

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA946499**

Filing date: **01/09/2019**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92061629
Party	Defendant Matey Michael Ghomeshi
Correspondence Address	MATEY MICHAEL GHOMESHI MOBILE BLACK BOX P O BOX 95 ONTARIO, CA 91762-8095 UNITED STATES mg@mobileblackbox.com 909-215-8869
Submission	Request for Reconsideration of Final Board Decision
Filer's Name	Matey Michael Ghomeshi
Filer's email	mg@mobileblackbox.com
Signature	/Matey Michael Ghomeshi/
Date	01/09/2019
Attachments	Respondents Brief In support of Request For Reconsideration of Final Decision.pdf(1015140 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

STRONGVOLT, INC.,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	Cancellation No. 92061629
)	Registration No. 3,798,681
MATEY MICHAEL GHOMESHI,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	
)	January 09, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....iv

RESPONDENT’S REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL DECISION..... 1

ARGUMENT.....1

A. The Board overlooked and/or never referenced Respondent’s Exhibit J and other evidence; which provides that the Petitioner intentionally submitted fraudulent and/or misleading evidence and/or intentionally misrepresented evidence on the record1

B. The Board misread and/or misinterpreted public records from WHOIS; the internet domain name registry records within Respondent Exhibit E.....9

C. The Board erred by never taking into consideration; Respondent’s

previous amend motion which was consented to by all parties.....11

D. The Board misinterpreted or took out of context; certain CAD illustration(s)
relating to the Respondent’s computer audio speaker product.....11

E. The Board erred by concluding that Respondent’s computer audio
speaker headphone product was not a computer audio speaker.....12

F. The Board erred by unilaterally striking Respondent’s affirmative defenses
and later unilaterally asserting an affirmative defense on behalf of the Petitioner.....12

G. The Board erroneously disregarded Respondent’s bonafide product photo
specimens and invoice evidence within Respondent’s Exhibit F.....13

H. The Board erroneously disregarded Respondent’s bonafide product sales invoices
which are regulable by Congress under the Commerce Clause, therefore
constitutes “use in commerce” under the Lanham Act.....16

I. The Board erred by regarding Respondent witness Debra Means as a ‘surprise witness’;
but freely allowed testimony from Petitioner surprise witness Charles Reidelbach.....17

J. The Board erred when it concluded that evidence from internet archive website
WayBackMachine.com was ‘unreliable’.....18

K. Respondent Exhibit G – Industry article defining Bluetooth speakers
provides that the Petitioner has no standing.....20

L. The Board erred by taking Respondent interrogatory response(s) out of context.....20

CONCLUSION.....22

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES:

Hazel-Atlas Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.

322 U.S. 238 [1944].....5,6,7,8,9

Universal Oil Products Co. v. Root Refining Co.

328 U.S. 575, 580-81 (1946).....5,7,9

Greater Boston Television Corp. v. FCC

463 F.2d 268, 278 (C.A.D.C. 1971).....5

Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.

501 U.S. 32, 44 (1991).....5

Kenner v. Comm. of Internal Revenue Service

387 F.2d 689, 691 (7th Cir. 1968).....5

Appling v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.

340 F.3d 769, 780 (9th Cir. 2003).....6

Pumphrey v. K.W. Thompson Tool Co.

62 F.3d 1128, 1131 (9th Cir. 1995).....6

Abatti v. Commissioner

859 F.2d 115, 118 (9th Cir. 1988).....6

Intermagnetics America, Inc.

926 F.2d 912, 916 (9th Cir. 1991).....6

Alexander v. Robertson

882 F.2d 421, 424 (9th Cir. 1989).....6,7

<i>Virgin Islands Housing Authority v. David,</i>	
823 F.2d 764, 766 (3rd Cir. 1987).....	6
<i>Kupferman v. Consolidated Research & Mfg. Corp.</i>	
459 F.2d 1072, 1074 n. 1 (2d Cir. 1972).....	6,7
<i>Root Ref. Co. v. Universal Oil Prods. Co.,</i>	
335 U.S. 912, 169 F.2d 514, 522, 69 S.Ct. 481, 93 L. Ed. 444 (3d Cir. 1948).....	6
<i>Lockwood v. Bowles</i>	
46 F.R.D. 625, 632 (D.C. 1969).....	7
<i>Sutter v. Easterly</i>	
354 Mo. 282, 189 S.W.2d 284 (1945).....	7
<i>Standard Oil Co. v. United States</i>	
429 U.S. 17 (1976).....	7
<i>H.K. Porter Co., Inc. v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.</i>	
536 F.2d 1115, 1119 (6th Cir. 1976).....	7
<i>Demjanjuk v. Petrovsky</i>	
10 F.3d 338 (6th Cir. 1993).....	7
<i>Geders v. United States</i>	
425 U.S. 80, 93 (1976).....	7
<i>Martina Theatre Corp. v. Schine Chain Theatres, Inc.</i>	
278 F.2d 798 (2nd Cir. 1960).....	9
<i>Root Ref. Co. v. Universal Oil Prods. Co.</i>	
169 F.2d 514, 521-22 (3rd Cir. 1948).....	9
<i>States v. Lopez</i>	
514 U.S. 549, 558 (1995).....	15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.