

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
WESTERN DIVISION

_____)	
B.E. TECHNOLOGY, LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No.: 2:12-cv-02767-JPM-tmp
)	
AMAZON DIGITAL SERVICES, INC.,)	JURY DEMAND
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

**MEMORANDUM OF DEFENDANT AMAZON DIGITAL SERVICES, INC.
IN REPLY TO PLAINTIFF’S OPPOSITION TO ITS MOTION TO DISMISS**

Glen G. Reid, Jr. (#8184)
greid@wyattfirm.com
Mark Vorder-Bruegge, Jr. (#06389)
mvorder-bruegge@wyattfirm.com
WYATT, TARRANT & COMBS, LLP
The Renaissance Center
1715 Aaron Brenner Dr., Suite 800
Memphis, TN 38120-4367
(901) 537-1000

Of Counsel:

Counsel for Defendant
AMAZON DIGITAL SERVICES, INC.

J. David Hadden
dhadden@fenwick.com
Darren F. Donnelly
ddonnelly@fenwick.com
Saina S. Shamilov
sshamilov@fenwick.com
Ryan J. Marton
rmarton@fenwick.com
Clifford Webb
cwebb@fenwick.com
Justin Hulse
jhulse@fenwick.com
FENWICK & WEST LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
(650) 988-8500

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION -----1

ARGUMENT-----1

 I. THE PATENT LAWS PROHIBT
 PURELY FUNCTIONAL CLAIMING-----1

 II. THE PURELY FUNCTIONAL LANGUAGE OF THE
 ASSERTED CLAIMS RENDER THEM INDEFINITE -----4

 III. THE ASSERTED PATENTS DISCLOSE NO STRUCTURE
 TO PERFORM THE CLAIMED FUNCTIONS-----7

 IV. THE ASSERTED PATENTS
 VIOLATE THE POSSESSION RULE -----9

CONCLUSION ----- 10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<i>Cases:</i>	<i>Page(s):</i>
<i>Affymetrix, Inc. v. Hyseq, Inc.</i> , 132 F. Supp. 2d 1212 (N.D. Cal. 2001) -----	6
<i>Aloft Media, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.</i> , 570 F. Supp. 2d 887 (E.D. Tex. 2008) -----	6
<i>Altiris, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 318 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2003) -----	5
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> 877 F. Supp. 2d 838 (N.D. Cal. 2012), <i>rev'd on other grounds</i> 695 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2012) -----	7
<i>Aristocrat Techs. Austral. PTY Ltd. v. Int'l Game Tech.</i> , 521 F.3d 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2008) -----	<i>passim</i>
<i>Blackboard, Inc. v. Desire2Learn, Inc.</i> , 574 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2009) -----	8
<i>ePlus, Inc. v. Lawson Software, Inc.</i> , 700 F.3d 509 (Fed. Cir. 2012) -----	9
<i>Fuller v. Yentzer</i> , 94 U.S. 288 (1876) -----	1
<i>Greenberg v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.</i> , 91 F.3d 1580 (Fed. Cir. 1996) -----	2
<i>Halliburton Oil Well Cementing Co. v. Walker</i> , 67 S. Ct. 6 (1946) -----	2
<i>HTC Corp. v. ICom GmbH & Co., KG</i> , 667 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2012) -----	5
<i>Hybritech, Inc. v. Monoclonal Antibodies, Inc.</i> 802 F.2d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 1986), <i>cert denied</i> 480 U.S. 947 (1987) -----	8
<i>Juxtacomm-Texas Software, LLC v. Axway, Inc.</i> , No. 6:10-cv-11, 2011 WL 6102057 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 7, 2011) -----	6
<i>Kozam v. Phase Forward, Inc.</i> , No. 04-1787, 2005 U.S. Dist. Lexis 46850 (D. Md. Aug. 29, 2005) -----	5-6
<i>LG Elecs., Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc.</i> , No. 07-cv-361, 2008 WL 4613054 (W.D. Wis. Mar. 4, 2008) -----	3

Lizardtech, Inc. v. Earth Res. Mapping, Inc.,
424 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ----- 10

Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc.
107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ----- 10

Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.,
52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) -----8

Mass. Inst. of Tech. v. Abacus Software,
462 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2006) -----2

Noah Sys. v. Intuit, Inc.,
675 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2012) -----8-9

O'Reilly v. Morse,
56 U.S. 62 (1853) -----1, 9

Regents of the Univ. of Cal. v. Eli Lilly & Co.,
119 F.3d 1559 (Fed. Cir. 1997) -----9

Seal-Flex, Inc. v. Athletic Track and Court Const.,
172 F.3d 836 (Fed. Cir. 1999)-----4

Soque Holdings (Berm.) Ltd. v. Keyscan, Inc.,
No. C 09-2651, 2010 WL 2292316 (N.D. Cal. June 7, 2010)-----3

Stanacard, LLC v. Rebtel Networks, AB,
680 F. Supp. 2d 483 (S.D.N.Y. 2010)-----6

Transperfect Global, Inc. v. MotionPoint Corp.,
2013 WL 2299621 (N.D. Cal. May 24, 2013)-----3

United Carbon v. Binney & Smith Co.,
317 U.S. 228 (1942) -----1

Valmont Indus., Inc. v. Reinke Mfg. Co., Inc.,
983 F.2d 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1993) -----2

Widevine Techs., Inc. v. Verimatrix, Inc.,
No. 2-07-cv-321, 2009 WL 3734106 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 4, 2009) -----3

Statutes and Rules:

35 U.S.C. § 112-----*passim*

Miscellaneous:

Ex Parte Emigh,
No. 2012-005145, 2013 WL 1450915 (B.P.A.I. Mar. 12, 2013) -----7

Ex Parte Erol,
No. 2011-001143, 2013 WL 1341107 (B.P.A.I. Mar. 11, 2013) -----7

Ex Parte Rodriguez,
No. 2010-003169 (B.P.A.I. Oct. 1, 2009)-----5

Ex Parte Roussi,
No. 2008-000693 (B.P.A.I. Apr. 7, 2011) -----5

Supplementary Examination Guidelines for Determining
Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112 and for Treatment of
Related Issues in Patent Applications, 76 Fed. Reg. 7162 (Feb. 9, 2011) ----- 6, 10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.