ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA550872 07/29/2013

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92057267
Party	Defendant Minitub GMBH
Correspondence Address	JAMES H JOHNSON JR SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 PEACHTREE STREET NW ATLANTA, GA 30309-3996 UNITED STATES patent.docket@sutherland.com
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action
Filer's Name	James H. Johnson, Jr.
Filer's e-mail	james.johnson@sutherland.com, ann.fort@sutherland.com, jeremy.spier@sutherland.com, patent.docket@sutherland.com
Signature	/James H. Johnson, Jr./
Date	07/29/2013
Attachments	Motion to Suspend - ANDROSTAR.pdf(4640319 bytes)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Minitube of America, Inc.,

Petitioner,

v.

Minitub Gmbh,

Registrant.

E-FILING

Cancellation No. : 92057267 In re Registration No. : 2,493,812 For the Mark : ANDROSTAR

Registered on : October 2, 2001

REGISTRANT'S MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS

In the matter of the above-identified Cancellation No. 92057267, Minitub Gmbh ("Registrant") hereby moves the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board to suspend the cancellation proceeding against Registrant pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 2.117(a) in light of a civil action now pending between the parties.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

On June 14, 2013, Petitioner filed a civil action against Registrant in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin. The civil action asserts claims of common law trademark infringement and federal and state law unfair competition. The civil action is docketed as Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-00685 AEG, and a file-stamped copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

ARGUMENT

Pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.117(a), the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board may suspend proceedings pending before the Board when the parties are involved in a civil action that



may have a bearing upon the Board proceeding. Indeed, the Board will ordinarily suspend

proceedings when a civil action for trademark infringement is pending between the parties. See

TBMP § 510.02(a) (citing, inter alia, Other Telephone Co. v. Connecticut National Telephone

Co., 181 USPQ 125 (TTAB 1974)).

The civil action now pending before the United States District Court for the Eastern

District of Wisconsin involves issues in common with the instant opposition proceeding.

Specifically, Petitioner alleges in the federal complaint that the mark at issue in this cancellation

proceeding, ANDROSTAR, belongs to Petitioner. Petitioner further alleges that Registrant's use

of the mark amounts to infringement, false advertising under the Lanham Act, false marketing

under Wisconsin statutory law and unfair competition under common law. All of these claims

rely on a finding that Petitioner, not the Registrant, is the proper owner of the ANDROSTAR

mark (which it is not). Petitioner's allegations of priority and claims for trademark infringement

overlap with and have a clear bearing upon Petitioner's allegations in the cancellation

proceeding, and the United States District Court's decision in the civil action may be binding

upon the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board. See e.g. Danskin, Inc. v. Dan River, Inc., 182

USPQ 370, 372 (CCPA 1974) (affirming the Board's decision granting summary judgment

based upon disposition of a prior civil action).

WHEREFORE, Registrant respectfully requests that the Trademark Trial and Appeal

Board order a suspension of the instant cancellation proceeding pending the outcome of the civil

action in United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

Respectfully submitted,

SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP

Date: July 29, 2013,

By: /James H. Johnson/

James H. Johnson, Esq.



Ann G. Fort, Esq. Jeremy D. Spier, Esq.

999 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3996 404-853-8395 (telephone) 404-853-8806 (facsimile) James.Johnson@Sutherland.com Attorneys for Applicant



PROOF OF SERVICE [F.R.C.P. Rule 5, F.R.A.P. 25]

I declare that I am employed in Atlanta, Georgia; I am over the age of 18 and am not a party to the above identified action; my business address is 999 Peachtree St., NE, Atlanta, GA 30309-3996. On the date set forth below, I served a true and accurate copy of the document(s) entitled: **REGISTRANT'S MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS** on the party(ies) in this action by placing said copy(ies) in a sealed envelope each addressed as follows:

Thomas P. Heneghan Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek S.C. P.O. Box 1379 Madison, Wisconsin 53701

By First Class Mail] I am readily familiar with Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP's practice for collecting and processing documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On the date listed herein, following ordinary business practice, I served the within document(s), by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service where it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.
By Overnight Courier] I caused each envelope to be delivered by a commercial carrier service for overnight delivery to the offices of the addressee(s).
By Hand] I directed each envelope to the party(ies) so designated on the service list to be delivered by courier this date.
[By Facsimile Transmission] I caused said document to be sent by facsimile transmission to the fax number indicated for the party(ies) listed above.
By Electronic Transmission] I caused said document to be sent by electronic transmission to the e-mail address(es) indicated for the party(ies) listed above.
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this date at Atlanta, Ga.
Dated: July 29, 2013



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

