```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
 3
                           MARSHALL DIVISION
   AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT
 4
                                   ) (
 5
   LLC
                                   ) (
                                        CIVIL DOCKET NO.
 6
                                   ) (
                                        2:17-CV-513-JRG
 7
    VS.
                                   ) (
                                        MARSHALL, TEXAS
 8
                                   ) (
                                        AUGUST 8, 2018
   HUAWEI DEVICE USE INC.,
                                  ) (
                                   ) (
10
   ET AL.
                                        9:03 A.M.
11
                         EVIDENTIARY HEARING
12
          BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUDGE RODNEY GILSTRAP
13
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
   APPEARANCES:
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF: (See Attorney Attendance Sheet docketed
16
                         in minutes of this hearing.)
17
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS: (See Attorney Attendance Sheet docketed
18
                         in minutes of this hearing.)
19
20
    COURT REPORTER:
                        Shelly Holmes, CSR-TCRR
                         Official Reporter
                        United States District Court
21
                        Eastern District of Texas
                        Marshall Division
22
                        100 E. Houston Street
23
                        Marshall, Texas 75670
                         (903) 923-7464
24
25
    (Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
    produced on a CAT system.)
```



```
1
                                  INDEX
 2
 3
    August 8, 2018
 4
                                                               Page
 5
          Appearances
                                                                1
          Hearing
                                                                3
 6
 7
          Court Reporter's Certificate
                                                                78
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
 1
 2
            THE COURT: Be seated, please.
            All right. This is the time set for an evidentiary
 3
   hearing in regard to AGIS Software Development versus
 4
   Huawei, et al. This is Civil Case No. 2:17-CV-513.
 5
            Let me call for announcements on the record.
 6
 7
            What says the Plaintiff, AGIS Software?
 8
            MR. BAXTER: Good morning, Your Honor. Sam Baxter
   and Jennifer Truelove from McKool Smith, and Fred Fabricant
10
   and Vincent Rubino. And we're here for the Plaintiff, and
11
   we're ready, Your Honor.
12
            THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
13
            What says the Defendants?
            MR. MANN: Good morning, Your Honor. Mark Mann on
14
15
   behalf of LG Electronics, and along with me today are my
   colleagues, Matt Wolf and Michael Berta. And we're ready to
16
17
   proceed.
18
            THE COURT: All right. Any other announcements?
19
            Counsel, as you are aware, the Court has set for
20
   today an evidentiary hearing based on -- or in relation to
21
   Defendant LG's motion to dismiss for want of personal
22
   jurisdiction. I've set out exactly what the parameters of
23
   openings, presentation of evidence, and closing arguments
   would be.
24
25
            Before we proceed with this evidentiary hearing,
```



though, my understanding is that most, if not all of the evidence to be presented, is in deposition form. Are there going to be live witnesses called who will testify? And I guess asked another way, is there any chance there may be something presented other than what's in the previously established record, or is this a pro forma exercise for me to watch and listen to what's already been recorded?

That's -- that's what I need input on.

Mr. Baxter?

MR. BAXTER: Your Honor, we do have a live witness who has also executed an affidavit, Mr. Parish, who has bought some of the products out in the Eastern District and in Texas. The Defendants have graciously agreed that we could present an affidavit by Mr. Parish, which we have — which he has executed and we have here to admit into evidence.

But if the Court wants to hear that testimony, he's here, and we're certainly willing to proceed with it. I don't think there would be much variance between his testimony and the affidavit, hopefully, and I think they have no objection.

MR. WOLF: That's right, Your Honor. For expedience sake, we're happy to have you consider the affidavit as written.

THE COURT: And to the extent the Defendants would



```
be waiving the right to cross-examine Mr. Parish and
agreeing for the Court to rely on the affidavit and nothing
more from this witness, both Plaintiff and Defendant are
happy with that?
        MR. WOLF: Yes, Your Honor.
        MR. BAXTER: We are, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Well, as much as I might like the
opportunity to hear Mr. Parish testify live and see him
cross-examined, I understand what you're saying.
        And I gather in addition to Mr. Parish, everything
else is deposition testimony?
        MR. BAXTER: It is, Your Honor. And what -- what
we did is that we designated certain portions of at least
two depositions.
        The Defendants designated their counter points, and
we have combined all of that into the playing of one
deposition for one witness and a second deposition.
        The second deposition, there was a translator, Your
Honor. We have on the depo clip about three questions in
which there's actual translation, a Korean speaker. And
then after that, Your Honor, after the Court kind of got a
flavor of that, we simply went and cut out the Korean
portion and just left the English question and the
translator's answer.
        THE COURT: So it starts out with the full
```



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

