

*Petition re U.S. Pat. 6,771,290 (Claims 2-3)*

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Samsung Electronics America, Inc.  
Petitioner,

v.

B.E. Technology, LLC  
Patent Owner

Patent No. 6,771,290 (Claims 2-3)

Issued: August 3, 2004

Filed: April 11, 2001

Inventors: Martin David Hoyle

Title: COMPUTER INTERFACE METHOD AND APPARATUS WITH  
PORTABLE NETWORK ORGANIZATION SYSTEM AND TARGETED  
ADVERTISING

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. 2014-00044

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**I. Compliance with Requirements of an *Inter Partes* Review Petition ..... 1**

**A. Certification that the Patent May Be Contested via Inter Partes Review by the Petitioner (37 CFR §§ 42.101 and 42.104)..... 1**

**B. Fee for Inter Partes Review (37 CFR § 42.15(a)) ..... 1**

**C. Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b)) ..... 1**

    1. Real Party in Interest (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(1)) ..... 1

    2. Other Proceedings (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2)) ..... 2

    3. Lead and Backup Lead Counsel (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(3))..... 3

    4. Service Information (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(4))..... 3

**D. Proof of Service (37 CFR §§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a)) ..... 4**

**II. Identification of Claims Being Challenged (37 CFR § 42.104(b))..... 4**

**III. Relevant Information Concerning the Contested Patent..... 6**

**A. Claims 2 and 3 of the '290 Patent are not entitled to the priority date of the '010 patent ..... 6**

**B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art ..... 7**

**C. Construction of Terms Used in the Claims..... 8**

    1. General Observations ..... 8

    2. “client computer” ..... 9

    3. “server” ..... 9

    4. “network” ..... 9

    5. “file” ..... 10

    6. “link” ..... 10

*Petition re U.S. Pat. 6,771,290 (Claims 2-3)*

7.	“non-volatile data storage device” .....	10
8.	“program” .....	10
9.	“information resource” .....	11
10.	“browser” .....	11
11.	“profile” .....	12
<b>IV.</b>	<b>Precise Reasons for Relief Requested.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Claims 2 and 3 Are Anticipated By Kikinis .....</b>	<b>12</b>
1.	Kikinis Anticipates Claim 2 .....	12
2.	Kikinis Anticipates Claim 3 .....	17
<b>B.</b>	<b>CompuServe1 Anticipates Claim 2 .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Claim 3 is Obvious Based on CompuServe1 in View of Admissions of the '290 patent and/or in View of CompuServe2 .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b>Claims 2 and 3 Are Anticipated By Sonnenreich .....</b>	<b>23</b>
1.	Sonnenreich Anticipates Claim 2 .....	23
2.	Sonnenreich Anticipates Claim 3 .....	27
<b>V.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>28</b>
	<b>Attachment A. Proof of Service of the Petition</b>	
	<b>Attachment B. List of Evidence and Exhibits Relied Upon in Petition</b>	

*Petition re U.S. Pat. 6,771,290 (Claims 2-3)*

**I. Compliance with Requirements of an *Inter Partes* Review Petition**

**A. Certification that the Patent May Be Contested via *Inter Partes* Review by the Petitioner (37 CFR §§ 42.101 and 42.104)**

Petitioner certifies that U.S. Patent No. 6,771,290 (“the ’290 patent”, [Ex. 1001]) is available for review and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting *inter partes* review of any of the claims of the ’290 patent. Neither Petitioner, nor Petitioner’s real party in interest, nor any privy of Petitioner: (a) has filed a civil action challenging the validity of any of the claims of the ’290 patent; (b) has been served a complaint alleging infringement of the ’290 patent more than a year prior to the present date; or (c) is estopped from challenging any of the claims of the ’290 patent.

**B. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review (37 CFR § 42.15(a))**

The Director is authorized to charge the fee specified by 37 CFR § 42.15(a) to Deposit Account No. 50-2775, which fee is believed to be \$23,000. Any necessary additional fees may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2775.

**C. Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b))**

**1. Real Party in Interest (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(1))**

The real party of interest of this petition is *Samsung Electronics America, Inc.* having an address of 85 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, NJ, 07660, United States, (“Samsung”). Samsung is in privity with *Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC*, having an address of 1301 East Lookout Drive, Richardson, TX

*Petition re U.S. Pat. 6,771,290 (Claims 2-3)*

75082, United States. No other entity is a real party of interest or a privy for purposes of this petition.

**2. Other Proceedings (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2))**

The '290 patent has been asserted in the United States District Court for the Western District of Tennessee (the "Tennessee litigation") as shown below:

- B.E. Technology, LLC v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2825- JMP-tmp)
  - Complaint served on Samsung Electronics America, Inc. on October 9, 2012. [Ex. 1003]
- B.E. Technology, LLC v. Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2824- JMP-tmp)
  - Complaint served on Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC on October 9, 2012. [Ex. 1004]
- B.E. Technology, LLC v. Amazon Digital Services, Inc. (Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2767-JMP-tmp)
- B.E. Technology, LLC v. Barnes & Noble, Inc. (Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2823- JMP-tmp)
- B.E. Technology, LLC v. Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC (Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2826- JMP-tmp)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.