

# EXHIBIT C

### EXHIBIT C

	CLAIMS	TERMS AND PHRASES	INTRINSIC SUPPORT FOR DEFENDANTS PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION <sup>1</sup>	EXTRINSIC SUPPORT FOR DEFENDANTS PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
1.	'466 Patent claims 1, 15, 16	“installing a plurality of application programs at the server”	<p>'466 patent at Abstract; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 9C; 1:30-43; 1:45-57; 1:57-2:11; 2:52-67; 3:9-27; 3:28-36; 3:47-50; 3:55-4:9; 4:34-38; 6:12-17; 6:22-27; 6:32-35; 6:57-7:13; 7:22-25; 7:28-38; 7:67-8:5; 8:12-22; 8:33-56; 9:2-5; 9:15-21; 9:30-57; 10:61-64; 11:50-60; 12:37-52; 13:26-37; 14:17-45; 15:1-10; 15:23-27; 15:56-16:25; 17:19-51; 18:1-32; 18:42-57; 19:17-33; 20:1-36</p> <p>'578 patent at Abstract; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; 1:58-2:10; 2:50-65; 3:5-23; 1:31-44; 1:45-57; 1:58-2:10; 2:50-65; 3:5-23; 3:61-4:2; 5:32-36; 5:57-61; 5:65-6:9; 6:16-25; 6:46-62; 6:67-7:2; 7:13-24; 10:6-7; 7:66-8:22; 8:35-47; 9:47-52; 9:58-65; 10:6-7; 10:9-12; 10:47-65; 11:3-8;</p>	<p>McDaniel's Computer Dictionary (McGraw-Hill, 1994), Vol. 1, Computer Terminology (University of Michigan Press, 1997) (E)</p> <p>Defendant's expert testified that the skill level of an ordinary person would be able to show how the ordinary person would have understood the term in light of the prosecution history.</p>

<sup>1</sup> Cites to any one of the '466, '578, '766, and '293 patents are exemplary only and should be understood as including cites to equivalent patents. For example, the '466 and '293 patents have substantially identical specifications, and so do the '578 and '766. Either patent in each pair should be understood as including cites to equivalent disclosure in the other patent of the pair.

	CLAIMS	TERMS AND PHRASES	INTRINSIC SUPPORT FOR DEFENDANTS PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION <sup>1</sup>	EXTRINSIC SUPPORT FOR DEFENDANTS PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
			<p>11:13-15; 11:32-12:6; 12:8-36; 14:15-23; 14:47-55</p> <p>IPR2017-00184 at February 21, 2017 Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response; April 18, 2017 PTAB Decision Denying Institution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ‘578 patent File History at March 7, 2001 Notice of Allowability; June 28, 2001 Notice of Allowability; TME 10 Software Distribution User’s Guide; IBM Network Station Manager for Windows NT Server 4.0; WorkSpace On-Demand Handbook</li> </ul> <p>‘466 patent File History at May 31, 2001 Amendment; October 23, 2001 Amendment; March 25, 2002 Response After Final; May 16, 2002 Appeal Brief</p> <p>‘766 patent File History at August 1, 2002 Amendment; January 27, 2003 Amendment After Final; April 22, 2003 Brief on Appeal; September 29, 2003 Request for</p>	

	CLAIMS	TERMS AND PHRASES	INTRINSIC SUPPORT FOR DEFENDANTS PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION <sup>1</sup>	EXTRINSIC SUPPORT FOR DEFENDANTS PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
			<p>Reconsideration; TME 10 Software Distribution User's Guide; IBM Network Station Manager for Windows NT Server 4.0; WorkSpace On-Demand Handbook;</p> <p>'293 patent File History at December 7, 2004 Amendment; June 22, 2005 Amendment; November 7, 2005 Amendment</p>	
2.	'578 patent claims 1, 14, 15,17, 30, 32, 45	"installing [an / a second] application program having a plurality of configurable preferences and a plurality of authorized users on a server coupled to the network"	<p>'466 patent at Abstract; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 9C; 1:30-43; 1:45-57; 1:57-2:11; 2:52-67; 3:9-27; 3:28-36; 3:47-50; 3:55-4:9; 4:34-38; 6:12-17; 6:22-27; 6:32-35; 6:57-7:13; 7:22-25; 7:28-38; 7:67-8:5; 8:12-22; 8:33-56; 9:2-5; 9:15-21; 9:30-57; 10:61-64; 11:50-60; 12:37-52; 13:26-37; 14:17-45; 15:1-10; 15:23-27; 15:56-16:25; 17:19-51; 18:1-32; 18:42-57; 19:17-33; 20:1-36</p> <p>'578 patent at Abstract; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; 1:58-2:10; 2:50-65; 3:5-23; 1:31-44; 1:45-57; 1:58-</p>	<p>McDaniel's Dictionary of Computing (1994), V. 1, McGraw-Hill, Inc. (1997) (E)</p> <p>Defendant's expert testimony shows that the skill level of an ordinary person would not be able to show how the ordinary person would have understood the claim in light of the prosecution history.</p>

	CLAIMS	TERMS AND PHRASES	INTRINSIC SUPPORT FOR DEFENDANTS PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION <sup>1</sup>	EXTRIN FOR DE PROPOS CONSTE
			<p>2:10; 2:50-65; 3:5-23; 3:61-4:2; 5:32-36; 5:57-61; 5:65-6:9; 6:16-25; 6:46-62; 6:67-7:2; 7:13-24;10:6-7; 7:66-8:22; 8:35-47; 9:47-52; 9:58-65;10:6-7; 10:9-12; 10:47-65; 11:3-8; 11:13-15; 11:32-12:6; 12:8-36; 14:15-23; 14:47-55</p> <p>IPR2017-00184 at February 21, 2017 Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response; April 18, 2017 PTAB Decision Denying Institution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ‘578 patent File History at March 7, 2001 Notice of Allowability; June 28, 2001 Notice of Allowability; TME 10 Software Distribution User’s Guide; IBM Network Station Manager for Windows NT Server 4.0; WorkSpace On-Demand Handbook</li> </ul> <p>‘466 patent File History at May 31, 2001 Amendment; October 23, 2001 Amendment; March 25, 2002 Response After Final; May 16, 2002 Appeal Brief</p>	

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.