

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

UNILOC USA, INC. and
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ADP, LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.:
2:16-cv-741[JRG]

PATENT CASE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**DEFENDANT ADP, LLC'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO
DISMISS THE COMPLAINT FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. UNILOC’S CLAIMS ARE ABSTRACT AND LACK AN INVENTIVE CONCEPT	2
II. EVEN UNDER UNILOC’S PROPOSED “CONSTRUCTIONS,” ITS CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	5
III. THE DISTRICT COURT CASES CITED BY UNILOC ARE DISTINGUISHABLE	8
IV. ADP’S CHALLENGE TO ALL CLAIMS IS APPROPRIATE.....	9
V. UNILOC INADEQUATELY PLEADS INFRINGEMENT OF THE ’293 PATENT	10
VI. CONCLUSION.....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	PAGE
<i>800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Sec. Ltd.</i> , 539 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	9
<i>A Pty Ltd. v. Ebay, Inc.</i> , 1:15-cv-155-RP, Oct. 8, 2015 Order at *4 (W.D. Tex.) (slip op.).....	10
<i>A Pty Ltd. v. Ebay, Inc.</i> , 149 F. Supp. 3d 739 (W.D. Tex. 2016).....	10
<i>Accenture Global Servs., GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc.</i> , 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	1
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	1
<i>Bascom Glob. Internet Servs. v. AT&T Mobility LLC</i> , 827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	1, 2, 3, 4
<i>Clear with Computers LLC v. Altec Indus.</i> , No. 6:14-cv-79-JRG, 2015 WL 993392 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 3, 2015)	7
<i>Content Extraction and Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.</i> , 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	1
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.a.r.l. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-911-JRG-RSP, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35663 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 20, 2016).....	9
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.a.r.l. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-911-JRG-RSP, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 123232 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 8, 2016)	1, 2
<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com L.P.</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	3, 4
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	3
<i>Genband US LLC v. Metaswitch Networks Ltd.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-33-JRG, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 134659 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2016)	9
<i>JDS Techs., Inc. v. Exacq Techs.</i> , No. 15-10387, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 73622 (E.D. Mich. June 7, 2016).....	9
<i>McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games Am. Inc.</i> , 837 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	8

NexusCard, Inc. v. Kroger Co.,
173 F. Supp. 3d 462, 467 (E.D. Tex. 2016).....1

Perdiemco, LLC v. Industrack LLC, 2:15-cv-727-JRG-RSP,
2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 135667 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 21, 2016).....8

Pres. Wellness Techs. LLC v. Allscripts Healthcare Sols., 2:15-CV-1559-WCB,
2016 WL 2742379 (E.D. Tex. May 9, 2016).....5

Realtime Data, LLC v. Stanley,
721 F. Supp. 2d 538 (E.D. Tex. 2010).....10

Rothschild Location Techs. LLC v. Geotab USA, Inc., 6:15-cv-682-RWS-JDL,
2016 WL 3584195 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 4, 2016).....5

Tranxition, Inc. v. Lenovo (U.S.) Inc., 2015-1907,
2016 WL 6775967 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 16, 2016).....5

Tranxition, Inc. v. Lenovo (U.S.) Inc., No. 3:12-cv-01065-HZ,
2015 WL 4203469 (D. Or. July 9, 2015).....2, 4, 5

Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC,
772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....2

VirnetX, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.,
767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....6, 7

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 101.....1, 8, 9

RULES

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).....10

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

“Mot.” refers to ADP’s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to State a Claim (D.I. 17).

“Opp.” refers to Uniloc’s Opposition to ADP’s Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 64).

The “578 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,324,578, provided as Exhibit A to Uniloc’s Complaint (D.I. 1-1) .

The “293 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,069,293, provided as Exhibit B to Uniloc’s Complaint (D.I. 1-2) .

The “466 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,510,466, provided as Exhibit C to Uniloc’s Complaint (D.I. 1-3).

The “766 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,728,766, provided as Exhibit D to Uniloc’s Complaint (D.I. 1-4).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.