

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT
LLC,**

Plaintiff,

v.

HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC., et al.,

Defendants,

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

**Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-513-JRG
(LEAD CASE)**

**AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT
LLC,**

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

**Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-516-JRG
(CONSOLIDATED CASE)**

**APPLE’S FIRST AMENDED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF’S FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) answers Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (“Amended Complaint”) filed by AGIS Software Development LLC (“AGIS”) (D.I. 32) as follows:

THE PARTIES¹

1. Apple has insufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Amended Complaint paragraph 1 and on that basis denies all such allegations.

¹ For clarity and ease of reference, Apple repeats herein the section headers recited in AGIS’s Amended Complaint. To the extent any section header is construed to be a factual allegation, Apple denies any and all such allegations.

2. Apple admits that it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California and has a principal place of business at 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, California 95014. Apple admits that it has retail stores at 2601 Preston Road, Frisco, Texas, and 6121 West Park Boulevard, Plano, Texas, as well as other locations in Texas. Apple admits that it offers and sells its products and/or services, including those accused herein of infringement, to customers and potential customers located in Texas, including in the judicial Eastern District of Texas. Apple admits that it may be served with process through its registered agent for service in Texas: CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryant Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201. To the extent any factual allegations remain in the Amended Complaint paragraph 2, Apple denies them.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Apple admits that AGIS purports to bring an action for patent infringement. Apple states that the remaining allegations in the Amended Complaint paragraph 3 contain legal conclusions that require no answer. To the extent an answer is required, Apple denies that any factual or legal basis exists for any of AGIS's claims against Apple in this action, or that AGIS is entitled to any relief whatsoever from Apple or this Court. To the extent any factual allegations remain in the Amended Complaint paragraph 3, Apple denies them.

4. Apple admits that it has retail stores in the Eastern District of Texas. Apple admits that it has transacted business in the Eastern District of Texas. Apple denies that it has committed or induced acts of patent infringement in this judicial district or in any other district. Apple further denies that venue is proper in this District, and further asserts that a District Court in the Northern District of California would be a clearly more convenient venue, and on that additional basis, denies the propriety of venue in this district. To the extent any factual allegations remain in the Amended Complaint paragraph 4, Apple denies them.

5. Apple admits that it has conducted business in the Eastern District of Texas. Apple denies that it has committed, induced, or contributed to acts of patent infringement in this judicial district or in any other district. Apple states that the remaining allegations in the Amended Complaint paragraph 5 contain legal conclusions that require no answer. To the extent an answer is required, Apple admits that it is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court for the purposes of this action, but denies that any factual or legal basis exists for any of AGIS's claims against Apple in this action, or that AGIS is entitled to any relief whatsoever from Apple or this Court. To the extent any factual allegations remain in the Amended Complaint paragraph 5, Apple denies them.

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

6. Apple admits that according to the records of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"), on July 3, 2012, the USPTO issued United States Patent No. 8,213,970 (the "'970 patent"), but denies that the '970 patent was duly and legally issued. Apple admits that the '970 patent is entitled "Method of Utilizing Forced Alerts for Interactive Remote Communications." Apple admits that, on information and belief, Exhibit A to the Amended Complaint appears to be a copy of the '970 patent.

7. Apple admits that according to the records of the USPTO, on August 2, 2016, the USPTO issued United States Patent No. 9,408,055 (the "'055 patent"), but denies that the '055 patent was duly and legally issued. Apple admits that the '055 patent is entitled "Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks." Apple admits that, on information and belief, Exhibit B to the Amended Complaint appears to be a copy of the '055 patent.

8. Apple admits that according to the records of the USPTO, on September 13, 2016, the USPTO issued United States Patent No. 9,445,251 (the "'251 patent"), but denies that the '251

patent was duly and legally issued. Apple admits that the '251 patent is entitled "Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks." Apple admits that, on information and belief, Exhibit C to the Amended Complaint appears to be a copy of the '251 patent.

9. Apple admits that according to the records of the USPTO, on October 11, 2016, the USPTO issued United States Patent No. 9,467,838 (the "'838 patent"), but denies that the '838 patent was duly and legally issued. Apple admits that the '838 patent is entitled "Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks." Apple admits that, on information and belief, Exhibit D to the Amended Complaint appears to be a copy of the '838 patent.

10. Apple admits that according to the records of the USPTO, on August 29, 2017, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 9,749,829 (the "'829 Patent"), but denies that the '829 patent was duly and legally issued. Apple admits that the '829 patent is entitled "Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks." Apple admits that, on information and belief, Exhibit E to the Amended Complaint appears to be a copy of the '829 patent.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Apple has insufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Amended Complaint paragraph 11 and on that basis denies all such allegations.

12. Apple has insufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Amended Complaint paragraph 12 and on that basis denies all such allegations.

13. Apple has insufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the factual allegations in Amended Complaint paragraph 13 and on that basis denies all such allegations.

14. Apple has insufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the factual allegations in Amended Complaint paragraph 14 and on that basis denies all such allegations.

15. Apple admits that it has manufactured, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported into the United States iPhone versions 4, 4s, 5, 5s, SE, 6s, 6s+, 7, 7+, 7 Red, 8, X, and SE, iPad versions 1 through 3, iPad Air versions 1 and 2, iPad Mini versions 1 through 4, and iPad Pro 9.7, 10.5, and 12.9, and Apple Watch versions Series 1, Series 2, Series 3, Nike+, and Hermes (collectively, the “Accused Devices”). Apple denies the remaining factual allegations of the first sentence of Amended Complaint paragraph 15. Apple admits that it currently makes available the Apple Maps, Find My iPhone, Find My Friends, and iMessage apps as components of certain of its iOS operating systems software and as downloads on Apple’s App Store. Apple denies the remaining allegations of Amended Complaint paragraph 15.

16. Amended Complaint paragraph 16 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required, at least to the extent Amended Complaint paragraph 16 alleges that the Accused Devices meet the limitations recited in the claims of the Patents-In-Suit. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Apple denies the allegations of Amended Complaint paragraph 16.

COUNT I
(Infringement of the ’970 Patent)

17. Apple incorporates by reference its responses to Amended Complaint paragraphs 1-16 as if fully set forth herein.

18. Apple admits that it has not entered into a license with AGIS concerning the ’970 patent. Apple denies any remaining factual allegations of Amended Complaint paragraph 18.

19. Apple denies the allegations of Amended Complaint paragraph 19.

20. Apple denies the allegations of Amended Complaint paragraph 20.

21. Apple denies the allegations of Amended Complaint paragraph 21.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.