



district. Blitzsafe is the owner of all right title and interest in and to U.S. Patent No. 7,489,786 and U.S. Patent No. 8,155,342.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. is a New Jersey corporation with a place of business at 2200 Ferdinand Porsche Drive, Herndon, Virginia 20171.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Volkswagen Group of America Chattanooga Operations, LLC is a Tennessee limited liability company with a place of business at 8001 Volkswagen Drive, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37421.

### **JURISDICTION**

4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, *et seq.* This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants. Defendants conduct business and have committed acts of patent infringement and/or have induced acts of patent infringement by others in this district and/or have contributed to patent infringement by others in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States.

6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400(b) because, among other things, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district, Defendants have regularly conducted business in this judicial district, and certain of the acts complained of herein occurred in this judicial district.

### **PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

7. On February 10, 2009, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 7,489,786 (the “’786 Patent”) entitled “Audio Device Integration System.” A true and correct copy of the ’786 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8. On April 10, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,155,342 (the “’342 Patent”) entitled “Multimedia Device Integration System.” A true and correct copy of the ’342 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

9. The patents-in-suit generally cover systems for integrating third-party audio devices and multimedia devices with a car stereo.

10. Defendants manufacture, import and/or sell an audio and multimedia integration system, which Defendants generally refer to as an “infotainment” system, in Volkswagen-branded vehicles made in or imported into the United States since at least approximately 2012.

11. Defendant manufacture, import and/or sell an audio and multimedia integration system, called Multi Media Interface™ or MMI, that has been installed in Audi-branded vehicles made in or imported into the United States since at least approximately 2008.

12. The Volkswagen infotainment system and MMI support the integration of third-party external audio devices, such as MP3 players, with the car radio. The Volkswagen infotainment system and MMI permit an end user to connect a third-party external audio or multimedia device to the car radio by wire, such as through a USB port or auxiliary port, or wirelessly, such as through Bluetooth. Once connected, the end user may control the third-party external audio device using the car radio’s controls, and the audio from the external audio device may be played through the car radio and speakers.

**COUNT I**  
**(Infringement of the '786 Patent)**

13. Paragraphs 1 through 12 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

14. Blitzsafe has not licensed or otherwise authorized Defendants to make, use, offer for sale, sell, or import any products that embody the inventions of the '786 Patent.

15. Defendants have and continue to directly infringe one or more claims of the '786 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States infringing products, including the Volkswagen infotainment system and MMI audio and media integration system, without authority and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

16. Defendants have and continue to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '786 Patent by knowingly and intentionally inducing others to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States infringing products, including the Volkswagen infotainment system and MMI. For example, Defendants, with knowledge that the Volkswagen infotainment system and MMI infringe the '786 Patent at least as of the date of this Complaint, knowingly and intentionally induced, and continue to knowingly and intentionally induce, direct infringement of the '786 patent by providing the Volkswagen infotainment system and MMI product manuals that instruct end users how to use the Volkswagen infotainment system and MMI, including specifically how to connect their external third-party audio and multimedia devices to the car stereo. Defendants induced infringement by others, including end users, with the intent to cause infringing acts by others or, in the alternative, with the belief that there was a high probability that others, including end users, infringe the '786 Patent, but while remaining willfully blind to the infringement.

17. Defendants have and continue to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '786 Patent by contributing to the direct infringement, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by others, including end users, by offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States the Volkswagen infotainment system and MMI, with the knowledge, at least as of the date of this Complaint, that the Volkswagen infotainment system and MMI contain components that constitute a material part of the inventions claimed in the '786 Patent. Such components include, for example, interfaces that permit an end user to use a car radio's controls to control an external third party audio device. Defendants know that these components are especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '786 Patent and that these components are not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Alternatively, Defendants believed there was a high probability that others would infringe the '786 Patent but remained willfully blind to the infringing nature of others' actions.

18. Blitzsafe has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' direct and indirect infringement of the '786 patent in an amount to be proved at trial.

19. Blitzsafe has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '786 patent, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.

20. Defendants have committed and continue to commit acts of infringement despite an objectively high likelihood that its actions constituted infringement of at least one valid and enforceable claim of the '786 patent, and Defendants actually knew or should have known that their actions constituted an unjustifiably high risk of infringement of at least one valid and enforceable claim of the '786 Patent. Defendants' infringement of the '786 Patent has been and

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.