

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

UNILOC USA, INC. and
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.

Plaintiffs,

v.

ADP, LLC,

Defendant.

UNILOC USA, INC. and
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.

Plaintiffs,

v.

BOX, INC.

Defendant.

Civil Action No.: 2:16-cv-741-JRG

LEAD CASE

Civil Action No.: 2:16-cv-860-JRG

DEFENDANT BOX, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS

FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM OR FOR IMPROPER VENUE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
A. The ‘466 and ‘293 Patents	2
B. The ‘578 Patent.....	5
C. Disclosed Hardware	8
III. APPLICABLE LEGAL PRINCIPLES.....	9
1. Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6).....	9
2. Invalidity Under 35 U.S.C. § 101	10
IV. ARGUMENT.....	11
A. <i>Alice</i> Step One: The Patents-In-Suit Are Directed To Abstract Ideas	11
1. The claims of the ‘466 and ‘293 Patents are directed to the abstract idea of software distribution	11
2. The asserted claims of the ‘578 Patent are directed to the abstract idea of customization based on preferences.....	18
B. <i>Alice</i> Step Two: The Claims Fail to Recite Innovative Concepts.....	21
V. UNILOC’S COMPLAINT SHOULD BE DISMISSED FOR IMPROPER VENUE IF THE SUPREME COURT OVERRULES <i>TC HEARTLAND</i>	27
VI. CONCLUSION.....	27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Accenture Global Servs., GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc.</i> , 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	18, 20, 22
<i>Affinity Labs of Tex., LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , No. 2015-2080, 2016 WL 5335502 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 23, 2016)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Affinity Labs of Tex. v. DirecTV, LLC</i> , 2016 WL 5335501 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 23, 2016)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 573 U.S. ---, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Amdocs (Israel) Ltd. v. Openet Telecom. Inc.</i> , No. 2015-1180, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 19593 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 1, 2016)	24, 25
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	9
<i>Bancorp Servs., LLC v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Can.</i> , 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	23
<i>Bascom Global Internet Servs., Inc. v. AT&T Mobility LLC</i> , 827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	25
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	9
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 561 U.S. 593 (2010).....	10, 19
<i>buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	10, 22
<i>Clear with Computers LLC v. Altec Indus.</i> , No. 6:14-cv-79, 2015 WL 993392 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 3, 2015), <i>aff'd</i> , 636 F. Appx. 1015 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	15, 19, 22
<i>Content Extraction and Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, Nat'l Ass'n</i> , 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	9, 12, 15
<i>CyberSource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	9

<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P.,</i> 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	26
<i>Dealertrack, Inc. v. Huber,</i> 674 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	23
<i>Device Enhancement LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.,</i> 2016 WL 2899246 (D. Del. May 17, 2016).....	19, 20
<i>Diamond v. Diehr,</i> 450 U.S. 175 (1981).....	17
<i>Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.,</i> 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	12, 14, 22
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.,</i> 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	14, 15
<i>Fourco Glass Co. v. Transmirra Products Corp.,</i> 353 U.S. 222 (1957).....	27
<i>Intellectual Ventures I, L.L.C. v. Motorola Mobility L.L.C.,</i> 81 F. Supp. 3d 356 (D. Del. 2015).....	13, 14
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Bank (USA),</i> 792 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	18, 22, 23
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.,</i> No. 2015-1769, 2016 WL 5539870 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 30, 2016)	11, 12
<i>Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. JP Morgan Chase & Co.,</i> 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56092 (S.D.N.Y. April 28, 2015)	14
<i>In re Katrina Canal Breaches Litig.,</i> 495 F.3d 191 (5th Cir. 2007)	9
<i>Kroy IP Holdings, LLP v. Safeway, Inc.,</i> 107 F. Supp. 3d 677 (E.D. Tex. 2015) (Bryson, J.), <i>aff'd</i> , 639 Fed. Appx. (Fed. Cir. Apr. 21, 2016)	19
<i>Landmark Tech., LLC v. Assurant, Inc.,</i> 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94511 (E.D. Tex. July 14, 2015).....	14, 26
<i>Loyalty Conversion Sys. Corp. v. Am. Airlines, Inc.,</i> 66 F. Supp. 3d 829 (E.D. Tex. 2014).....	23
<i>Mayo Collaborative v. Prometheus Labs,</i> 132 S.Ct. 1289 (2012).....	10

<i>McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games America Inc.</i> , No. 2015-1080, 2016 WL 48964818 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 13, 2016)	14, 16, 17
<i>Mortg. Grader, Inc. v. First Choice Loan Servs. Inc.</i> , 811 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	22
<i>Neochloris, Inc. v. Emerson Process Management LLP</i> , 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 138957 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 13, 2015).....	14
<i>NexusCard, Inc. v. Kroger Co.</i> , 2016 WL 1162180 (E.D. Tex. April 6, 2016).....	16
<i>OIP Technologies, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 788 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	22, 23
<i>Rothschild Location Techs. LLC v. Geotab USA, Inc.</i> , No. 6:15-CV_682-RWS-JDL, 2016 WL 3584195 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 4, 2016), <i>adopted by</i> , No. 6:15-CV-682-RWS-JDL, 2016 WL 2847975 (E.D. Tex. May 16, 2016)	22
<i>In re TC Heartland LLC</i> , 821 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	27
<i>TC Heartland LLC v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC</i> , No. 13-341 (U.S. filed Sept. 12, 2016)	27
<i>In re TLI Communications LLC Patent Litigation</i> , 823 F.3d 607 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Tranxition, Inc. v. Lenovo (US), Inc.</i> , No. 2015-1907, slip op. (Fed. Cir. Nov. 16, 2016) (available at http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/opinions-orders/15-1907.Opinion.11-10-2016.1.PDF)	18, 23, 24
<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> , 772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014) (Mayer, J., concurring).....	9, 10, 11
<i>VE Holdings Corp. v. Johnson Gas Appliance Co.</i> , 917 F.2d 1574 (Fed. Cir. 1990).....	27
<i>Vehicle Intelligence and Safety LLC v. Mercedes-Benz</i> , 635 Fed. Appx. 914 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 28, 2015)	17
Statutes	
28 U. S. C. § 1400 (b)	27
35 U.S.C. § 101.....	<i>passim</i>

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.