IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

| | § | |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| UNILOC USA, INC. and | § | |
| UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A., | § | Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-741 (JRG) |
| | § | LEAD CASE |
| Plaintiffs, | § | |
| | § | |
| v. | § | |
| | § | |
| ADP, LLC, | § | |
| | § | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| Defendant. | § | |
| | § | |

PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | STA | TEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED | 1 |
|------|-----|--|----|
| II. | CO | MPUTER PROBLEMS ADDRESSED BY THE ASSERTED PATENTS | 1 |
| III. | LEC | GAL STANDARDS | 6 |
| IV. | ARC | GUMENT | 8 |
| | A. | Step 1: The Patents-in-Suit Claim Patentable Subject Matter | 8 |
| | В. | The Asserted Patents are Directed Toward an Improvement in the Way Computers Operate | 19 |
| | C. | Step 2: The Claims of the Asserted Patents Add an Inventive Concept | 23 |
| | | a. The Specific Components Recited in the Claims Perform Specific Functions Within a Network | 28 |
| V. | UNI | LOC'S '293 PATENT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM IS SUFFICIENT | 30 |
| VI. | COI | NCLUSION | 30 |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| Cases | |
|--|------------|
| 2-Way Computing, Inc., v. Grandstream Networks, Inc., 2:16-cv-0111-RCJ-PAL (D. Nev. Oct. 18, 2016) | 18 |
| 800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Sec., Ltd., 539 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2008) | 2 |
| Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Int'l, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014) | passim |
| Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009) | 6 |
| Audio MPEG, Inc. v. HP, Inc., 2:15-cv-00073-HCM-RJK (E.D. Va. Jun. 29, 2016) | 22 |
| Bancorp Servs., LLC v. Sun Life Assur. Co. of Canada (U.S.), 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012) | 7 |
| Bascom Global Internet Servs. v. AT&T Mobility LLC, 2016 U.S. App. Lexis 11687 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 27, 2016) | 8, 24, 29 |
| Blitzsafe Texas, LLC, v. Volkswagen Group of Am., Inc., Case No. 2:15-cv-1278-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex. Aug. 19, 2016) | 32 |
| Core Wireless Lic. S.à.r.l. v. LG Elecs., Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 123232 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 8, 2016) | 11, 15 |
| Core Wireless Lic. S.à.r.l. v. LG Elecs., Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35663 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 20, 2016) | 11, 14, 18 |
| DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P., 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014) | passim |
| Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175 (1981) | 6 |
| Enfish LLC v. Microsoft Corp., 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 8699 (Fed. Cir. May 12, 2016) | passim |
| Genband US LLC v. Metaswitch Networks Ltd., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 134659 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2016) | passim |
| Gonzalez v. Kay, 577 F 34 600, 603 (5th Cir. 2000) | 6 |



| Harrington v. State Farm Fire & Cas. Co., 563 F.3d 141, 147 (5th Cir. 2009) |
|--|
| In re Bill of Lading Transmission & Proc. Sys. Patent Lit., 681 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2012) |
| In re BRCA1- & BRCA2-Based Hereditary Cancer Test Pat. Lit., 774 F.3d 755 (Fed. Cir. 2014) |
| JDS Techs., Inc. v. Exacq Techs., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 73622 (E.D. Mich., June 7, 2016) |
| Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc., 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012) |
| McRo, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games AM. Inc., 2016 WL 4896481 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 13, 2016) |
| Nystrom v. Trex Co., 424 F.3d 1136 (Fed. Cir. 2005) |
| Perdiemco, LLC v. Industrack LLC, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 135667 (E.D. Tex., July 7, 2016)passim |
| Personalized Media Commc'ns, LLC v. Samsung Elec. Am., Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 135669 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 21, 2016) |
| RPost Holdings, Inc. v. Readnotify.com Pty. Ltd., No. 2:11-cv-16, 2012 WL 3201898 (E.D. Tex. Jun. 29, 2012) |
| Turner v. Pleasant, 663 F.3d 770 (5th Cir. 2011) |
| Rules |
| 35 U.S.C. §101 |

Plaintiffs, Uniloc USA, Inc. and Uniloc Luxembourg, S.A. (together "Uniloc" or "Plaintiffs"), respectfully submit this opposition to the motion of defendant, ADP, LLC ("Defendant" or "ADP"), to dismiss for failure to state a claim ("Motion" or "Mot."). For the reasons set forth herein, the Motion should be denied.

I. STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED

- A. The claims of Asserted Patents are not directed abstract ideas and, therefore, are patent eligible under 35 U.S.C. §101.
- B. The claims of the Asserted Patents include inventive concepts and, therefore, are patent eligible under 35 U.S.C. §101.
- C. The Original Complaint for Patent Infringement properly states a claim for relief of the 7,069,293 Patent.

II. COMPUTER PROBLEMS ADDRESSED BY THE ASSERTED PATENTS

As explained in detail below, the Asserted Patents solve particular problems in the computer field, thus rendering them patent eligible. *See Enfish LLC v. Microsoft Corp.*, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 8699, at *21 (Fed. Cir. May 12, 2016) ("claims [that] are directed to a specific implementation of a solution to a problem in the software arts" are not invalid under Section 101). Moreover, the claims of the Asserted Patents are "necessarily rooted in computer technology in order to overcome a problem specifically arising in the realm of computer networks" because they "amount to an inventive concept for resolving this particular Internet-centric problem." *DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P.*, 773 F.3d 1245, 1257 (Fed. Cir. 2014) Thus, contrary to ADP's argument, the claims are patent eligible. *Id.* at 1259.

The Asserted Patents, originally issued to IBM, relate to network and application management on a computer network. *See* '466 Patent at 1:21-23; '766 Patent at 1:21-23. Further, the Asserted Patents are all part of a family of patents drawn toward addressing the inefficiencies in application management in a client-server environment. Accordingly, they



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

