

EXHIBIT 11



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
14/695,233	04/24/2015	Malcolm K. Beyer JR.	MOC-003	5326
51414	7590	03/24/2016	EXAMINER OBAYANJU, OMONIYI	
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP PATENT ADMINISTRATOR 53 STATE STREET EXCHANGE PLACE BOSTON, MA 02109-2881			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2646	
			NOTIFICATION DATE:	DELIVERY MODE:
			03/24/2016	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTBOS@GOODWINPROCTER.COM
 PSOSA-ATWOOD@GOODWINPROCTER.COM
 GLENN.WILLIAMS@GOODWINPROCTER.COM

Application/Control Number: 14/695,233
Art Unit: 2646

Page 2

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/29/2016 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-53 have been considered but are moot because the arguments do not apply to any of the references being used in the current rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 14/695,233
Art Unit: 2646

Page 3

Claims 1, 3-8, 11, 13-18, 21, 23-28, 31-34, 36-40, 42-46, 48-50, 52, and 53, are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melen (US Publication No. 20040148090) in view of Engstrom et al. (US Publication No. 20040137884).

As to **claims 1, 11, and 21**, Melen teaches a method comprising: performing by a first device (**fig. 1A, car 1 with vehicle navigation system 300a**): obtaining contact information of a plurality of second devices (**fig. 4, pp0033 and pp0045, telephone number of selected member**); transmitting messages including a location of the first device to the respective second devices (**fig. 4, pp0033, track members' location, pp0070, receive location information each member, and tracking in real time, pp0060**), wherein response messages include location information of the respective second devices (**fig. 4, pp0033, track members' location, pp0070, receive location information each member, and tracking in real time, pp0060**); presenting, via an interactive display of the first device, an interactive map comprising a plurality of user selectable symbols corresponding to the plurality of second devices, wherein the symbols are positioned on the map at respective positions corresponding to the respective locations of the second devices (**fig. 4, display #310, fig. 5, #509, #510, and pp0070, select members by touching the icon on the display**); and identifying user interaction with the interactive map selecting one or more of the user-selectable symbols corresponding to one or more of the second devices and user interaction with the display specifying an action (**fig. 4, display #310, fig. 5, #509, #510, and pp0070,**

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.