Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Electronic Filing System. http://estta.uspto.gov

ESTTA Tracking number: ESTTA1003266

Filing date: 09/19/2019

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92064459
Party	Defendant TASER International, Inc.
Correspondence Address	RYAN T SANTURRI ALLEN DYER DOPPELT & GILCHRIST PA 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE, SUITE 1401 ORLANDO, FL 32801 UNITED STATES rsanturri@allendyer.com, mleavy@allendyer.com 407-841-2330
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Ryan T. Santurri
Filer's email	rsanturri@allendyer.com, mleavy@allendyer.com
Signature	/Ryan T. Santurri/
Date	09/19/2019
Attachments	Status Report and Exs.pdf(464279 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Phazzer Electronics, Inc.

Petitioner,

v.

TASER International, Inc.,

Cancellation Action No.: 92064459 Involving U.S. Registration No. 4,423,789

Registrant.

REGISTRANT'S STATUS REPORT ON RELATED PROCEEDINGS

Registrant TASER International, Inc. ("Registrant"), now known as Axon Enterprise, Inc., hereby provides the following status report to the Board.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

1. On October 28, 2016, the Board entered an Order staying these proceedings pending the first-filed litigation between the parties in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida in Case No. 6:16-cv-00366-PGB.

2. On July 21, 2017, the District Court granted TASER's Motion for Sanctions and entered both a Default Judgment and Permanent Injunction (Doc. 183, Ex. A attached)¹ ("Judgment/Injunction Order"). Pertinent to this proceeding, the Court found: "Taser's U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,423,789, issued on October 29, 2013, for the non-functional shape of cartridges used to launch darts, is deemed valid and enforceable, not generic, functional, or merely descriptive, and infringed by Phazzer." The Court's Order also directed that "Phazzer shall not challenge or continue to challenge the validity or enforceability of the '789 Registration in any manner in any forum, including the USPTO."

¹ Doc. Nos. are to the District Court's docket.

On August 10, 2017, Phazzer filed its notice of appeal as to the Judgment/Injunction
Order (Doc. 192) (U.S. Fed. Circuit Case No. 17-2637).

4. On April 4, 2018, the Court entered its damages accounting and fee award in favor of TASER based on Phazzer's willful infringement and intentional disregard of Court Rules and Orders throughout the litigation (Doc. 267) ("Damages Order").

On April 30, 2018, Phazzer filed its notice of appeal as to the Damages Order (Doc.
269) (U.S. Fed. Circuit Case No. 18-1914).

6. On May 4, 2018, the Court entered an Order holding both Phazzer and its executive Steven Abboud ("Abboud") in civil contempt of the Injunction (Doc. 271) ("Contempt Order").

On May 11, 2018, the District Court entered final judgment in favor of TASER
(Doc. 273).

On June 4, 2018, Phazzer filed a notice of appeal as to the Contempt Order (Doc.
282) (U.S. Fed. Circuit Case No. 18-2059).²

9. On October 26, 2018, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed "in its entirety" the District Court's Judgment and Injunction in favor of TASER in appeal No. 17-2637 (Doc. 300, Ex. B attached).

10. On January 11, 2019, the mandate issued on appeal No. 17-2637 (Doc. 316), after the denial of Phazzer's Petition for Rehearing En Banc of the Judgment/Injunction Order.

2

² Abboud similarly appealed the Contempt Order pro per (Doc. 283) (U.S. Fed. Circuit Case No. 18-2057), which was dismissed by the Federal Circuit for lack of standing on July 23, 2019 (Doc. 349), with the mandate issuing on August 30, 2019 (Doc. 354).

11. On July 23, 2019, the Federal Circuit affirmed the District Court's Damages Order in appeal No. 18-1914 (Doc. 351). The mandate issued on August 30, 2019 (Doc. 355), after denial of Phazzer's Petition for Panel Rehearing.

12. On July 23, 2019, the Federal Circuit affirmed the District Court's Contempt Order in appeal No. 18-2059 (Doc. 350). The mandate issued on August 30, 2019 (Doc. 356), after denial of Phazzer's Petition for Panel Rehearing.

13. No further appeals remain related to U.S. Registration No. 4,423,789.

RES JUDICATA BARS PHAZZER'S COLLATERAL ATTACK ON DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION

14. With appeals exhausted, the Judgment/Injunction Order finding U.S. Registration No. 4,423,789 valid and enforceable is conclusively established with regard to Phazzer and all those in privity with Phazzer. *International Nutrition Co. v. Horphag Research, Ltd.*, 220 F.3d 1325, 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (stating that default judgment can preclude subsequent actions by the same party or those in privity with it).

15. The purpose of res judicata is to protect a party from "being required to relitigate the same issue against the same party in a separate action." *Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha v. ThinkSharp, Inc.*, 448 F.3d 1368, 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2006). Accordingly, TASER submits that this proceeding should be dismissed with prejudice as precluded by res judicata as a result of the final judicial determination as to the '789 Registration's validity. *See Nasalok Coating Corp. v. Nylok Corp.*, 522 F.3d 1320, 1329-30 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (upholding dismissal of cancellation petition as impermissible collateral attack on default judgment and injunction).

3

4 Respectfully submitted this September 19, 2019.

<u>/s/Ryan T. Santurri</u> Ryan T. Santurri, Esquire Florida Bar No. 015698 <u>rsanturri@allendyer.com</u> Allen, Dyer, Doppelt & Gilchrist P.A. 255 South Orange Avenue, Suite 1401 Orlando, FL 32801 Telephone: (407) 841-2330 Facsimile: (407) 841-2343 Attorneys for Registrant TASER International, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing has been served by email

on September 19, 2019 to:

Adam R. Stephenson, Esq. IPTechLaw 8350 E Raintree Dr., Ste 245 Scottsdale, AZ 85260 <u>ipdocket@iptech.law</u> <u>adam@iptech.law</u>

DOCKET

Δ

<u>/s/ Ryan T. Santurri</u> Ryan T. Santurri, Esq.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.