireless group call and causing an invitation message to be transmitted to the group of mobile stations). Keating discloses a predetermined network of participants, wherein each participant has a similarly equipped PC or PDA/cell phone that includes a CPU and a touch screen display a CPU and memory (paragraph [0016] where participants are mobile stations such as 16a and 16b and so on). Keating discloses a data transmission means that facilitates the transmission of electronic flies between said PCs and said PDA/cell phones in different locations (paragraph [0020] where wireless data controller controls transmission of data therefore a data transmission means that facilitates the transmission of electronic flies). Keating discloses a sender PC or PDA/cell phone and at least one recipient PC or



Application/Control Number: 12/324,122 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

PDA/cell phone for each electronic message (paragraph [0022] where a group call originator, or leader, initiates set-up of a group call through his or her mobile station y choosing or selecting a group call participant list therefore a sender PC or PDA/cell phone and at least one recipient PC or PDA).

Keating discloses a forced message alert software application program loaded on each participating PC or PDA/cell phone (paragraph [0025] where an alert message is queued in the mobile stations therefore a message alert software application program).

Regarding claim 4, Keating discloses wherein said data transmission means is TCP/IP or another communications protocol (paragraph [0020] - Internet Protocol (IP)).

Regarding claim 6, Keating discloses wherein the response list that is transmitted Within the forced message alert software packet is a custom response list that is created at the time the specific forced message alert is created on the sender PC or PDA/cell phone (see Fig. 2).



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

