## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-513-JRG
Plaintiff,	§	(Lead Case)
	§	
V.	§	
	§	
HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC.,	§	Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-514-JRG
HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD. AND	§	Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-515-JRG
HUAWEI DEVICE (DONGGUAN) CO.,	§	Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-516-JRG
LTD., HTC CORPORATION,	§	Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-517-JRG
LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,	§	
APPLE INC.,	§	
ZTE CORPORATION, ZTE (USA), INC.,	§	
AND ZTE (TX), INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	8	
	§	

### PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INCREASE PAGE LIMITS FOR MARKMAN BRIEFING

Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC ("Plaintiff") files this unopposed Motion for Leave to Increase Page Limits for Markman Briefing by 15 pages for Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks to increase the page limit for its opening and reply Markman briefs by 15 pages, to be distributed at Plaintiff's choice, for an increase to 55 pages total from the 40 total page default set forth in P.R. 4-5(e) and L.R. CV-7. Defendants do not oppose Plaintiff's motion.

The Court has granted Defendants' motion for the same relief, and should grant Plaintiff's motion for the same reasons. This page limit increase is warranted in view of the number of claim terms and complexity of the legal issues involved in the parties' claim construction dispute. The consolidated defendants proposed over 30 terms in their P.R. 4-2 disclosure.

Twenty of the parties' disputes center on indefiniteness, and twelve involve underlying disputes as to whether the term is properly construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). A page limit

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

increase is appropriate and necessary to allow the parties to meaningfully address these challenging legal issues for such a large number of claims.

For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant its unopposed motion to increase the total page limit (across its opening and reply claim construction briefing) by 15 pages.

Dated: July 20, 2018

DOCKET

Respectfully submitted

#### **BROWN RUDNICK LLP**

#### /s/ Vincent J. Rubino, III

Alfred R. Fabricant NY Bar No. 2219392 Email: afabricant@brownrudnick.com Peter Lambrianakos NY Bar No. 2894392 Email: plambrianakos@brownrudnick.com Vincent J. Rubino, III NY Bar No. 4557435 Email: vrubino@brownrudnick.com Joseph Mercadante NY Bar No. 4784930 Email: jmercadante@brownrudnick.com Alessandra C. Messing NY Bar No. 5040019 Email: amessing@brownrudnick.com John A. Rubino NY Bar No. 5020797 Email: jrubino@brownrudnick.com Enrique W. Iturralde NY Bar No. 5526280 Email: eiturralde@brownrudnick.com Daniel J. Shea Jr. NY Bar No. 5430558 dshea@brownrudnick.com **BROWN RUDNICK LLP** 7 Times Square New York, NY 10036 Telephone: 212-209-4800 Facsimile: 212-209-4801

Samuel F. Baxter

Texas State Bar No. 01938000 sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com Jennifer L. Truelove Texas State Bar No. 24012906 jtruelove@mckoolsmith.com **MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.** 104 E. Houston Street, Suite 300 Marshall, Texas 75670 Telephone: (903) 923-9000 Facsimile: (903) 923-9099

# ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF, AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on July 20, 2018, all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

/s/ Vincent J. Rubino, III

Vincent J. Rubino, III