

EXHIBIT A

Blitzsafe’s P.R. 4-3 Disclosures

P.R. 4-3 DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PATENT NO.			
ASSERTED CLAIM(S)	CLAIM TERM	BLITZSAFE’S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	INTRINSIC SUPPORT ¹
<p>'786 Patent, Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 14, 23, 44, 57, 64, 86, 88, 92, 97</p> <p>'342 Patent, 49, 51, 52, 73, 75, 76, 97, 120</p>	<p>“interface”</p>	<p>“a device configured to integrate an external device with a car stereo”</p>	<p>'786 Patent, Figs 1, 2A–2C, 2E–2H and accompanying descriptions; 2:50–52; 4:46–56, 59–67; 5:14–24, 27–37; 5:38–55; 6:1–15; 6:25–33; 7:10–13.</p> <p>10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated June 5, 2006 at 21-23; 10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated Nov. 14, 2006 at 26-36; 10/316,961, Response to Office Action / Request for Continued Examination dated June 28, 2006 at 26-38; 10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated July 12, 2007 at 29-37; 10/316,961, Response to Office Action / Request for Continued Examination dated Feb. April 21, 2008 at 29-34; Notice of Allowance dated July 31, 2008.</p> <p>'342 Patent, Figs. 1–2H, 9–11A, 12A, 13A–13B, 15, 17–23, and accompanying descriptions; 3:21–24; 3:45:19; 9:10–16, 41–63; 9:64–11:25, 11:53–12:31; 12:60–64; 13:55–58; 28:7–25; 34:9–18; 35:28–32 .</p> <p>11/475,847, Response to Office Action dated Nov. 30, 2009, at 31–33, 35; Response to Office Action dated Jan. 29, 2012 at 32; Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 1, 2012.</p>

^{1,2} Blitzsafe expressly reserves the right to rely upon (i) all intrinsic support cited for the '786 Patent as extrinsic evidence; (ii) all intrinsic and extrinsic evidence cited or referenced by Defendants, including all extrinsic evidence cited by plaintiffs in *Electronics* litigations; (iii) the file histories of any continuation applications related to the '342 patent.

P.R. 4-3 DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PATENT NO.

ASSERTED CLAIM(S)	CLAIM TERM	BLITZSAFE’S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	INTRINSIC SUPPORT ¹
<p>'786 Patent, Claims 1, 44, 57, 90, 92</p> <p>'342 Patent, Claims 53, 77, 97</p>	<p>“format incompatible with the [after-market audio device, portable device, video device, portable audio device, MP3 player]”</p>	<p>Plain Meaning</p>	<p>'786 Patent, Abstract; Figs. 4A–4C and accompanying description; 1:36–44, 60–64; 2:35–40; 4:40–42; 4:59–67; 5:49–67; 6:11–19; 8:7–12, 22–27, 46–55; 9:45–51; 11:30–38; 12:55–66; 13:22–25, 35–46; 14:19–30; 17:23–18:4, including Table 1; 18:63–67.</p> <p>10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated June 5, 2006 at 21-23; 10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated November 14, 2006 at 26-36; 10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated July 12, 2007 at 31, 32, 36; Notice of Allowance dated July 31, 2008.</p> <p>'342 Patent, Abstract, Figs. 4A–4C and accompanying descriptions; 1:54–58, 60–63; 3:7–9, 47–57; 4:19–22, 34–40, 50–55; 5:4–6, 19–23; 8:55–57; 9:10–16; 10:38–42; 10:43–46; 13:9–14; 14:8–14; 15:59–63; 17:19–30; 18:3–15; 18:58–19:2; 22:7–59 including Table 1; 23:53–24:38 including Tables 3 and 4; 28:25–28, 51–54; 29:59–63; 30:31–36, 54–58; 34:19–32; 35:56–61</p> <p>11/475,847, Response to Office Action dated Aug. 5, 2011, at 32; Response to Office Action dated Jan. 29, 2012 at 36–38; Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 16, 2012.</p>

P.R. 4-3 DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PATENT NO.

ASSERTED CLAIM(S)	CLAIM TERM	BLITZSAFE’S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	INTRINSIC SUPPORT ¹
<p>'786 Patent, Claim 60</p> <p>'342 Patent, Claims 54, 70, 78, 120</p>	<p>“format incompatible with the car stereo” / “format incompatible with the car audio/video system”</p>	<p>Plain Meaning</p>	<p>'786 Patent, Abstract; 1:36–44; 1:60–2:6; 2:40–50; 4:32–36, 59–67; 5:51–55; 5:51–54; 6:11–15, 19–24; 8:7–12, 22–27, 55–64; 55–60; 11:38–42; 12:42–48; 14:3–12; 18:5–67, including Table 2.</p> <p>10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated June 5, 2006 at 21-23; 10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated November 14, 2006 at 26-36; 10/316,961, Response to Office Action / Request for Continued Examination dated June 28, 2006 at 26-38; 10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated July 12, 2007 at 31, 32, 36; Notice of Allowance dated July 31, 2008.</p> <p>'342 Patent, 1:58–63; 2:23–28; 3:11–19, 57–60; 4:22–25, 34–40, 50–55; 5:1–3, 23–28; 9:10–20; 10:11–15, 38–49; 10:64–11:1; 13:15–19; 14:14–19; 15:64–16:17:6–9, 57–63; 22:60–23:52 including Table 2; 26:31–34; 28:21–25; 29:33–39; 30:54–58; 31:66–32:3; 34:34–42; 35:56–61.</p> <p>11/475,847, Response to Office Action dated Jan. 29, 2012, at 36–38; Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 16, 2012.</p>

P.R. 4-3 DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PATENT NO.

ASSERTED CLAIM(S)	CLAIM TERM	BLITZSAFE’S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	INTRINSIC SUPPORT ¹
<p>'342 Patent, Claims 49, 50, 53–57, 66, 70, 73, 74, 77–80, 94, 97, 99, 102, 103, 106, 113, 120</p>	<p>“integration subsystem”</p>	<p>“one or more components of a system or device configured to integrate an external device with a car audio/video system”</p> <p>Blitzsafe disagrees with Defendants’ contention that this term should be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6.</p> <p>Pursuant to P.R. 4-2(a), if the Court determines that this term is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6, Blitzsafe agrees with Defendants’ recitation of the function of this term, and identifies the following exemplary “structure(s), act(s), or material(s)” that may correspond to this term: <i>See, e.g.</i>, '342 Patent, Figs 3A–D, 4A–G, 10–24; 12:58–16:29; 16:40–24:47; 28:7–38:48.</p>	<p>'342 Patent, Abstract; Figs. 3A–D, 4A–G, 10–24 and accompanying descriptions; 1:19–28; 2:8–11, 44–54; 2:58–3:3; 5:7–15, 19–29, 53–54; 8:64–9:7; 12:58–16:29; 16:40–24:47; 25:40–47; 27:26–34, 37–41; 27:65– 34:48–54; 27:35–28:1; 28:7–38:48..</p> <p>11/475,847, Response to Office Action dated Nov. 30, 2009 at 31–36; Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 16, 2012.</p> <p>10/316,961, Response to Office Action dated June 5, 2006, at 21–23, 25, 27; Response to Office Action dated November 14, 2006, at 26–36; Response to Office Action / Request for Continued Examination dated June 28, 2006, at 26–38; Response to Office Action dated July 12, 2007 at 29, 34–37; Notice of Allowance dated July 31, 2008.</p>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.