IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.,)
Plaintiff,))
v.)
MATCH.COM, L.L.C.,)
Defendant.)

Case No. 2:12-cv-02834-JPM-tmp

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY BRIEF, MEMORANDUM, AND LR 7.2 CERTIFICATION OF CONSULTATION

Pursuant to LR7.2(c), Defendant Match.com L.L.C. ("Match.com") hereby moves for leave to file a reply memorandum, not to exceed ten pages in length, in support of Match.com's Motion to Transfer Venue (ECF #32) within seven days from the grant of leave to do so. In support of this motion, Match.com respectfully states as follows:

1. On February 5, 2013, Match.com moved to transfer the venue of this action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California or, alternatively, to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas.

2. On February 22, 2013, Plaintiff B.E Technologies, L.L.C. ("B.E. Technologies") filed a 20-page response brief, along with two supporting affidavits (ECF #37).

3. This case is in a very early stage, and is currently stayed pending the determination of the subject Motion to Transfer Venue. <u>See</u> Order (ECF #35). Each of the 18 other patent infringement lawsuits filed by B.E. Technologies against other defendants is also stayed pending the determination of similar motions.

Case 2:12-cv-02834-JPM-tmp Document 38 Filed 03/01/13 Page 2 of 5 PageID 409

4. The Motion to Transfer Venue raises an issue of great importance in this case. Its resolution will determine which Court's resources will be employed in managing this case, as well as the financial and other resources the parties, and even non-parties, will be required to devote to this litigation. Consequently, it is particularly important that Match.com, which bears the burden on the underlying motion, be allowed to fully address the arguments and evidence presented by B.E. in its response brief.

6. B.E.'s response memorandum contains arguments that are contradictory or could not reasonably have been anticipated by Match.com. For example, B.E. has argued that this action should be consolidated with its 18 other contemporaneously-filed patent lawsuits (ECF #25), yet in arguing against transfer, B.E. frames the issue in terms of the circumstances and convenience of the two individual parties to the instant action.

7. Like in an analogous Section 1404 motion in a case of this type, briefing must address multiple factors and circumstances. While Match.com is committed to its reply being as concise as possible, coverage of the issues meriting a reply appears likely to require more than the 5 pages normally permitted by Local Rule 7.2(e). This motion respectfully requests authorization to use up to 10 pages for such purpose.

CERTIFICATION OF CONSULTATION

Pursuant to LR 7.2(a)(1)(B), the undersigned certifies that counsel for Match.com, Jonathan Rose, spoke with counsel for B.E. Technologies, Adam Simpson, by telephone on February 28, 2013, and received follow-up correspondence from Mr. Simpson by e-mail, in which Mr. Simpson conditioned assent to the relief sought in the instant motion on a stipulation that Match.com "not introduce new evidentiary matter and does not introduce arguments that could reasonably have been anticipated when it filed its original motion." Match.com believes that such a condition is unduly vague and subjective, and, in any event, inappropriate under the present circumstances. Therefore the parties are at an impasse.

> <u>s/ Jonathan D. Rose</u> Jonathan D. Rose

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Jonathan D. Rose

Jonathan D. Rose (No. 20967) BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS LLP 1600 Division Street, Suite 700 Nashville, Tennessee 37203 (615) 252-2308 E-Mail: jrose@babc.com

Steven G. Schortgen steve.schortgen@klgates.com Jennifer Klein Ayers jennifer.ayers@klgates.com K&L GATES LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201 (214) 939-5500

Sanjay K. Murthy sanjay.murthy@klgates.com Christopher E. Hanba christopher.hanba@klgates.com K&L GATES LLP 70 W. Madison Street, Suite 3100 Chicago, Illinois 60602-4207 (312) 372-1121

Attorneys for Defendant

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET

ALARM

CERIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 1, 2013, the foregoing is being served the foregoing via the Court's Electronic Filing System, upon the following:

Craig Robert Kaufman Robert Edward Freitas Hsiang Hong Lin Qudas B. Olaniran FREITAS TSENG & KAUFMAN, LLP 100 Marine Parkway, Suite 200 Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Richard M. Carter Adam Calhoun Simpson MARTIN TATE MORROW & MARSTON International Place, Tower II 6410 Poplar Ave., Ste. 1000 Memphis, TN 38119

> /s/ Jonathan D. Rose Jonathan D. Rose

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.