

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1336610**  
Filing date: **01/26/2024**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding no.	92076883
Party	Plaintiff The Plimsouls
Correspondence address	CHRIS PAPARELLA STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1114 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036 UNITED STATES Primary email: cpaparella@steptoe.com Secondary email(s): mallan@steptoe.com, jfryer@steptoe.com, jbenasher@steptoe.com 212-506-3900
Submission	Brief on Merits for Plaintiff
Filer's name	Chris Paparella
Filer's email	ipdocketing@steptoe.com, cpaparella@steptoe.com, mallan@steptoe.com, jbenasher@steptoe.com, jfryer@steptoe.com, trademark@steptoe.com
Signature	/Chris Paparella/
Date	01/26/2024
Attachments	Petitioners Trial Brief.pdf(296649 bytes ) Kathryn Morrison Paho Declaration ISO Trial Brief.pdf(103109 bytes ) Paparella Declaration ISO Trial Brief.pdf(116601 bytes ) Exhibit A.pdf(322195 bytes ) Exhibit B.pdf(268115 bytes ) Exhibit C.pdf(274649 bytes ) Exhibit D.pdf(273693 bytes ) Exhibit E.pdf(388863 bytes ) Exhibit F.pdf(322290 bytes ) Exhibit G.pdf(346627 bytes ) Exhibit H.pdf(307486 bytes ) Exhibit I.pdf(463590 bytes ) Exhibit J.pdf(477847 bytes ) Exhibit K.pdf(334379 bytes ) Exhibit L.pdf(358586 bytes ) Exhibit M.pdf(341742 bytes ) Exhibit N.pdf(1757426 bytes )

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of Registration No. 4,940,705

Date of Issue: April 19, 2016

The Plimsouls,

Petitioner,

v.

Edward Munoz,

Registrant.

Cancellation No. 92076883

Honorable Commissioner for Trademarks  
P.O. Box 1451  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

**PETITIONER'S TRIAL BRIEF**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT .....	1
STATEMENT OF ISSUE.....	3
EVIDENCE OF RECORD .....	3
FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	4
I.    The Plimsouls Partnership Has Pursued Its Business from 1979 to the Present... 4	
A. Early 80s Heyday: Studio Albums, Touring, and Commercial Peak .....	5
B. 1985-1994: First Recording/Performing Hiatus & More Album Releases .....	6
C. 1994-1997: The Plimsouls Resume Recording and Performing Live .....	7
D. 1997-2005: Second Recording/Performing Hiatus.....	8
E. 2005-2007: Second Reunion Followed by Third Performing Hiatus .....	8
F. 2007-Present: Third Recording/Performing Hiatus & More Album Releases .	9
II.   Mr. Munoz Registers the Plimsouls Mark in his Own Name .....	9
III.  Mr. Munoz Creates a Fake “Plimsouls” .....	11
ARGUMENT .....	13
I.    The Plimsouls is a Live Partnership with Standing to Bring This Case.....	13
II.   Mr. Munoz’s Registration Is Void <i>Ab Initio</i> Because the Plimsouls Partnership Owns the Mark .....	16
A. The Plimsouls Partnership Has Been Active in the Music Business Since 1979 .....	17
B. The Plimsouls Partnership Did Not Acquiesce to Mr. Munoz’s Registration	18
C. The Plimsouls Partnership Never Abandoned the Mark.....	20
III.  Mr. Munoz’s Registration and Use of the Mark Has Caused Confusion.....	22
IV.   Mr. Munoz’s Defenses Are Groundless .....	24
A. The Plimsouls Partnership Has Standing.....	24
B. The Abandonment Defense Fails.....	24
C. The Acquiescence Defense Fails .....	25
D. There Was No Laches.....	26
E. Mr. Munoz’s Use of the Mark Is Confusing and Involves Misrepresentations .....	27
CONCLUSION.....	27

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Bell v. Streetwise Recs., Ltd.</i> , 640 F. Supp. 575 (D. Mass. 1986) (Ex. L).....	25
<i>Columbia Mill Co. v. Alcorn</i> , 150 U.S. 460 (1893).....	22
<i>Commodores Ent'mt Corp. v. McClary</i> , 879 F.3d 1114 (11th Cir. 2018) (Ex. I).....	16, 17, 22
<i>Corcamore, LLC v. SFM, LLC</i> , 978 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	13
Complaint, <i>Cummings v. Kale</i> , No. 2:23-cv-9130, Dkt. 1 (C.D. Fla. filed Oct. 30, 2023) (Ex. N).....	17
<i>HEC Enters. v. Deep Purple, Inc.</i> , No. 80-02532, 1980 WL 39084 (C.D. Cal. 1980) (Ex. H) .....	17, 20, 23, 25
<i>Herbko Int'l, Inc. v. Kappa Books, Inc.</i> , 308 F.3d 1156 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	22
<i>Iodent Chemical Co. v. Dart Drug Corp.</i> , 207 USPQ 602 (T.T.A.B.1980) .....	18, 26
<i>Kingsmen v. K-Tel Int'l Ltd.</i> , 557 F. Supp. 178 (S.D.N.Y. 1983) (Ex. G).....	17, 22, 23
<i>Lindsey v. Starwood Hotels &amp; Resorts WorldWide, Inc.</i> , No. 02-cv-3822 (GAF), 2008 WL 11363357 (C.D. Cal. June 13, 2008) (Ex. E).....	14
<i>Lyons v. Am. Coll. of Veterinary Sports Med. &amp; Rehab.</i> , 859 F.3d 1023 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	16
<i>Marshak v. Green</i> , 746 F.2d 927 (2d Cir. 1984) (Ex. K).....	23, 25
<i>Marshak v. Schaffner</i> , No. 11-cv-1104 (DLC), 2012 WL 1658393 (S.D.N.Y. May 11, 2012) (Ex. M).....	25
<i>Marshak v. Treadwell</i> , 58 F. Supp. 2d 551 (D.N.J. 1999) (Ex. J) .....	21

..

<i>Meundies, Inc. v. Drew Massey</i> , No. 9205558, 2014 WL 4219121 (T.T.A.B. Aug. 13, 2014) .....	13
<i>Moss v. Beckett</i> , No. 2:18-cv-04784 (SVW)(GJS), 2018 WL 6167916 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2018) (Ex. F).....	16
<i>Nahshin v. Product Source Int'l LLC</i> , 107 U.S.P.Q.2d 1257 (T.T.A.B. 2013) .....	22
<i>SCSA Grp., Inc. v. Worden</i> , No. G058859, 2021 WL 2644957 (Cal. Ct. App. June 28, 2021) (unpublished) (Ex. A).....	13
<i>Wallpaper Mfrs., Ltd. v. Crown Wallcovering Corp.</i> , 680 F.2d 755 (C.C.P.A. 1982) .....	24
<i>Wonderbread 5 v. Gilles</i> , 115 U.S.P.Q.2d 1296 (T.T.A.B. 2015) .....	2, 14, 16, 22
<i>Woodstock's Enters. (California) v. Woodstock's Enters. (Oregon)</i> , 43 U.S.P.Q.2d 1440, 1997 WL 440268 (T.T.A.B. 1997), <i>aff'd</i> 152 F.3d 942 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	24

**Statutes**

15 U.S.C. § 1064.....	1, 13, 15
15 U.S.C. § 1127.....	24
Cal. Corp. Code § 16201 (Ex. B).....	14
Cal. Corp. Code § 16202 (Ex. D).....	14
Cal. Corp. Code § 16307 (Ex. C).....	14

...

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.