

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
WESTERN DIVISION

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	No.: 2:12-cv-02767-JPM-tmp
)	
vs.)	JURY DEMAND
)	
AMAZON DIGITAL SERVICES, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

**MEMORANDUM OF AMAZON DIGITAL SERVICES, INC. IN SUPPORT OF
ITS MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1404(A)**

Glen G. Reid, Jr. (#8184)
greid@wyattfirm.com
Mark Vorder-Bruegge, Jr. (#06389)
mvorder-bruegge@wyattfirm.com
WYATT, TARRANT & COMBS, LLP
The Renaissance Center
1715 Aaron Brenner Dr., Suite 800
Memphis, TN 38120-4367
(901) 537-1000

Counsel for Defendant
AMAZON DIGITAL SERVICES, INC.

J. David Hadden
dhadden@fenwick.com
Darren F. Donnelly
ddonnelly@fenwick.com
Saina S. Shamilov
sshamilov@fenwick.com
Ryan J. Marton
rmarton@fenwick.com
Clifford Web
cweb@fenwick.com
Justin Hulse
jhulse@fenwick.com
FENWICK & WEST LLP
801 California Street, 6th Floor
Mountain View, CA 94041
(650) 988-8500

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION ----- 1

STATEMENT OF FACTS ----- 2

 A. This Action----- 2

 B. The Patents-in-Suit----- 2

 C. The Parties ----- 3

 1. B.E. Technology ----- 3

 2. Amazon----- 4

 D. Relevant Third Parties ----- 5

ARGUMENT----- 5

 I. THIS CASE COULD HAVE BEEN BROUGHT IN THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ----- 6

 II. THIS CASE SHOULD BE LITIGATED IN THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ----- 6

 A. The Private Interest Factors Favor Transfer to the
 Northern District of California ----- 7

 1. The Vast Majority of Documents Relevant
 to This Case Are Located in the Northern
 District of California----- 7

 2. The Cost of Attendance and Convenience of
 Witnesses Strongly Favor Transfer ----- 8

 3. The Availability of Compulsory Process to
 Secure the Attendance of Witnesses
 Strongly Favors Transfer----- 10

 4. No Practical Problems Favor Litigation in
 This District Over the Northern District of
 California----- 12

 B. The Public Factors Also Favor Transfer of This
 Case to the Northern District of California ----- 13

 1. Court Congestion is Not a Serious Concern
 Here and It Does Not Weigh Against
 Transfer----- 13

2. The Northern District of California Has a
Far More Substantial Interest in the
Adjudication of This Case ----- 14

CONCLUSION ----- 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<i>Cases:</i>	<i>Page(s):</i>
<i>Cherokee Export Co. v. Chrysler Int'l. Corp.</i> , No. 96-1745, 142 F.3d 432, 1998 WL 57279 (6th Cir. Feb. 2, 1998)-----	6
<i>Cont'l First Fed., Inc. v. Watson Quality Ford, Inc.</i> , No. 3:08-0954, 2010 WL 1836808 (M.D. Tenn. May 6, 2010)-----	6
<i>In re EMC Corporation</i> , 677 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2012) -----	13
<i>GeoTag, Inc. v. Aromatique, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:10-cv-570, Dkt. 585 at 10 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 14, 2013)-----	13
<i>Hertz Corp. v. Friend</i> , 130 S. Ct. 1181 (2010) -----	7
<i>In re Hoffman-La Roche, Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009) -----	14
<i>In re Genentech, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009) -----	6-8, 10
<i>Just Intellectuals, PLLC v. Clorox Co.</i> , No. 10-12415, 2010 WL 5129014 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 10, 2010)-----	7-8, 10
<i>L&P Prop. Mgmt. Co. v. JTMD, LLC</i> , No. 06-13311, 2007 WL 295027 (E.D. Mich. Jan 29, 2007)-----	7-8
<i>In re Microsoft Corp.</i> , 630 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2010) -----	14
<i>Moses v. Bus. Card Express, Inc.</i> , 929 F.2d 1131 (6th Cir. 1991)-----	5-6
<i>In re Nintendo Co., Ltd.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009) -----	7, 9
<i>Quality Gold, Inc. v. West</i> , No. 1:11-CV-891, 2012 WL 1883819 (S.D. Ohio May 22, 2012) -----	6
<i>Returns Distribution Specialists, LLC v. Playtex Prods., Inc.</i> , No. 02-1195-T, 2003 WL 21244142 (W.D. Tenn. May 28, 2003)-----	5, 7-9
<i>United States ex rel. Kairos Scientia, Inc. v. Zinsser Co.</i> , No. 5:10-CV-383, 2011 WL 127852 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 14, 2011)-----	14

In re Volkswagen of America, Inc.,
545 F.3d 304 (Fed. Cir. 2008)----- 5

In re Zimmer Holdings, Inc.,
609 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2010) -----7, 12-14

Statutes and Rules:

28 U.S.C. § 1391 -----5-6

28 U.S.C. § 1400(b)----- 6

28 U.S.C. § 1404 -----2-6, 8

Other Authorities:

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) ----- 2

Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(3)(A)(ii) -----10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.