

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA805458**

Filing date: **03/06/2017**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92063808
Party	Plaintiff Double Coin Holdings, Ltd.
Correspondence Address	JOSEPH E MUETH JOSEPH E MUETH LAW CORPORATION 800 E COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE 840 PASADENA, CA 91101 UNITED STATES jmueth@josephemueth.com
Submission	Motion for Summary Judgment
Filer's Name	Joseph E. Mueth
Filer's e-mail	jmueth@josephemueth.com
Signature	/josephemueth/
Date	03/06/2017
Attachments	351-125msj3617.pdf(145664 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DOUBLE COIN HOLDINGS, LTD,)	Cancellation No. 92063808
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
TRU DEVELOPMENT,)	
)	
Registrant.)	

PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Joseph E. Mueth

JOSEPH E. MUETH LAW CORPORATION
800 E. Colorado Blvd., Ste. 840
Pasadena, CA 91101-2173
(626) 584-0396 (phone)
(626) 584-6862 (facsimile)
jmueth@josephemueth.com

Attorneys for Petitioner,
Double Coin Holdings, Ltd.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
I. INTRODUCTION	2
II. STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3
III. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	5
IV. ARGUMENT	7
A. Summary Judgment Standard.	7
B. There Are No Genuine Issues of Material Fact Supporting Tru Development’s Counterclaim.	8
C. Uncontroverted Facts Establish A Likelihood of Confusion.	10
1. Tru Development’s Trademark Creates A Likelihood of Confusion Under <i>DuPont</i> Factors.	10
2. Priority of the WARRIOR Mark Is Clearly Established.	11
3. Double Coin Has No Intent To Abandon WARRIOR.	11
V. CONCLUSION	16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
FEDERAL CASES	
<i>Adidas AGV v. Etonic Holdings, LLC</i> , 12 USPQ 2D 1188 (TTAB 2016)	6
<i>American Dirigold Corp. v. Dirigold Metals Corp.</i> , 125 F.2d 446, 52 USPQ 510 (6 th Cir. 1942)	13
<i>American Motors Corp. v. Action-Age, Inc.</i> , 178 USPQ 377 (TTAB 1973)	15
<i>Bond v. Taylor</i> , 119 USPQ 2d 1049 (TTAB 2016)	6
<i>Care Fusion 2200, Inc. v. Entrotech Life Scis., Inc.</i> , 119 USPQ 2d 1492 (TTAB 2016)	10
<i>CBS Inc. v. Morrow</i> , 708 F.2d 1579, 218 USPQ 198 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	6
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i> , 477 U.S. 317 (1987)	7
<i>Cerveceria Centroamericana, S.A. v. Cerveceria India, Inc.</i> , 892 F.2d 1021, USPQ 2d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	13 12
<i>Chandon Champagne Corp. v. San Marino Wine Corp.</i> , 335 F.2d 531, 142 USPQ 239 (2d Cir. 1964)	13
<i>Clubman's Club Corporation v. Martin</i> , 188 USPQ 455, 1975 WL 20849 (TTAB 1975)	(TTAB 14
<i>Colgate-Palmolive Co. v. Sanford Chemical Co.</i> , 162 USPQ 424 (TTAB 1969)	14
<i>Columbia Industries, Inc. v. Tiffany & Co.</i> , 497 F.2d 1358, 182 USPQ 205 (CCPA 1974)	2
<i>Continental Distilling Corp. v. Old Charter Distillery Co.</i> , 188 F.2d 614, USPQ 365 (D.C. Cir. 1950)	87 13
<i>Cuban Cigar Brands N.V. v. Upmann International, Inc.</i> , 457 F. Supp. 1090, USPQ 193	199 13
<i>Defiance Button Mach. Co. v. C & C Metal Prods. Corp.</i> , 759 F.2d 1053, 225 USPQ 797 (2d. Cir. 1985)	15

<i>Emergency One Inc. v. American FireEagle Ltd.</i> , 56 USPQ 2d 1343 (4 th Cir. 2000)	14, 15
<i>Haviland & Co. v. Johann Haviland China Corp.</i> , 269 F. Supp. 928, 154 USPQ 287 (S.D.N.Y. 1967)	13
<i>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Packard Press, Inc.</i> , 281 F.3d 1261, 62 USPQ 198 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	6
<i>In re E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.</i> , 476 F.2d 1357, 177 USPQ 563 (CCPA 1973)	5, 10
<i>In re Mighty Leaf Tea</i> , 601 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	6
<i>In re Morinaga Nyugyo Fabuskeki Kaisha</i> , 120 USPQ 2d 1740 (TTAB 2014)	6
<i>Libya v. Miski</i> , 889 F. Supp. 2d 144, 103 USPQ 2d 1927 (D.D.C. 2012)	13
<i>Margaret Wendt Foundation Holdings, Inc. v. Roycroft Associates</i> , 84 USPQ 2d 1690, 2007 WL 3015224 (W.D.N.Y. 2007)	14
<i>Menendez v. Faber, Coe & Gregg, Inc.</i> , 345 F. Supp. 527, 174 USPQ 80 (S.D.N.Y. 1972)	13
<i>Merry Hull & Co. v. Hi-Line Co.</i> , 243 F. Supp. 45, 146 USPQ 274 (S.D.N.Y. 1965) ..	13
<i>Miliken & Company v. Image Indus, Inc.</i> , 39 USPQ 2d 1192 (TTAB 1996)	7
<i>Miller Brewing Co. v. Oland's Breweries (1971), Ltd.</i> , 548 F.2d 349, 192 USPQ 266 (C.C.P.A. 1976)	13
<i>Rivard v. Linville</i> , 133 F.3d 1446, 45 USPQ 2d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	12
<i>Roulo v. Russ Berrie & Co.</i> , 886 F.2d 931, 12 USPQ 2d 1423 (7 th Cir. 1989) ...	12, 14
<i>Saratoga Vichy Spring Co., Inc. v. Lehman</i> , 625 F.2d 1037, 208 USPQ 175 (2d Cir. 1980)	12, 14
<i>Seidemann Yachts, Inc. v. Pace Yacht Corp.</i> , 14 USPQ 2d 1497 (D. Md. 1989), <i>aff'd</i> , 13 USPQ 2d 2025 (4 th Cir. 1990)	14
<i>Societe de Developments et D'Innovations des Marches Agricoles et Alimentaires</i> - <i>SODIMA - Union de Cooperatives Agricoles v. International Yogurt, Co.</i> , 662 F. Supp. 839, 3 USPQ 2d 1641 (D. Or. 1987)	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.