# EXHIBIT B

### Case 2:15-cv-01274-JRG-RSP Document 88-2 Filed 04/01/16 Page 2 of 9 PageID #

P.R. 4-3 DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PATENT NOS. 7,489,786 AND 8,155,342				
Asserted Claim	CLAIM TERM	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	INTRINSIC SUPPORT <sup>1</sup>	
<sup>7</sup> 786 Patent, Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 14, 23, 44, 57, 64, 86, 88, 92, 97	"interface"	"a microcontroller that is a functionally and structurally separate component from the car stereo, which integrates an after- market device with a car stereo"	<sup>7</sup> 786 patent at 1:5-12, 1:36-39, 1:60-64, 2:28-32, 3:1-5, 4:26-32, 4:47-56, 5:1-5, 5:15-19, 5:38-55, FIGS. 1, 2A-2H, 3A-3D; 9/5/2006 Response to Office Action, at page 23 (BLITZSAFE-0010207); 9/6/2007 Response to Office Action, at pages 2-31 (BLITZSAFE-0010515- BLITZSAFE-0010515- BLITZSAFE-0010544); 2/20/2008 Office Action at pages 5-6 (BLITZSAFE-0010561- BLITZSAFE-0010562); U.S. Patent Application 11/071,667.	Fo cor op tra evi Fo liti
<sup>'786</sup> Patent, Claims 1, 44, 57, 90, 92 <sup>'342</sup> Patent, Claims 53, 77, 97	"format incompatible with the [after-market audio device, portable device, video device, portable audio device, MP3 player]"	Honda's Proposed Construction: For "format incompatible with the [after-market audio device, portable device, video device, portable audio device, MP3 player]": "format alien to and inoperable with the [after-market audio device, portable device, video device, portable audio	<sup>'786</sup> patent at 1:60-64, 2:22-29, 4:64-67; '342 patent at 2:18-23, 2:58-67, 9:17-20; 9/5/2006 Response to Office Action at pages 21-23 (BLITZSAFE-0010205- BLITZSAFE-0010207); 2/14/2007 Response to Office Action at pages 26-31 (BLITZSAFE-0010299- BLITZSAFE-0010304); 6/28/2007	

### Defendants' P.R. 4-3 Disclosures

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendants' citations to the intrinsic evidence below are exemplary in nature. Defendants reserve the right to rely on other portions of the intr disclosures of, or statements made or prior art cited during prosecution of, the '786 patent or '342 patent, should they become relevant, based u otherwise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> References in this Exhibit to extrinsic evidence cited by the parties in the *Ford* and *Dice Electronics* litigation include, but are not limited to, a identified in Docket Entries 87, 89, 90, 93, 99, 102, 104, 105, 106, 107, and 108, and all exhibits thereto, from *Marlowe Patent Holdings LLC* cv-07044-PGS-DEA (D.N.J.); and in Docket Entries 139, 143, 149, 150, 151, 155, 156, 158, 221, and 222, and all exhibits thereto, from *Marlow* 

#### Case 2:15-cv-01274-JRG-RSP Document 88-2 Filed 04/01/16 Page 3 of 9 PageID #

P.R. 4-3 DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PATENT NOS. 7,489,786 AND 8,155,342				
Asserted Claim	CLAIM TERM	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	INTRINSIC SUPPORT <sup>1</sup>	
<sup>7</sup> 786 Patent, Claims 1, 60 <sup>3</sup> 42 Patent, Claims 54, 70, 78, 120	"format incompatible with the [car stereo / car audio/video system]"	device, MP3 player]"For "format incompatible with the [car stereo / car audio/video system]": "format alien to and inoperable with an existing [car stereo or car audio/video system]"Hyundai, Kia, Nissan, Toyota, and Volkswagen's Proposed Construction (for both claim terms): "a format that the OEM or factory-installed car stereo was not designed to use when communicating with an after- market device"	Response to Office Action at pages 26-37(BLITZSAFE-0010428- BLITZSAFE-0010439); 9/6/2007 Response to Office Action at page 36 (BLITZSAFE-0010549); 1/29/2012 Response to Office Action at pages 36-40 (BLITZSAFE-0012199- BLITZSAFE-0012203).	
'342 Patent, Claims 49, 50, 53–57, 66, 70, 73, 74, 77–80, 94, 97, 99, 102, 103, 106, 113, 120	"integration subsystem"	Honda, Hyundai, Kia, Nissan, and Toyota's Proposed Construction: Indefinite. This is a functional claim limitation subject to § 112, ¶ 6. Function: <sup>3</sup>	'342 patent at 34:63-35:1; FIG. 24.	De tes '34 suf un fai wr inv

<sup>3</sup> The functions performed by the integration subsystem in claims 49 and 73 are: (1a) obtaining, using a wireless communication link, informatio 49) or received (claim 73) on the portable device; (2a) transmitting the information to the car audio/video system for subsequent display; (3a) is play the audio file in response to a user selecting the audio file; and (4a) receiving audio generated by the portable device over the wireless com-

The functions of the integration subsystem in claim 97 are: (1b) channeling audio generated by the portable device to the car audio/video system link; (2b) receiving a control command from a user in a format incompatible with the portable device; (3b) processing the control command integrated evice and (4b) dispatching the formatted command to the portable device.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

ЭСК

R

Μ

Δ

#### Case 2:15-cv-01274-JRG-RSP Document 88-2 Filed 04/01/16 Page 4 of 9 PageID #

P.R. 4-3 DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PATENT NOS. 7,489,786 AND 8,155,342				
Asserted Claim	CLAIM TERM	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	INTRINSIC SUPPORT <sup>1</sup>	
		Structure: "a microprocessor programmed to perform the method of FIG. 24" The specification fails to disclose a sufficient algorithm or other structure that performs this function; thus, the claims are invalid under § 112, ¶ 2. Alternatively, the claims are invalid under § 112, ¶ 1 for failing to sufficiently provide a written description of the invention and/or enable a person of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention.		pea art inv
<sup>7</sup> 786 Patent, Claims 6, 57, 86, 92 <sup>3</sup> 42 Patent, Claims 56, 106	"device presence signal"	"a continuous signal indicating an audio device is present"	<sup>'786</sup> patent at 1:60-64, 2:29-35, 4:47-56, 12:15-36, 13:12-19, 13:59- 66, 14:48-52, 15:35-42, 16:12-15, 16:57-60; 20:5-10; 20:19-35, FIGS. 2A-2H; '342 patent at 2:18-23, 2:67-3:6, 8:63-9:7, 16:50-62, 17:46-54, 20:9-15, 20:57-63, 21:39- 45, 25:49-54, 25:63-26:11, FIG. 4A-4C; U.S. Patent Application 11/071,667.	Fo co op tra ev Fo liti

The functions of the integration subsystem recited in claim 120 are: (1c) channeling audio generated by the portable device to the car audio/vide communication link; (2c) receiving data from the portable device in a format incompatible with the car audio/video system; (3c) processing the the car audio/video system; and (4c) transmitting the processed data to the car audio/video system.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKE

Δ

## Case 2:15-cv-01274-JRG-RSP Document 88-2 Filed 04/01/16 Page 5 of 9 PageID #

P.R. 4-3 DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PATENT NOS. 7,489,786 AND 8,155,342				
Asserted Claim	CLAIM TERM	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	INTRINSIC SUPPORT <sup>1</sup>	
<sup>°</sup> 786 Patent, Claims 1, 14	"auxiliary input source"	"a device that outputs audio by headphone jack or other connector"	<sup>'786</sup> patent at 2:22-29, 2:60-67, 6:44-7:61; FIGS. 2D, 2E, 2F, 2G.	FocooptraevFolitit
<sup>'786</sup> Patent, Claims 1, 7, 8, 44, 57, 60, 86, 90, 91, 92	"pre-programmed"	"programmed prior to its use in the normal course"	<sup>7</sup> 786 patent at 10:1-12, 11:47-56; 2/20/2008 Office Action at pages 3- 5, 10-11 (BLITZSAFE-0010559- BLITZSAFE-0010561, BLITZSAFE-0010566- BLITZSAFE-0010567); 4/21/2008 Response to Office Action at pages 29-32 (BLITZSAFE-0010646- 0010649); 7/31/2008 Notice of Allowability at pages 2-3 (BLITZSAFE-0010979-0010980); US2002/0084910 (Owen); US6,175,789 (Beckert).	Fo col op tra evi <i>Fo</i> liti
<sup>786</sup> Patent, Claims 1, 57, 86 and 92 <sup>342</sup> Patent, Claims 49, 73, 97, 120	"external"	"an after-market device that is outside and alien to the environment of an OEM or after- market stereo system"	<sup>'786</sup> patent at 1:5-12, 1:16-20, 1:33-35, 1:45-54, 2:32-35, 2:50-52, 4:26-32, 4:59-67, 6:66-7:3, 8:22-27, 12:32-39, 15:35-67, 16:15-45, 16:60-17:27, FIGS. 1 and 2; '342 patent at 1:18-28, 1:32-36, 1:51-53, 1:67-2:6, 2:11-15, 3:3-6, 3:21-24, 7:62-64, 8:38-46, 9:10-20, 11:26- 30, 12:49-54, 16:58-61, 20:9-44, 20:57-21:26, 21:39-22:6, FIGS. 1, 2, 17; 9/5/2006 Response to Office Action at page 21, 23	Fo co op tra ev Fo liti

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

## DOCKET A L A R M



## Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.