

**APPENDIX A: JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION CHART**

Pursuant to Local Patent Rule 4-5(d), Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC and Defendants Huawei Device USA Inc.; Huawei Device Co., Ltd.; Huawei Device (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.; and Apple Inc. (“Defendants”) hereby provide a Joint Claim Construction Chart containing the disputed claim language for the disputed claims for U.S. Patent Nos. 8,213,970, 9,467,838, 9,408,055, 9,445,251, and 9,749,829. The following chart includes claim language for either (1) asserted claims that include disputed or agreed-upon term(s) or (2) unasserted claims that include disputed or agreed-upon term(s) and from which certain asserted claims depend. In addition, the parties hereby identify the claim number(s) where the disputed terms appear.

<b>Claim Language (Disputed and Agreed Term(s) For Construction In Bold)</b>	<b>Claim Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff’s Construction</b>	<b>Defendants’ Construction</b>	<b>Court’s Construction</b>
<u>'970 Patent, Claim 1</u>  A communication system for transmitting, receiving, confirming receipt, and responding to an electronic message, comprising:  a predetermined network of	“a data transmission means that facilitates the transmission of electronic files between said PDA/cell phones in different locations”  ('970 Claim 1)	[AGREED]	[AGREED]	Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).  Function: facilitating the transmission of electronic files between said PDA/cell phones in different locations.  Structure: communication network server. <i>See</i> '970 Patent at 1:39-43; 2:36-43; 4:1-36; Figs. 2, 3A, 3B,

Claim Language (Disputed and Agreed Term(s) For Construction In Bold)	Claim Term	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction	Court's Construction
<p>participants, wherein each participant has a similarly equipped PDA/cell phone that includes a CPU and a touch screen display a CPU and memory;</p> <p><b>a data transmission means that facilitates the transmission of electronic files between said PDA/cell phones in different locations;</b></p> <p>a sender PDA/cell phone and at least one recipient PDA/cell phone for each electronic message;</p> <p><b>a forced message</b></p>	<p>“means for attaching a forced message alert software packet to a voice or text message creating a forced message alert that is transmitted by said sender PDA/cell phone to the recipient PDA/cell phone, said forced message alert software packet containing a list of possible required responses”/ “means for attaching a forced message alert software packet to a voice or text message creating a forced message alert that is transmitted by said sender PDA/cell</p>	<p>Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)</p> <p>Function: attaching a forced message alert software packet to a voice or text message creating a forced message alert that is transmitted by said sender PDA/cell phone to the recipient PDA/cell phone.</p> <p>Structure: Algorithm set forth in Fig 2, 3A, 3B. 7:8-63.</p>	<p>Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>Function: attaching a forced message alert software packet to a voice or text message creating a forced message alert that is transmitted by said sender PDA/cell phone to the recipient PDA/cell phone, said forced message alert software packet containing a list of possible required responses.</p> <p>Indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b).</p> <p>Structure: No sufficient corresponding structure disclosed. To the extent any structure is disclosed, it is a general purpose PDA or cell phone for implementing an undisclosed algorithm.</p>	<p>and 4.</p>

Claim Language (Disputed and Agreed Term(s) For Construction In Bold)	Claim Term	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction	Court's Construction
<p><b>alert software application program</b> including a list of required possible responses to be selected by a participant recipient of a forced message response loaded on each participating PDA/cell phone;</p> <p><b>means for attaching a forced message alert software packet to a voice or text message creating a forced message alert that is transmitted by said sender PDA/cell phone to the recipient PDA/cell phone, said forced message alert software packet</b></p>	<p>phone to the recipient PDA/cell phone”</p> <p>(’970 Claim 1)</p> <p>“[means for. . .] requiring the forced message alert software on said recipient PDA/cell phone to transmit an automatic acknowledgment to the sender PDA/cell phone as soon as said forced message alert is received by the recipient PDA/cell phone”</p> <p>(’970 Claim 1)</p>	<p>Plain Meaning – not Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>In the alternative, AGIS identifies the following structure corresponding to Defendants’ proposed function: ’970 Patent, Fig 4; 2:7-35; 8:16-62.</p>	<p>The disclosures set forth at ’970 Patent at Fig 2, 3A, 3B. 7:8-63. ’970 File History, Application 12/324,122, Claims, 2008-11-26 do not provide an algorithm that corresponds to the claimed function.</p> <p>Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>Function: requiring the forced message alert software on said recipient PDA/cell phone to transmit an automatic acknowledgment to the sender PDA/cell phone as soon as said forced message alert is received by the recipient PDA/cell phone.</p> <p>Indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b).</p> <p>Structure: No sufficient corresponding structure</p>	

Claim Language (Disputed and Agreed Term(s) For Construction In Bold)	Claim Term	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction	Court's Construction
<p><b>containing a list of possible required responses and requiring the forced message alert software on said recipient PDA/cell phone to transmit an automatic acknowledgment to the sender PDA/cell phone as soon as said forced message alert is received by the recipient PDA/cell phone;</b></p> <p><b>means for requiring a required manual response from the response list by the recipient in order to clear recipient's response list from recipient's cell</b></p>			<p>disclosed. To the extent any structure is disclosed, it is a general purpose PDA or cell phone for implementing an undisclosed algorithm. The disclosures set forth at 970 Patent, Fig 4; 2:7-35; 8:16-62. '970 File History, Application 12/324,122, Claims, 2008-11-26 do not provide an algorithm that corresponds to the claimed function.</p>	
	<p>“means for requiring a required manual response from the response list by the recipient in order to clear recipient's response list from recipient's cell phone display”</p> <p>(’970 Claim 1)</p>	<p>Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>Function: requiring a required manual response from the response list by the recipient in order to clear recipient’s response list from recipient’s cell phone display.</p> <p>Structure: Algorithm set forth in Figure 4 and 8:37-57.</p>	<p>Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>Function: requiring a required manual response from the response list by the recipient in order to clear recipient's response list from recipient's cell phone display.</p> <p>Indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b).</p>	

Claim Language (Disputed and Agreed Term(s) For Construction In Bold)	Claim Term	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction	Court's Construction
<p><b>phone display;</b></p> <p><b>means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged the forced message alert and which recipient PDA/cell phones have not automatically acknowledged the forced message alert;</b></p>			<p>Structure: No sufficient corresponding structure disclosed. To the extent any structure is disclosed, it is a general purpose PDA or cell phone for implementing an undisclosed algorithm. The disclosures set forth at '970 Patent at Figure 4 and 8:16-57; 11:1-21. '970 File History, Application 12/324,122, Claims, 2008-11-26 do not provide an algorithm that corresponds to the claimed function.</p>	
<p><b>means for periodically resending said forced message alert to said recipient PDA/cell phones that have not automatically acknowledged the forced message</b></p>	<p>“means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged the forced message alert and which recipient PDA/cell phones have not automatically</p>	<p>[AGREED]</p>	<p>[AGREED]</p>	<p>Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>Function: receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged the forced message alert and which recipient PDA/cell phones have not automatically acknowledged the forced</p>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.