ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA1051075

Filing date:

04/24/2020

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	94002720	
Party	Applicant Hanscomb Consulting, Inc.	
Correspondence Address	H JAY SPIEGEL H JAY SPIEGEL & ASSOCIATES PO BOX 11 MOUNT VERNON, VA 22121 UNITED STATES jayspiegel@aol.com 703-619-0101	
Submission	Other Motions/Papers	
Filer's Name	H. Jay Spiegel	
Filer's email	jayspiegel@aol.com	
Signature	/H. Jay Spiegel/	
Date	04/24/2020	
Attachments	HanscombConsultingNoticeToUSPTO042420.pdf(117023 bytes) HanscombConsultingCivilCoverSheetAsFiled042420.pdf(357861 bytes) HanscombConsultingComplaintAsFiled042420.pdf(1142015 bytes) HanscombConsultingExhibit1ToComplaintAsFiled042420.pdf(2202677 bytes)	



LAW OFFICES OF

H. JAY SPIEGEL & ASSOCIATES

GENERAL CAUSES &

PATENT, TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT CAUSES

SPIEGEL'S LANDING 8778 THOMAS J. STOCKTON PARKWAY ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22308

MAIL ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 11 MOUNT VERNON, VIRGINIA 22121-0011 PARIS CORRESPONDENT LANGER-NETTER-ADLER 53 AVENUE DE BRETEUIL PARIS 75007 FRANCE PHONE: 45 67 01 23 FACSIMILE: 45 67 33 86

April 24, 2020

Ms. Denise M. DelGizzi Chief Clerk of the Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Trademark Trial and Appeal Board P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Filed Through ESTTA

Re: Concurrent Use Proceeding No. 94002720

Hanscomb Consulting, Inc. v. Hanscomb, Limited

Dear Ms. DelGizzi:

D.C. BAR

VIRGINIA BAR

PATENT BAR

PHONE: (703) 619-0101

WWW.SPIEGELAW.COM

WIRELESS: HJS@SPIEGELAW.COM

E-MAIL: JAYSPIEGEL@AOL.COM

FAX: (703) 619-0110

In compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 2.145(c)(3), I am writing the Board to provide notice that today I filed a civil action, appealing the decision of the Board dated February 26, 2020, in the referenced concurrent use proceeding, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division. The civil action has been assigned docket number 1:20-cv-00457 and is styled Hanscomb Consulting, Inc. v. Hanscomb, Limited.

I have attached the civil cover sheet, complaint, and Exhibit 1 thereto. Today, I sent Thomas O'Rourke, Esquire, Counsel to Hanscomb, Limited courtesy copies of the papers filed in Court today. I will also serve him this cover letter today after filing it. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

H. JAY SPIEGEL & ASSOCIATES

H. Jay Spiegel

Counsel to Hanscomb Consulting, Inc.

HJS:tg Enclosures



JS 44 (Rev. 09/19)

Case 1:20-cv-00457 Document 1-2 Filed 04/24/20 Page 1 of 1 PageID# 31

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil	docket sheet. (SEE INSTRU	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FORM.)	1974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
Hanscomb Consulting, I	nc.		Hanscomb, Ltd.			
	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C Address, and Telephone Numb	er)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) Thomas A. O'Rourke, Bodner & O'Rourke, 425 Broadhollow Road, Suite 120, Melville, New York 11530, 631-249-7500			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Rox for Plaint	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF J	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	up of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	J 2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	J 3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			·		of Suit Code Descriptions.	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmeni □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 785 Property Damage 785 Property Damage 186 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate 5310 Motions to Vacate 5310 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	noved from 3 te Court 3 Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you are				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	(a)(107 lose 107 los)(1	1)	demark Office TTAB Decis			
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23	3, F.R.Cv.P.		JURY DEMAND:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD 🔨 , 🛆	0 0		



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

225 Reinekers Lane, S Alexandria, VA 2231 Virginia Corporation	Suite 200)))		
	Plaintiff,) Civil Action No.:		
v.)))		
HANSCOMB, LIMIT 900-40 Holly Street Toronto CANADA	ED)))		
Canadian Corporation				
	Defendant.)))		

COMPLAINT FOR APPEAL AND *DE NOVO* REVIEW OF DECISION OF <u>TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD</u>

The Plaintiff HANSCOMB CONSULTING, INC. (Plaintiff or HCI) for its Complaint against Defendant HANSCOMB, LIMITED (Defendant or HL), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. This is an action seeking *de novo* judicial review of a final decision of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (TTAB or the Board), an administrative agency of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), in concurrent use proceeding no. 94002720 (the concurrent use proceeding) under 15 U.S.C. § 1071(b)(1). A copy of the final decision is attached as Exhibit 1.
- 2. On February 26, 2020, in the concurrent use proceeding, a TTAB panel dissolved the proceeding and ruled Plaintiff's application Serial No. 87/100,385 was accordingly abandoned.



- 3. In dissolving the concurrent use proceeding, the TTAB found that Plaintiff had complied with the jurisdictional requirement of 15 U.S.C. § 1052(d) in that the TTAB found Plaintiff had made lawful use of its mark in commerce before Defendant filed its application for registration, Serial No. 86/644,350.
- 4. In inducing the concurrent use proceeding, Plaintiff alleged, based upon the information known to it at the time, that it was entitled to exclusive rights to use the service mark "HANSCOMB CONSULTING" and design (Plaintiff's mark) throughout the United States with the exception of two zip codes, 60521 (in Hinsdale, IL) and 90071 (in Los Angeles, CA). However, the TTAB found that there was significant overlap in territories of use of Plaintiff's mark and Defendant's mark "HANSCOMB" (Defendant's mark). On that basis, rather than determining the territories to which each party was entitled, the TTAB dissolved the proceeding and ordered the abandonment of Plaintiff's application.

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a corporation of Virginia having the following address: 225 Reinekers Lane, Suite 200, Alexandria, VA 22314.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation of Canada having the following address: 900-40 Holly Street, Toronto, CANADA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This is an action for judicial review of a final decision of the TTAB under Section 21(b)(1) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1071(b)(1).
- 8. This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 as it involves claims presenting federal questions under 15 U.S.C. § 1071(b)(1) and



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

