



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. THE ASSERTED CLAIMS ARE ABSTRACT AND UNINVENTIVE .....	1
II. THE ASSERTED PATENTS DO NOT <i>CLAIM</i> THE PURPORTED SOLUTIONS THAT UNILOC IDENTIFIES IN ITS OPPOSITION .....	5
III. THE ASSERTED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE EVEN UNDER UNILOC’S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS .....	7
IV. THE ASSERTED PATENTS LACK AN INVENTIVE CONCEPT SUFFICIENT TO CONFER PATENT ELIGIBILITY UNDER STEP TWO OF <i>ALICE</i> .....	9
V. CONCLUSION .....	10

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b><u>Cases</u></b>	
<i>2-Way Computing, Inc. v. Grandstream Networks, Inc.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-01110-RCJ-PAL, 2016 WL 6090726 (D. Nev. Oct. 18, 2016).....	4
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014) .....	1, 4, 9
<i>Amdocs (Israel) Ltd. v. Openet Telecom, Inc.</i> , Appeal No. 2015-1180, 2016 WL 6440387 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 1, 2016) .....	5
<i>Audio MPEG, Inc. v. HP Inc.</i> , Nos. 2:15-cv-00073-HCM-RJK & 2:16-cv-00082-HCM-RJK, 2016 WL 7010947 (E.D. Va. July 1, 2016) .....	2
<i>Bascom Global Internet Servs. v. AT&amp;T Mobility LLC</i> , 827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	1
<i>Clear with Computers LLC v. Altec Indus.</i> , Nos. 6:14-cv-79 & 6:14-cv-89, 2015 WL 993392 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 3, 2015).....	6
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. LG Elecs, Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-911-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 1106438 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 20, 2016) .....	2
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , Nos. 2:14-cv-911-JRG-RSP & 2:14-cv-912-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 4768827 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 8, 2016).....	3
<i>Cunningham v. Tennessee Cancer Specialists, PLLC</i> , 957 F. Supp. 2d 899 (E.D. Tenn. 2013) .....	5
<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotel.com, Inc.</i> , 954 F. Supp. 2d 509 (E.D. Tex. 2013) .....	2, 4, 7
<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com L.P.</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	1, 2, 4, 7
<i>Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.</i> , 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	9
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	1, 2, 4, 7
<i>FairWarning IP, LLC v. Iatric Sys., Inc.</i> , 839 F.3d 1089 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	3
<i>Genband US LLC v. Metaswitch Networks Ltd</i> , No. 2:14-cv-33-JRG, 2016 WL 5422737 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2016) .....	4

*Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.*,  
838 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2016) ..... 5

*Internet Patents Corp. v. Active Network, Inc.*,  
790 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2015) ..... 9

*LendingTree, LLC v. Zillow, Inc.*,  
Appeal nos. 2014-1435, 2014-1531, & 2015-1186, 2016 WL 3974203 (Fed. Cir. July 25,  
2016)..... 3

*McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games Am. Inc.*,  
837 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2016) ..... 2

*Perdiemco, LLC v. Industrack, LLC*,  
Nos. 2:15-cv-727-JRG-RSP & 2:15-cv-1216-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 5719697 (E.D. Tex. Sept.  
21, 2016) ..... 3

*Personalized Media Commc'ns, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc.*,  
No. 2:15-cv-01754, Dkt. No. 23 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 16, 2016)..... 10

*Personalized Media Commuc'ns, LLC v. Apple Inc.*,  
No. 2:15-cv-01366, Dkt. No. 34 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 2, 2015)..... 10

*Preservation Wellness Techs. LLC v. Allscripts Healthcare Solutions*,  
2:15-cv-1559-WCB, 2016 WL 2742379 (E.D. Tex. May 10, 2016) ..... 7

*Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp.*,  
839 F.3d 1138 (Fed. Cir. 2016) ..... 5

*Voda v. Cordis Corp.*,  
536 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2008) ..... 6

**Statutes and Rules**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) ..... 10

### **TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS**

“Mot.” refers to Salesforce’s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to State a Claim (Case No. 2:16-cv-744, D.I. 24).

“Opp.” refers to Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Salesforce’s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to State a Claim (D.I. 74).

“578 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,324,578, provided as Exhibit A to Uniloc’s Complaint (D.I. 1-1).

“293 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,069,293, provided as Exhibit B to Uniloc’s Complaint (D.I. 1-2).

“466 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,510,466, provided as Exhibit C to Uniloc’s Complaint (D.I. 1-3).

“766 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,728,766, provided as Exhibit D to Uniloc’s Complaint (D.I. 1-4).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.